

# Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Terrestrial Food Webs in the Adirondack Park of New York State

**Final Report** 

October 2015

# **NYSERDA's Promise to New Yorkers:**

NYSERDA provides resources, expertise, and objective information so New Yorkers can make confident, informed energy decisions.

## **Mission Statement:**

Advance innovative energy solutions in ways that improve New York's economy and environment.

## **Vision Statement:**

Serve as a catalyst – advancing energy innovation, technology, and investment; transforming New York's economy; and empowering people to choose clean and efficient energy as part of their everyday lives.

# Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Terrestrial Food Webs in the Adirondack Park of New York State

Final Report

Prepared for:

### New York State Energy Research and Development Authority

Albany, NY

Gregory Lampman Senior Project Manager

Prepared by:

### Syracuse University

Syracuse, NY

C.T. Driscoll A.K. Sauer

# Notice

This report was prepared by Syracuse University in the course of performing work contracted for and sponsored by the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (hereafter "NYSERDA"). The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect those of NYSERDA or the State of New York, and reference to any specific product, service, process, or method does not constitute an implied or expressed recommendation or endorsement of it. Further, NYSERDA, the State of New York, and the contractor make no warranties or representations, expressed or implied, as to the fitness for particular purpose or merchantability of any product, apparatus, or service, or the usefulness, completeness, or accuracy of any processes, methods, or other information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report. NYSERDA, the State of New York, and the contractor make no representation that the use of any product, apparatus, process, method, or other information will not infringe privately owned rights and will assume no liability for any loss, injury, or damage resulting from, or occurring in connection with, the use of information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report.

NYSERDA makes every effort to provide accurate information about copyright owners and related matters in the reports we publish. Contractors are responsible for determining and satisfying copyright or other use restrictions regarding the content of reports that they write, in compliance with NYSERDA's policies and federal law. If you are the copyright owner and believe a NYSERDA report has not properly attributed your work to you or has used it without permission, please email print @nyserda.ny.gov.

# **Preferred Citation**

New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA). 2015. "Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Terrestrial Food Webs in the Adirondack Park of New York State," NYSERDA Report 16-06. Prepared by Syracuse University. nyserda.ny.gov/publications

# Abstract

Mercury (Hg), a potent neurotoxin, has been shown to impact the behavior, growth and reproductive success of wildlife through bioaccumulation within food webs. The detrimental effects of mercury contamination have been extensively documented in aquatic ecosystems, but it is equally important to understand the impacts of mercury deposition, methylation, and bioaccumulation on biota within the adjacent, surrounding terrestrial landscape. Unfortunately, relatively few studies have focused on the mechanisms of Hg bioaccumulation in terrestrial ecosystems. However, regional studies have documented elevated blood mercury concentrations within several Northeastern songbird species, specifically those inhabiting wetland habitats and high-elevation boreal forests.

The overall goal of this research was to investigate patterns of biotic mercury exposure and identify pathways for methylmercury bioaccumulation within terrestrial habitats in the Adirondack Park of New York State. From 2008 to 2011, intensive field studies were conducted within several *Sphagnum* bog, northern hardwood forest, and montane habitats within the Adirondack Park. Biotic samples, including songbird blood and forest-floor invertebrates, were collected and analyzed for total mercury, methylmercury (MeHg), and stable isotope signatures to better define the connections between methylmercury biomagnification and trophic connectivity among songbirds and their prey items within Adirondack food webs. Overall results indicate that dietary selection, seasonal prey availability, and habitat type were important factors contributing to mercury exposure levels in regional songbird communities.

# Keywords

Mercury, songbirds, Sphagnum Bog, Whiteface Mountain, Adirondack Park

# Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to the financial support provided by the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority to conduct this research, including the administrative coordination and project support provided by Gregory Lampman and Mark Watson. We also thank the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYS DEC), Olympic Regional Development Authority (ORDA), Adirondack Chapter of The Nature Conservancy & Adirondack Land Trust, and Massawepie Scout Camps for providing access to study sites at Bloomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire, Spring Pond Bog and Whiteface Mountain. And finally, we greatly appreciate the tireless efforts of several project interns, fellow graduate students, colleagues and family members that provided invaluable field assistance during the course of this research: Julia Braunmueller, Chelsea Baldino, Grace Conklin, Sara Nason, Kendra Nash, Brad Blackwell, Sam Werner, Melissa Duron, Lynee Sauer and Bob Sauer.

# **Table of Contents**

Preferred Citation       iii         Abstract       iiii         Keywords       iiii         Acknowledgements       iv         List of Figures       vii         Acronyms and Abbreviations       viii         1       Introduction       1         1.1       Atmospheric Mercury Transport and Deposition       1         1.2       Mercury Cycling and Wildlife Health       2         2       Methods       6         2.1       Songbird Capture and Sampling Methodology       6         2.2       Invertebrate Sampling Methodology       8         2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern
Abstract       iii         Keywords       iii         Acknowledgements       iv         List of Figures       vii         Acronyms and Abbreviations       viii         1       Introduction       1         1.1       Atmospheric Mercury Transport and Deposition       1         1.2       Mercury Cycling and Wildlife Health       2         2       Methods       6         2.1       Songbird Capture and Sampling Methodology       6         2.2       Invertebrate Sampling Methodology       8         2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern
Keywords       iii         Acknowledgements       iv         List of Figures       vii         Acronyms and Abbreviations       viii         1       Introduction       1         1.1       Atmospheric Mercury Transport and Deposition       1         1.2       Mercury Cycling and Wildlife Health       2         2       Methods       6         2.1       Songbird Capture and Sampling Methodology       6         2.2       Invertebrate Sampling Methodology       8         2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern
Acknowledgements       iv         List of Figures       vii         Acronyms and Abbreviations       viii         1       Introduction       1         1.1       Atmospheric Mercury Transport and Deposition       1         1.2       Mercury Cycling and Wildlife Health       2         2       Methods       6         2.1       Songbird Capture and Sampling Methodology       6         2.2       Invertebrate Sampling Methodology       8         2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern         Hardwood Forest Terrestrial Food Webs       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern
List of Figures       vii         Acronyms and Abbreviations       viii         1       Introduction       1         1.1       Atmospheric Mercury Transport and Deposition       1         1.2       Mercury Cycling and Wildlife Health       2         2       Methods       6         2.1       Songbird Capture and Sampling Methodology       6         2.2       Invertebrate Sampling Methodology       6         2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern
Acronyms and Abbreviations.       viii         1       Introduction.       1         1.1       Atmospheric Mercury Transport and Deposition       1         1.2       Mercury Cycling and Wildlife Health       2         2       Methods       6         2.1       Songbird Capture and Sampling Methodology       6         2.2       Invertebrate Sampling Methodology       6         2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern       12
1       Introduction       1         1.1       Atmospheric Mercury Transport and Deposition       1         1.2       Mercury Cycling and Wildlife Health       2         2       Methods       6         2.1       Songbird Capture and Sampling Methodology       6         2.2       Invertebrate Sampling Methodology       6         2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern
1.1       Atmospheric Mercury Transport and Deposition       1         1.2       Mercury Cycling and Wildlife Health       2         2       Methods       6         2.1       Songbird Capture and Sampling Methodology       6         2.2       Invertebrate Sampling Methodology       6         2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern
1.2       Mercury Cycling and Wildlife Health       2         2       Methods       6         2.1       Songbird Capture and Sampling Methodology       6         2.2       Invertebrate Sampling Methodology       6         2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern         Hardwood Forest Terrestrial Food Webs       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern
2       Methods       6         2.1       Songbird Capture and Sampling Methodology       6         2.2       Invertebrate Sampling Methodology       8         2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern       12
2.1       Songbird Capture and Sampling Methodology       6         2.2       Invertebrate Sampling Methodology       8         2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern         Hardwood Forest Terrestrial Food Webs       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern       12
2.2       Invertebrate Sampling Methodology       8         2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern
2.3       Laboratory Analysis       9         2.3.1       Mercury Analysis       9         2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis       9         2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern         Hardwood Forest Terrestrial Food Webs       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern       12
2.3.1       Mercury Analysis
2.3.2       Stable Isotope Analysis
2.4       Statistical Analysis       10         3       Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern         Hardwood Forest Terrestrial Food Webs       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern       12
<ul> <li>3 Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern</li> <li>Hardwood Forest Terrestrial Food Webs</li></ul>
Hardwood Forest Terrestrial Food Webs       11         3.1       Study Area       11         3.2       Research Approach and Study Design       12         3.2.1       Phase One: Development and implementation of Sphagnum Bog and Northern       12
<ul> <li>3.1 Study Area</li></ul>
<ul> <li>3.2 Research Approach and Study Design</li></ul>
3.2.1 Phase One: Development and implementation of <i>Sphagnum</i> Bog and Northern
Allowood field study
3.3 Results
3.3.1 Avian Mercury Exposure 15
3 3 1 1 All Species
2.2.1.2 Moreury Concentrations in Sphagnum Bog and Northern Hardwood, Egrest Habitate 16
3.4 Mercury Concentrations and Species Patterns
3.4.1 Sphagnum Bog
3.4.2 Northern Hardwood Ecrests
3.5 Marcury Concentrations and Seasonal Effects
3.5.1 Sphagnum Bog

	3.5.2	2 Northern Hardwood Forests	20				
3	3.6	Invertebrate Mercury Exposure	22				
	3.6.′	1 Sphagnum Bog	22				
	3.6.2	2 Northern Hardwood Forest	23				
3	3.7	Mercury Bioaccumulation in Terrestrial Food Webs	24				
	3.7.	1 Madawaska Flow – <i>Sphagnum</i> Bog	24				
	3.7.2	2 Madawaska Flow – Northern Hardwood Forest	25				
	3.7.3	3 Bloomingdale Bog – <i>Sphagnum</i> Bog	26				
	3.7.4	4 Bloomingdale Bog – Northern Hardwood Forest	27				
3	3.8	Discussion	28				
	3.8. <sup>-</sup> Haro	1 Mercury Bioaccumulation within Adirondack <i>Sphagnum Bog</i> and Northern dwood Forests	28				
4 in	Met the A	thyImercury Bioaccumulation within Montane, Terrestrial Food Webs dirondack Park of New York State	32				
2	4.1	Study Area					
2	1.2	Research Approach and Study Design					
	4.2.1	1 Phase One: Montane field study					
	4.2.2	2 Phase Two: Mercury Sample Analysis					
2	4.3	Results	35				
	4.3.	1 Avian Mercury Exposure					
	4.	.3.1.1 All Species					
	4.3.2	2 Mercury Concentrations in Thrush Species Along an Elevational Gradient					
	4.3.3	3 Mercury Concentrations and Slope Aspect					
	4.3.4	4 Mercury Concentrations and Seasonal Effects	40				
	4.3.	5 Invertebrate Mercury Exposure	41				
2	1.4	Discussion	42				
	4.4.	1 Mercury Bioaccumulation within Montane Forests at Whiteface Mountain					
5	Cor	nclusion	46				
6	Ref	erences	49				
Ap	pend	lix A	A-1				
An	pend	lix B	B-1				
An	Appendix C						
Δn	Appendix D						
<b>۲</b> , ۳	P 2110						

Appendix E	E-1
Appendix F	F-1
Appendix G	G-1
Appendix H	H-1
Appendix I	H-1
Appendix J	J-1

# List of Figures

Figure 1. Hypothesized pathways of mercury bioaccumulation within a terrestrial,	2
Figure 2. Nashville warbler being banded with U.S. Geological Survey aluminum leg band	
Figure 3. Blood sample collection from a red-eyed vireo	8
Figure 4. Songbird sampling locations in Adirondack Park in 2008, 2009, and 2011	12
Figure 5. Mean blood mercury concentrations for songbird species at Bloomingdale Bog,	
Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire, and Spring Pond Bog: 2008, 2009, 2011	16
Figure 6. Mean blood mercury concentrations in Sphagnum bog and northern forest	
babitats at Bloomingdale Bog. Madawaska Flow, Massawenie Mire and Spring	
Pond Bog: 2008, 2009, 2011	17
Figure 7 Mean blood mercury concentrations for Sphagnum bog songhird species at	17
Planning de la Dar. Madeuracka Eleve Massacuaria Mira, and Caring David Barr	
Bioomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massaweple Mire, and Spring Pond Bog:	4.0
2008, 2009, 2011	18
Figure 8. Mean blood mercury concentrations for northern hardwood forest songbird	
species at Bloomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire and Spring	
Pond Bog: 2008, 2009, 2011	19
Figure 9. Seasonal blood mercury concentrations in northern hardwood forest songbird	
species at Bloomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire and Spring	
Pond Bog: 2008. 2009. 2011	21
Figure 10. Mean total mercury concentrations for <i>Sphagnum</i> bog invertebrates at	
Bloomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massawania Mire and Spring Pond Bog.	
	າາ
ZUUO, ZUU9, ZUTT	ZZ
Figure 11. Iviean total mercury concentrations for northern hardwood forest invertebrates	
at Bloomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire and Spring Pond Bog:	
2008, 2009, 2011	23

Figure	12. Stable isotope values ( $\delta$ 15N) and total mercury concentrations for songbird and invertebrate species sampled within <i>Sphagnum</i> bog habitat at Madwaska	
	Flow: 2009	25
Figure	13. Stable isotope values ( $\delta$ 15N) and total mercury concentrations (ng/g) for	
	songbird and invertebrate species sampled within northern hardwood forests at	
	Madawaska Flow: 2009	26
Figure	14. Stable isotope values ( $\delta^{15}N$ ) and total mercury concentrations for songbird and invertebrate species sampled within <i>Sphagnum</i> bog habitat at Bloomingdale	
	Bog: 2009	27
Figure	15. Stable isotope values (δ15N) and total mercury concentrations for songbird and invertebrate species sampled within northern hardwood forest at Bloomingdale	
	Bog: 2009	28
Figure	16. Sampling locations on Whiteface Mountain, New York, 2009-2010	33
Figure	17. Mean blood mercury concentrations for songbird species on Whiteface	
	Mountain: 2009-2010	36
Figure	18. Relationship between elevation and blood mercury concentrations in thrush	
	species on Whiteface Mountain: 2009-2010	38
Figure	19. Mean blood mercury concentrations for songbird species on east and	
	west side of Whiteface Mountain: 2009-2010	39
Figure	20. Seasonal blood mercury concentrations in thrush species on Whiteface	
	Mountain: 2009 – 2010	41
Figure	21. Mean total mercury concentrations for invertebrates at Whiteface Mountain:	
	2009-2010	42

# Acronyms and Abbreviations

µg dw	micrograms dry weight
g EMEP	gram Environmental Monitoring, Evaluation, and Protection
ng km <sup>2</sup> LOAEL	square kilometers Lowest observed adverse effect level
MeHg	methylmercury nanogram
NYS NYS DEC	New York State New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
NYSERDA PBT	New York State Energy Research and Development Authority persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic
WW	wet weight

## 1 Introduction

Mercury (Hg) deposition is a critical environmental issue for New York State and beyond that needs further study to fully understand and define its impacts on terrestrial ecosystems. Many investigations have been conducted relating to the effects of mercury contamination in aquatic habitats, but few studies have been done to establish baseline information on the mechanisms of mercury transport and transfer through terrestrial food chains. This lack of information greatly limits our understanding of the connectivity and overall impacts of atmospheric mercury deposition on sensitive terrestrial ecosystems and regional wildlife populations.

### 1.1 Atmospheric Mercury Transport and Deposition

Mercury contamination in the northeastern United States is the result of atmospheric deposition arising from local, regional, and global sources (Fitzgerald et al. 1998, Driscoll et al. 2007). These sources include primary human sources, such as coal-fired power plants, incinerators, and industrial emissions; natural sources, such as soil and mineral weathering and volcanos; and secondary emissions (or re-emissions) (Driscoll et al. 2013). Emissions can be transported tens to thousands of kilometers, and deposition upon the landscape can reflect meteorological characteristics, such as prevailing wind patterns and precipitation rates, as well as stochastic seasonal fluctuations, elevation, and vegetation type (Miller et al. 2005, VanArsdale et al. 2005, Demers et al. 2007, Yu et al. 2013). Therefore, deposition patterns and the resulting impacts upon wildlife health can be the result of multiple processes occurring across various spatial and temporal scales.

Once deposited upon the landscape, sulfate- and iron-reducing bacteria convert inorganic mercury into an organic, biologically available form called methylmercury (MeHg; Podar et al. 2015), which bioaccumulates to toxic levels through both aquatic and terrestrial food chains. It is through these processes of transfer and biomagnification from prey species, at lower trophic levels, to predator species, positioned at higher trophic levels, that the detrimental behavioral and physiological effects resulting from mercury toxicity become evident. Cumulatively, these resulting impacts, due to chronic or episodic mercury exposure, may alter the structure and function of the associated food chains and affect the long-term population dynamics of those species affected. Although the effects of mercury contamination have been extensively documented in aquatic ecosystems, it is equally important to establish information on biotic exposure levels and the dynamics of mercury transport through terrestrial food chains within the adjacent, surrounding landscape. Methylmercury has been designated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency as a persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic (PBT) pollutant and has become a globally recognized conservation concern due to its ability to biomagnify and bioaccumulate within the environment and cause adverse effects to wildlife and human health (USEPA 2013).

### 1.2 Mercury Cycling and Wildlife Health

Mercury contamination in the northeastern U.S. is widespread and the associated effects on wildlife species and their habitats is a concern for the long-term viability of these populations (Bank et al. 2007, Driscoll et al. 2007, Evers et al. 2007). Several studies have established that the neurotoxin biomagnifies as it is transferred through aquatic and terrestrial food webs, and compromises the reproductive success, behavior, growth, development, and survivorship of those individuals affected through various lethal and sublethal effects (Evers 2005a, Jackson et al. 2011a).

Species, such as songbirds, located at the top of the food chain make excellent bio-indicators of the health of the environment they inhabit. Therefore, many investigations have focused on the connection between top-level trophic species and lower-level prey items as a means to investigate the transport and bioaccumulation of environmental contaminants, such as mercury. It is commonly hypothesized that mercury is transported to top predators, such as songbirds, through consumption of invertebrate, prey species located at lower trophic levels within terrestrial food webs (Figure 1). Seasonally, birds are able to reduce the concentrations of mercury in their bodies through feather growth and egg deposition (Heinz 1979, Furness et al. 1986, Thompson and Furness 1989, Scheuhammer et al. 2001). However, through continued ingestion of prey species high in mercury content, these individuals may accumulate mercury faster than they depurate excess body burdens through natural processes. Considering that insectivores, such as songbirds, are widespread across the landscape and may be possible vectors of mercury transport into the surrounding environment, it is necessary to understand the role that these species may represent in regards to the regional health of the Northern Forest.

Figure 1. Hypothesized pathways of mercury bioaccumulation within a terrestrial, insectivore food web



Within the northeastern U.S., a region widely impacted by atmospheric mercury deposition, significant individual and population level impacts have been documented in studies relating to terrestrial amphibian and avian communities (Bank et al. 2005, 2006, 2007; Evers et al. 2005b; Townsend and Driscoll 2013). To date, many regional studies documenting mercury impacts and exposure levels in avian populations have focused on top-predator, fish-eating birds, such as the common loon, as methylmercury bioaccumulates to high levels within their tissues (Scheuhammer et al. 2007, Evers et al. 2008, Schoch et al. 2014a, Schoch et al. 2014b). However, research efforts have also demonstrated that songbird species foraging upon aquatic-based food webs can also bioaccumulate methylmercury to levels that are similar to or exceed piscivorous bird species (Evers et al. 2005b, Cristol et al. 2008, Jackson et al. 2011b).

Various research studies conducted in the northeastern United States, to assess mercury exposure levels in songbird communities across a wide variety of species and habitat types, have identified blood mercury concentrations in several species elevated to levels that exceed thresholds where adverse physiological effects become evident. Results have indicated that wetland songbirds, particularly those species foraging on insects during the breeding season, are at the greatest risk to the impacts of mercury contamination (Edmonds et al. 2010, Lane et al. 2011, Evers et al. 2012, Jackson et al. 2015). Additionally, studies

targeting songbirds inhabiting upland forests, not associated with aquatic habitats, have documented elevated body burdens of methylmercury, specifically within high-elevation boreal specialists, such as the Bicknell's thrush (Rimmer et al. 2005 and 2009, Townsend et al. 2014). Numerous toxicology studies have also established that birds are sensitive to the multi-system effects of methylmercury exposure, including: endocrine and immune system disruption (Heath and Frederick 2005, Adams et al. 2009, Hawley et al. 2009, Wada et al. 2009), skewed sex ratios (Bouland et al. 2012), altered songs (Hallinger et al. 2010), reproductive impairment (Brasso et al. 2008, Evers et al. 2008, Frederick and Jayasena 2011, Hallinger and Cristol 2011, Jackson et al. 2011a), asymmetrical feather growth (Evers et al. 2008), reduced body condition (Ackerman et al. 2012), and decreased survival rates (Hallinger et al. 2011). Therefore, research designed to utilize songbirds as critical indicators of terrestrial mercury contamination will effectively improve the ability to more accurately define and monitor levels of methylmercury bioavailability across the landscape, which is crucial to evaluate the risk this PBT poses to regional songbird populations.

Here the results are presented from two studies conducted to investigate and evaluate patterns of biotic methylmercury exposure within data-limited *Sphagnum* bog and northern hardwood forests, and high-elevation, montane habitats. For the following investigations, all study sites were located in the Adirondack Park of New York State. This region is of particular interest as previous research has designated portions of the Adirondack Park as a "biological mercury hotspot." These locations are defined as areas that are subject to moderate mercury deposition and possess landscape characteristics, such as thin soils, abundant forest and wetlands, and highly acidic habitats, which facilitate the transport of inorganic mercury, its conversion to methylmercury, and transfer into aquatic and terrestrial food webs (Driscoll et al. 2007, Evers et al. 2007). As a result, it was expected that the trophic transfer and bioaccumulation of mercury from prey to predator would be evident and quantifiable within the selected study areas. Ultimately, it is intended that the results from this research will advance scientific understanding and better define the links between trophic connectivity and methylmercury bioaccumulation for songbird species and their prey items within sensitive habitat types in the Adirondack Park.

The overall goal of this research was to improve understanding of the fundamental mechanisms of methylmercury transfer within terrestrial-based, insectivore food webs and to share findings with fellow scientists, landscape managers and policy makers. The specific objectives were to:

- 1. Develop and implement an intensive field research study to examine spatial and temporal patterns, and the transfer of methylmercury within *Sphagnum* bog and northern hardwood forest habitats.
- 2. Conduct an intensive field study to investigate total and methylmercury concentrations with respect to elevation, aspect and seasonal variation within montane, terrestrial food webs.
- 3. Identify trophic pathways for methylmercury bioaccumulation in sensitive, terrestrial food webs using stable isotope analysis.

It is intended that this research will link data from established studies, complement on-going research projects, direct future research initiatives, and provide much-needed information focusing on the connections between mercury deposition as an environmental stressor and its impact on terrestrial ecosystems and wildlife communities in the northeastern United States.

# 2 Methods

## 2.1 Songbird Capture and Sampling Methodology

For this project, songbird blood and feather samples were collected using standardized methodologies from *Sphagnum* bogs, hardwoods forests, and montane habitats in the Adirondack Mountains of New York State from 2008 through 2011. Sampling occurred during May through August, which corresponds with periods of peak breeding activity for Northeast songbird species. Insectivorous songbirds were captured using nonlethal methods including: mist nets, decoys, and playback calls. At each study site, 6- and 12- meter (36-millimeter black nylon mesh) mist nets were temporarily erected along with decoys and playback calls to encourage a territorial response for each target species. Once captured, each bird was carefully removed from the net and evaluated for any signs of injury or obvious trauma to the body and wings. Each net was checked every 20-30 minutes.

During processing, each bird was banded, weighed, morphological measurements were recorded, blood and feather samples were collected, and individuals were released. Each bird was fitted with an aluminum U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) leg band that contains a unique number and these records are submitted to the USGS Patuxent Wildlife Center for use in the North American Bird Banding Program (Figure 2). Songbirds are banded during processing as a means to identify the individual bird during future on-site captures or if found at another location during their life history. If captured again, the band serves as an individual record of its history and may yield information related to life span, wintering or breeding site fidelity, and dispersal or migratory patterns. Figure 2. Nashville warbler being banded with U.S. Geological Survey aluminum leg band



To assess individual mercury concentrations, blood samples were acquired using sterile collection techniques and are representative of recent dietary uptake of methylmercury, thereby reflecting available methylmercury levels within the associated breeding habitat (French et al. 2010). Blood was collected from the ulnar vein, using a sterile, 27-gauge needle and pressure was applied to the vein immediately after collection (Figure 3). The sampled blood was collected in 1-3 heparinized, micro-hematocrit capillary tubes for a total of 30-50 microliters. The volume of blood is dependent upon the weight of the bird, but constitutes no more than 1% of total body mass (Fair et al. 2010). For this study, individuals may have been captured on multiple occasions during the field season, but blood was not collected more than once per month from May to August. In contrast to blood mercury, feather samples represent long-term mercury exposure and are indicative of mercury body burdens over the bird's lifetime, as well as dietary uptake during periods of feather molt. Two outer rectrices (tail feathers) were also collected and archived for possible future analysis. All songbird blood and feather samples were frozen and transported to Syracuse University for mercury and stable isotope analyses.

Figure 3. Blood sample collection from a red-eyed vireo



## 2.2 Invertebrate Sampling Methodology

Dietary input of mercury was determined by collecting forest invertebrates that typically comprise prey items of the selected songbird species, including: spider (*Araneae*), millipede (*Polydesmida*), ant (*Hymenoptera*), slug (*Pulmonata*), beetle (*Coleoptera*), caterpillar (*Lepidoptera*), daddy long legs (*Opiliones*), true bug (*Hemiptera*), grasshoppers (*Orthoptera*), and flies (*Diptera*). At each location, invertebrate species were sampled using several commonly used techniques including: pitfall traps, sweep netting and opportunistic collection. Collected whole-body invertebrate samples were frozen and transported to Syracuse University for identification, sample preparation, and mercury and stable isotope analysis.

### 2.3 Laboratory Analysis

#### 2.3.1 Mercury Analysis

Songbird and forest invertebrate samples were analyzed for total mercury concentrations with a Milestone Direct Mercury Analyzer (DMA-80), according to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method 7473, in the Center for Environmental Systems Engineering laboratory (CESE) at Syracuse University. Research has documented that approximately 95% of total mercury in songbird blood is in the form of methylmercury, therefore additional methylmercury analyses were not conducted for songbird blood (Rimmer et al. 2005, Edmonds et al. 2010). All blood mercury concentrations are reported as micrograms per gram ( $\mu$ g/g), wet weight (ww).

Forest invertebrates were sorted and identified to the lowest taxonomic level. Prior to analysis, all invertebrates were cleaned with a methanol solution and freeze-dried. Individual samples were homogenized with a stainless steel spatula and composited if dry weights were below 0.01 grams. Mercury concentrations are expressed as nanograms per gram (ng/g), dry weight (dw).

Quality assurance for each analytical run of 10 samples was determined with a method blank, instrument blank, duplicate sample, and verification with certified reference standards for curve calibration and quality control using the following materials: apples leaves (NIST SRM 1515), mussel tissue (NIST SRM 2976), bovine blood (NIST SRM 966), caprine blood (NIST SRM 955c), and seronorm.

#### 2.3.2 Stable Isotope Analysis

Stable isotope analyses were conducted at the Environmental Science Stable Isotope Laboratory (EaSSIL) under the supervision of Dr. Mark Teece at SUNY College of Environmental Science and Forestry (SUNY-ESF). For this investigation,  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C values were analyzed from selected songbird and invertebrate samples collected from *Sphagnum* bog and northern hardwood forest study sites. A ThermoFinnigan Delta XL Plus Stable Isotope Mass Spectrometer attached to a Costech Elemental Analyzer was used to measure stable isotope values in each sample. Prior to analysis, all samples were freeze-dried, ground to a fine powder, and transferred to a tin capsule for analysis. To ensure equipment accuracy and precision of the stable isotope measurements, instrument response was evaluated following every eight samples through verification of internal laboratory standards, including: acetanilide, valine and daphnia. Isotopic ratios are reported as parts per mil (‰) and were calculated using Equation 1:

#### Equation 1 $\delta X = [(Rsample/Rstandard) - 1] \times 1000$

where:

- X is the values of  ${}^{15}$ N or  ${}^{13}$ C
- R is the ratio of heavy to light isotopes in each sample and standard will reflect the ratios of  ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$  and  ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ .

## 2.4 Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was conducted using descriptive statistics, t-tests, linear regression, ANOVA, and post-hoc Tukey's HSD test to evaluate songbird and invertebrate mercury levels at Adirondack study sites. Songbird mercury results are representative of adult individuals, as juveniles were excluded from statistical analyses. Data were log-transformed prior to analysis and all statistical tests were considered significant at a p value of <0.05. Statistical analyses were conducted in JMP 9.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

# 3 Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Sphagnum Bog and Northern Hardwood Forest Terrestrial Food Webs

## 3.1 Study Area

Four study sites were selected for sample collection during 2008, 2009, and 2011 in the Adirondack Park (Figure 4). Massawepie Mire (44.23°N, 74.66°W) is located on the Massawepie Boy Scout Camp property in the town of Piercefield, and is the largest boreal peatland bog complex in New York State with an estimated total size of 5,000 acres (20.2 km<sup>2</sup>), including 900 acres (3.6 square kilometers [km<sup>2</sup>]) of open peatland. Spring Pond Bog (44.37°N, 74.50°W) is owned by The Nature Conservancy and located in the town of Altamont, and is the second largest *Sphagnum* bog in New York State at 500 acres (2.0 km<sup>2</sup>) with a total complex acreage of 4,200 acres (17 km<sup>2</sup>). Madawaska Flow (44.51°N, 74.40°W), located on NYS DEC Conservation Easement property in the town of Santa Clara, contains a series of open bogs within an estimated 3,000-acre (12.1 km<sup>2</sup>) wetland complex. Bloomingdale Bog (44.38°N, 74.14°W) is also managed by NYS DEC in the town of St. Armand, and is a large bog complex that contains a mix of peatland and forested community types. All study sites contain extensive wetland systems, diverse plant communities, and provide essential habitat for a wide variety of resident and migratory boreal songbird species.



Figure 4. Songbird sampling locations in Adirondack Park in 2008, 2009, and 2011

## 3.2 Research Approach and Study Design

To complete the objectives of the study, this project was divided into two phases. During the first phase, intensive field studies were conducted at four study Adirondack study sites in 2008, 2009, and 2011. The second phase included detailed mercury and stable isotope analyses to document biotic mercury exposure levels and the transfer of methylmercury within terrestrial food webs in the Adirondack Park of New York State.

# 3.2.1 Phase One: Development and implementation of *Sphagnum* Bog and Northern Hardwood field study

This phase of the research project was developed based on the need to further investigate and better understand the dynamics of mercury cycling through insectivore food webs in New York State. Sampling protocols were developed and standardized based on established terrestrial research projects conducted by Biodiversity Research Institute and Syracuse University. The overall design of the field study was to examine patterns of mercury concentrations in selected vertebrate and invertebrate prey species within sensitive terrestrial ecosystems in the Adirondack Park of New York State. The hypothesis of the project suggests that mercury is transferred to songbirds, at the top of the food chain, through consumption of invertebrates located at lower trophic levels. As a result, this study was designed to sample and compare mercury exposure levels in songbird communities and invertebrate prey items at study sites within Sphagnum bogs and surrounding hardwood forests. Despite similar rates of estimated atmospheric deposition (Appendix A and Appendix B; Yu et al. 2013), it was hypothesized that methylmercury concentrations would be elevated within bog ecosystems when compared to adjacent northern hardwood forests because of increased conversion rates of ionic mercury to methylmercury in oxygen-poor, low pH, wetland habitats. Therefore, it was anticipated that these two habitat types support different rates of mercury methylation, and levels of bioavailable methylmercury would be reflected in biotic exposure levels and documented as a result of this study.

Project sampling to identify avenues for methylmercury bioaccumulation in terrestrial habitats was conducted during the 2008, 2009, and 2011 field seasons. In 2008, sampling efforts were focused in Adirondack *Sphagnum* bogs at Massawepie Mire and Spring Pond Bog. In 2009, sampling was conducted at Madawaska Flow and Bloomingdale Bog. During 2011, samples were collected from within all previously sampled *Sphagnum* bog and northern hardwood forest study locations. Considering that montane study sites, as part of an accompanying project on Whiteface Mountain, had been sampled for a period of two consecutive years (2009 and 2010), the *Sphagnum* bog sites were re-surveyed for an additional field season, so that all boreal and *Sphagnum* bog sites were sampled over the course of two complete breeding seasons. Additional collection efforts were designed to complement and strengthen existing project data, and contribute to a more thorough Adirondack wildlife mercury dataset. In an effort to capture potential temporal variation, all sites were intensively sampled multiple times during the course of the field season.

Based on similar regional studies conducted during previous years, several avian species of concern were targeted to examine species-specific mercury body burdens:

- Sphagnum Bog yellow palm warbler (Setophaga palmarum), Lincoln's sparrow (Melospiza lincolnii), Nashville warbler (Oreothlypis ruficapilla).
- Northern Hardwood Forest hermit thrush (*Catharus guttatus*), ovenbird (*Seiurus aurocapillus*), red-eyed vireo (*Vireo olivaceus*).

Forest invertebrate prey items were also collected from study sites during each sampling event throughout the course of the field season.

### 3.2.2 Phase Two: Mercury and Stable Isotope Analyses

To investigate patterns of biotic mercury exposure, songbird and forest invertebrate samples collected during the 2008, 2009, and 2011 field seasons were analyzed for total mercury concentrations at the Center for Environmental Systems Engineering (CESE) laboratory at Syracuse University.

Stable isotope technology can serve as a valuable tool to decipher the complexities of predator-prey dynamics and the bioaccumulation of contaminants occurring within food webs. A stable isotope analysis was conducted at SUNY-ESF on collected samples to evaluate food web pathways and identify mercury and trophic connectivity between predators and their prey resources. This analysis examined the  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C values for selected invertebrate and songbird samples collected from both *Sphagnum* bog and hardwood forest habitats at Madawaska Flow and Bloomingdale Bog during 2009 field surveys. The  $\delta^{15}$ N values acquired from invertebrate and songbird samples are used to determine relative trophic position, with an anticipated incremental +3‰ enrichment factor occurring at each ascending trophic level. The  $\delta^{13}$ C values serve as a baseline carbon source for the associated system. In addition,  $\delta^{15}$ N values for songbird and invertebrate species were examined relative to mercury concentrations as a means to link trophic structure and mercury bioaccumulation. The results from the stable isotope component will provide the first observations linking mercury concentrations with stable isotope signatures from songbird and invertebrate communities within *Sphagnum* bogs and northern hardwood forests in the Adirondack Park. Stable isotope analyses were conducted in collaboration with the Environmental Science Stable Isotope Laboratory (EaSSIL) at SUNY-ESF.

### 3.3 Results

#### 3.3.1 Avian Mercury Exposure

#### 3.3.1.1 All Species

During 2008, 2009, and 2011, a total of 244 adult songbirds, representing 21 species, were captured, banded, and analyzed for total mercury exposure (N=292) from *Sphagnum* bog and northern hardwood forest habitats at Bloomingdale Bog, Massawepie Mire, Madawaska Flow, and Spring Pond Bog (Figure 5 and Appendix C). Of the species sampled, seven songbird families were represented: Emberizids/New World sparrows (*Emberizidae*), tanagers (*Cardinalidae*), thrushes (*Turdidae*), vireos (*Vireonidae*), waxwings (*Bombycillidae*), woodpeckers (*Picidae*), and wood-warblers (*Parulidae*). Additionally, all songbirds were classified within four dietary foraging guilds, including: frugivore, insectivore, omnivore, and vermivore (De Graaf 1985). Across all *Sphagnum* bog habitats (N=134), blood mercury levels ranged from 0.018  $\mu$ g/g in a cedar waxwing at Madawaska Flow, to 2.815  $\mu$ g/g for a yellow palm warbler at Massawepie Mire. Within the forested study sites (N=158), mean mercury levels ranged from 0.020  $\mu$ g/g for a hermit thrush at Spring Pond Bog, to a high of 1.313  $\mu$ g/g for a red-eyed vireo at Massawepie Mire. Overall, yellow palm warblers and red-eyed vireos demonstrated the highest mercury concentrations within their respective habitats, as compared to other associated bog and forest species. Therefore, these species may likely be at a higher risk to the physiological impacts of mercury contamination as a biological stressor.

Results are presented for adult songbird mercury concentrations, therefore 48 juveniles, from 11 species, were sampled during the 2009-2010 field efforts, but were excluded from statistical analyses (Appendix C).

#### Figure 5. Mean blood mercury concentrations for songbird species at Bloomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire, and Spring Pond Bog: 2008, 2009, 2011

Species shaded in green represent forested songbirds and those in blue are bog-obligate species. Gray shading reflects the maximum mercury levels detected for each species. Colored lines represent established mercury threshold concentrations (LOAEL's) associated with adverse impacts on songbird reproductive success (Jackson et al. 2011a).



### 3.3.1.2 Mercury Concentrations in Sphagnum Bog and Northern Hardwood Forest Habitats

To evaluate differences in blood mercury concentrations between *Sphagnum* bog and northern hardwood forests, six species were targeted for comparative analysis which were common among all study sites and served as a representative species for each habitat type. Lincoln's sparrow, Nashville warbler, and yellow palm warbler were selected as bog-obligate species. Hermit thrush, ovenbird, and red-eyed vireo were targeted in forested cover types. For all target species collected across study sites, songbird blood was significantly higher in the *Sphagnum* bog (N=126; 0.347 µg/g) as compared to the surrounding hardwood forests (N=134; 0.177 µg/g; t=5.5 DF=258, P<0.0001). At each study site, songbird blood mercury concentrations were significantly higher in *Sphagnum* bogs as compared to adjacent forest sites at Madawaska Flow (0.435 µg/g, 0.185 µg/g; P=0.0002), Massawepie Mire (0.353 µg/g, 0.206 µg/g; P=0.0255), and Spring Pond Bog (0.417 µg/g, 0.175 µg/g; P=0.0002; Figure 6). Blood

mercury concentrations for songbirds sampled at Bloomingdale Bog did not differ between habitat types  $(0.154 \ \mu g/g, 0.135 \ \mu g/g; P=0.5572)$ . Across all *Sphagnum* bog sites, blood mercury concentrations were found to be significantly elevated at Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire, and Spring Pond Bog over songbirds at Bloomingdale Bog (F=9.8, DF=3, P<0.0001). There was no difference in blood mercury for forest songbirds between study sites (F=1.7, DF=3, P=0.1709).





## 3.4 Mercury Concentrations and Species Patterns

### 3.4.1 Sphagnum Bog

To better understand species-specific exposure levels for songbirds sampled at the study sites, patterns of blood mercury concentrations were evaluated within each habitat type. Across all *Sphagnum* bog sites, mean blood mercury concentrations were significantly different among each targeted species (F=53.7, DF=2, P<0.0001; Figure 7). Nashville warbler exhibited the lowest blood mercury concentrations (N=23; 0.106  $\mu$ g/g), followed by Lincoln's sparrow (N=61; 0.251  $\mu$ g/g), and yellow palm warbler had the highest blood levels across all sites (N=42; 0.617  $\mu$ g/g). This species pattern was documented within each study site and suggests that certain bog-obligate species, like the yellow palm

warbler, may have generally higher mercury exposure as compared to other associated species. Small samples sizes precluded reliable statistical comparisons among species for individual study sites.

# Figure 7. Mean blood mercury concentrations for *Sphagnum* bog songbird species at Bloomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire, and Spring Pond Bog: 2008, 2009, 2011

The yellow line represents documented mercury threshold concentrations (LOAEL's) associated with a 10% reduction in songbird nesting success (Jackson et al. 2011a).



### 3.4.2 Northern Hardwood Forests

Mean blood mercury concentrations varied significantly among each targeted species across all forested study locations (F=43.5, DF=2, P<0.0001; Figure 8). Ovenbirds had the lowest blood mercury concentrations (N=29; 0.077  $\mu$ g/g), followed by hermit thrush (N=63; 0.131  $\mu$ g/g), and the highest mercury concentrations were found in the red-eyed vireo (N=42; 0.312  $\mu$ g/g). This consistent pattern of increasing mercury concentrations across species was also evident across study sites and similar to the pattern observed in the *Sphagnum* bog species, which reflects that mercury bioaccumulation differs among individual songbird species within the same habitat type. Small samples sizes did not allow for statistical analysis among species at individual study sites.



Figure 8. Mean blood mercury concentrations for northern hardwood forest songbird species at Bloomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire and Spring Pond Bog: 2008, 2009, 2011

## 3.5 Mercury Concentrations and Seasonal Effects

### 3.5.1 Sphagnum Bog

Across all target species combined in the *Sphagnum* bog sites, there was no overall relationship between sampling date and blood mercury concentrations, therefore songbird mercury was not detected to significantly increase or decrease over the course of the field season (F=0.3, DF=1, P=0.5659, R<sup>2</sup>=0.003; N=126). However, when each species was examined separately, Lincoln's Sparrow blood mercury declined during the field season (P=0.0281, R<sup>2</sup>=0.08), while yellow palm warbler showed an increase (P=0.0208, R<sup>2</sup>=0.13) and Nashville warbler showed no relationship between blood mercury and capture date (P=0.7325, R<sup>2</sup>=0.006).

To further examine mercury bioaccumulation in individual songbirds, 18 birds were recaptured within the same field season. Of these sampled birds, blood mercury concentrations increased in three Lincoln's sparrows, six yellow palm warblers, and two Nashville warblers. Five Lincoln's sparrows and one yellow palm warbler decreased, and one Lincoln Sparrow was found to maintain the same blood concentrations. Of this total, one Lincoln's sparrow at Spring Pond Bog was found to increase its mercury body burdens from 0.200  $\mu$ g/g to 0.821  $\mu$ g/g during the course of the 2011 field season. Another yellow palm warbler captured at Massawepie Mire increased from 0.562  $\mu$ g/g to 1.110  $\mu$ g/g during the 2011 field season. Additionally, five songbirds were captured during different sampling years. Body burdens in one Lincoln's sparrow and two yellow palm warblers were found to decrease; however, two Lincoln's sparrows exhibited increasing mercury concentrations between years.

#### 3.5.2 Northern Hardwood Forests

For target species within the northern hardwood sites, there was a negative relationship between capture date and blood mercury, as concentrations were found to decline during the field season (F=13.8, DF=1, P=0.0003, R<sup>2</sup>=0.095; N=134; Figure 9). Blood mercury concentrations in the hermit thrush (P<0.0001, R<sup>2</sup>=0.32), red-eyed vireo (P=0.0437, R<sup>2</sup>=0.10), and ovenbird (P<0.0001, R<sup>2</sup>=0.53) were all found to decline during the field season.

To further assess patterns of mercury bioaccumulation within sampled individuals, 16 songbirds were captured more than once during the field season. Of this total, blood mercury in two red-eyed vireo increased, eight hermit thrush and one red-eyed vireo decreased, two ovenbirds stayed the same, and mercury concentrations in three hermit thrushes increased, followed by a decrease. Additionally, six songbirds were recaptured during subsequent field seasons and are reflective of mercury bioaccumulation between years. Blood mercury decreased in one hermit thrush between years, and increased in all remaining birds, including: one hermit thrush, one yellow-rumped warbler, one red-eyed vireo and two ovenbirds. The recaptured red-eyed vireo from Madawaska Flow exhibited the greatest increase in blood mercury concentrations from  $0.054 \mu g/g$  in 2009 to  $0.475 \mu g/g$  in 2011. The hermit thrush was initially captured at Madawaska Flow as a first-year juvenile in 2009 with blood mercury concentrations of  $0.033 \mu g/g$ , which had increased to  $0.157 \mu g/g$  upon its subsequent recapture in 2011.

Figure 9. Seasonal blood mercury concentrations in northern hardwood forest songbird species at Bloomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire and Spring Pond Bog: 2008, 2009, 2011



y (log) = -0.141 - 0.004 \* Julian day

## 3.6 Invertebrate Mercury Exposure

### 3.6.1 Sphagnum Bog

A total of 1,115 invertebrates, representing 10 orders, were collected and analyzed for total mercury (ng/g, dw), through both whole-body and composited samples, from *Sphagnum* bog habitats at Bloomingdale Bog, Massawepie Mire, Madawaska Flow, and Spring Pond Bog during 2008, 2009 and 2011 (Figure 10 and Appendix D). Predatory invertebrates within the Araneae (spider; N=274; Hg=363.3 ng/g) and Odonata (dragonfly and damselfly; N=7; Hg=261.9 ng/g) orders exhibited the highest mean mercury values. Lower trophic level, herbivorous invertebrates within the Lepidoptera (caterpillar; N=195; Hg=23.6 ng/g) and Orthoptera (grasshopper; N=35; Hg=35.1 ng/g) were found to have the lowest mean mercury values.





### 3.6.2 Northern Hardwood Forest

During 2008, 2009, and 2011, 983 invertebrates representing 16 orders were sampled from within northern hardwood habitats at Bloomingdale Bog, Massawepie Mire, Madawaska Flow, and Spring Pond Bog and analyzed for total mercury concentrations (ng/g, dw) with individual and composited samples (Figure 11 and Appendix D). Similar to the *Sphagnum* bog, high trophic level, predatory invertebrates within the Araneae (spider; N=160; Hg=246.2 ng/g) and Odonata (damselfly; N=2; Hg=185.6 ng/g) orders exhibited the highest mean mercury values. Invertebrates within the Stylommatophora (snail; N=1; Hg=34.2 ng/g), Polydesmida (millipede; N=65; Hg=37.0 ng/g), and Orthoptera (grasshopper, cricket; N=29; Hg=41.2 ng/g), consisting primarily of detritivore, herbivore, and omnivore invertebrates, were found to have the lowest mean mercury values.

# Figure 11. Mean total mercury concentrations for northern hardwood forest invertebrates at Bloomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire and Spring Pond Bog: 2008, 2009, 2011



## 3.7 Mercury Bioaccumulation in Terrestrial Food Webs

To better understand the transfer of mercury through terrestrial food webs, a subset of songbird and invertebrate samples collected from Madawaska Flow and Bloomingdale Bog in 2009 were selected for a stable isotope analysis of nitrogen ( $\delta^{15}$ N) and carbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C). These values were used to examine the primary sources of carbon within the associated food web ( $\delta^{13}$ C), and to correlate relative trophic position ( $\delta^{15}$ N) with the biomagnification of mercury at each study site. Small sample sizes precluded reliable statistical comparisons.

### 3.7.1 Madawaska Flow – Sphagnum Bog

A total of 11 songbirds and 23 invertebrate whole-body and composite (N=164) samples were analyzed from within the *Sphagnum* bog habitat at Madawaska Flow (Figure 12). For the selected songbird species, mean  $\delta^{13}$ C values ranged from -24.57 to -26.78 ‰ (Appendix E). Across the three targeted songbird species, mean  $\delta^{15}$ N values were found to increase with associated increases in average Hg values. Mean  $\delta^{15}$ N (‰) and mercury (ng/g) values for the Nashville warbler (N=3) were 2.92 ‰ and 116.6 ng/g, Lincoln's sparrow (N=3) was 3.7‰ and 318.2 ng/g, and the yellow palm warbler (N=5) was 4.55 ‰ and 694.8 ng/g. Of the invertebrate species sampled, mean  $\delta^{13}$ C values ranged from -24.57 to -31.76 ‰. High trophic level, predatory invertebrates exhibited the highest mean  $\delta^{15}$ N concentrations, including: wolf spider ( $\delta^{15}$ N=7.49 ‰, Hg=809.53 ng/g); deer fly ( $\delta^{15}$ N=5.94 ‰, Hg=54.67 ng/g); and the *Oodes amaroides* beetle ( $\delta^{15}$ N=5.82 ‰, Hg=1181.84 ng/g). Marsh beetles, classified within the herbivore foraging guild, exhibited the lowest, or most depleted, mean  $\delta^{15}$ N values at -3.69‰ (Hg=30.38 ng/g).

# Figure 12. Stable isotope values ( $\delta$ 15N) and total mercury concentrations for songbird and invertebrate species sampled within *Sphagnum* bog habitat at Madwaska Flow: 2009



Shaded lines encompass generalized foraging guilds and relative trophic levels for selected songbirds and invertebrates within each habitat type.

### 3.7.2 Madawaska Flow – Northern Hardwood Forest

Within the forested habitat at Madawaska Flow, a total of 12 songbirds and 28 invertebrate whole-body and composite (N=113) samples were analyzed for carbon and nitrogen stable isotope signatures (Figure 13). Due to a high mercury concentration, the axis on Figure 13 was adjusted to exclude one deer fly sample ( $\delta^{15}N=6.59\%$ ; Hg=1499.9 ng/g). Mean  $\delta^{13}C$  values ranged from -25.01 to -25.45 ‰ for the three songbird species (Appendix F). For the targeted species, mean  $\delta^{15}N$  (‰) and mercury (ng/g) values for the ovenbird (N=1) were 4.34 ‰ and 107.1 ng/g, red-eyed vireo (N=3) was 4.81 ‰ and 242.7 ng/g, and the hermit thrush (N=8) was 5.34‰ and 90.77 ng/g. Mean  $\delta^{13}C$  values ranged from -24.67 to -34.62 ‰ for the invertebrate species sampled. Invertebrates categorized within scavenger and predatory foraging guilds exhibited the highest mean  $\delta^{15}N$  and Hg concentrations, including the: *Oodes amaroides* beetle ( $\delta^{15}N=9.31$  ‰; Hg=27.16 ng/g); American carrion beetle larvae ( $\delta^{15}N=6.83$  ‰; Hg=216.1 ng/g); and the deer fly ( $\delta^{15}N=6.29$  ‰; Hg=754.1 ng/g). The lowest mean  $\delta^{15}N$  values of -0.33 ‰ (Hg = 29.64 ng/g) were found for the flat-backed millipede within the detritivore foraging guild.

# Figure 13. Stable isotope values ( $\delta$ 15N) and total mercury concentrations (ng/g) for songbird and invertebrate species sampled within northern hardwood forests at Madawaska Flow: 2009



Shaded lines encompass generalized foraging guilds and relative trophic levels for selected songbirds and invertebrates within each habitat type.

### 3.7.3 Bloomingdale Bog – Sphagnum Bog

A total of six songbirds and 30 invertebrate whole-body and composite (N=163) samples were analyzed from within the *Sphagnum* bog habitat at Bloomingdale Bog (Figure 14). Mean  $\delta^{13}$ C values for the songbirds ranged from -26.2 to -27.03‰ (Appendix G). Mean  $\delta^{15}$ N (‰) and mercury (ng/g) values for the yellow palm warbler (N=2) were 2.4‰ and 252.6 ng/g, while values for the Lincoln's sparrow (N=4) were 2.8‰ and 127.7 ng/g. Across all invertebrates sampled, mean  $\delta^{13}$ C values ranged from -24.64 to -54.54‰. The highest mean  $\delta^{15}$ N and mean Hg concentrations were found in predatory and scavenger beetles, including the American carrion beetle ( $\delta^{15}$ N= 11.57‰, Hg=1221.83 ng/g); burying beetle ( $\delta^{15}$ N=7.9 ‰, Hg=331.3 ng/g); and the *Oodes amaroides* beetle ( $\delta^{15}$ N=7.2‰, Hg=761.4 ng/g). Caterpillars, classified within the herbivore foraging guild, exhibited the lowest mean  $\delta^{15}$ N values at -8.27 ‰ (Hg=25.2 ng/g).
## Figure 14. Stable isotope values ( $\delta^{15}$ N) and total mercury concentrations for songbird and invertebrate species sampled within *Sphagnum* bog habitat at Bloomingdale Bog: 2009



Shaded lines encompass generalized foraging guilds and relative trophic levels for selected songbirds and invertebrates within each habitat type.

#### 3.7.4 Bloomingdale Bog – Northern Hardwood Forest

A total of 17 songbirds and 23 invertebrate whole-body and composite (N=162) samples were analyzed for carbon and nitrogen stable isotope signatures from forested study sites at Bloomingdale Bog (Figure 15). Mean  $\delta^{13}$ C values ranged from -24.25 to -24.78‰ for three sampled songbird species (Appendix H). Mean  $\delta^{15}$ N (‰) and mercury (ng/g) values for the hermit thrush (N=9) were 4.88‰ and 104.6 ng/g, the ovenbird (N=4) was 5.21‰ and 86.3 ng/g, and the red-eyed vireo (N=4) was found to be 5.57‰ and 325.8 ng/g. Mean  $\delta^{13}$ C values for the sampled invertebrates ranged from -24.08 to -29.72‰. Scavenger and predatory invertebrates exhibited the highest mean  $\delta^{15}$ N concentrations, including the burying beetle ( $\delta^{15}$ N=11.44‰, Hg=110.7 ng/g); deer fly ( $\delta^{15}$ N=6.5‰, Hg=78.6 ng/g); and the searcher beetle ( $\delta^{15}$ N=5.72‰; Hg=35.9 ng/g). Little brown (Julida) millipedes, classified within the detritivore foraging guild, were determined to have the lowest mean  $\delta^{15}$ N values of -0.47‰ (Hg = 89.01 ng/g).

## Figure 15. Stable isotope values ( $\delta$ 15N) and total mercury concentrations for songbird and invertebrate species sampled within northern hardwood forest at Bloomingdale Bog: 2009



Shaded lines encompass generalized foraging guilds and relative trophic levels for selected songbirds and invertebrates within each habitat type.

### 3.8 Discussion

# 3.8.1 Mercury Bioaccumulation within Adirondack *Sphagnum* Bog and Northern Hardwood Forests

Overall results of this study provide valuable quantitative information relating to patterns of mercury exposure for songbird communities inhabiting sensitive *Sphagnum* bog and hardwood forests in the Adirondack Park. The compilation of information from this data set allows for both among-species and between-habitat comparisons of mercury concentrations, as well as evaluation with established threshold levels associated with adverse physiological health impacts to aid in the identification of potentially at-risk songbird species. Current estimates for blood mercury concentrations developed to represent the risk factor and lowest observed adverse effects levels (LOAELs) associated with songbird reproductive impairment include: <0.7  $\mu$ g/g (low risk); 0.7-1.2  $\mu$ g/g (moderate risk); 1.2-1.7  $\mu$ g/g (high risk); and >1.7  $\mu$ g/g (very high) (Jackson et al. 2011a, Evers et al. 2012). Across all 21 species sampled, yellow palm warbler, swamp sparrow (*Melospiza georgiana*), and common yellowthroat (*Geothlypis trichas*)

were found to have the most elevated average mercury concentrations for species sampled within Sphagnum bog study sites (Figure 5). Within adjacent forest systems, the blue-headed vireo (Vireo solitarius), red-eyed vireo and yellow-rumped warbler (Setophaga coronata) exhibited the highest mean mercury concentrations. Of the total birds sampled (N=292), 12 individuals were found to exceed blood mercury concentrations that placed them within the moderate risk level associated with 10-20% reductions in nesting success, which include: one Lincoln's sparrow, two red-eyed vireos, and nine yellow palm warblers. One yellow palm warbler and one red-eyed vireo were categorized as being at high risk (20-30% reductions in nesting success), and two yellow palm warblers at Massawepie Mire  $(2.82 \,\mu g/g)$  and Spring Pond Bog  $(1.86 \,\mu g/g)$  were classified as being at very high risk (>30% reduction in nesting success) to the impacts of mercury on reproductive success. Additionally, red-eyed vireos and yellow palm warblers were documented to have the highest mean mercury values across target species within each study site (Figure 7, 8). While most songbird blood samples are categorized to be at a generally low risk, it is important to consider that species identified as exhibiting elevated mercury levels, like the red-eyed vireo and yellow palm warbler, may be potentially at-risk and vulnerable to the documented multi-systemic effects of mercury exposure, and could serve as valuable focal species for future songbird monitoring and research efforts.

Across all study sites, blood mercury concentrations in *Sphagnum* bog target species were consistently higher than those songbirds sampled within the nearby forested sites (Figure 6). These results are consistent with regional studies that have documented elevated mercury levels in wetland songbird species as compared to upland forested species, resulting from processes associated with higher methylation rates and subsequent increased methylmercury bioavailability within wetland ecosystems (Cristol et al. 2008, Evers et al. 2012, Jackson et al. 2015). Additionally, similar species patterns of increasing mercury exposure were documented for target species across all study sites (Figure 7 and Figure 8). At all *Sphagnum* bog sites, Hg concentrations increased from Nashville warbler to Lincoln's sparrow to yellow palm warbler, and at all forested sites Hg concentrations increased from ovenbird to hermit thrush to red-eyed vireo. Although other studies have documented species differences in mercury values than omnivorous species, all of the targeted species for this study were classified as insectivores, with the exception of the omnivorous Lincoln's sparrow (Jackson et al. 2015). Therefore,

this consistent pattern of increasing mercury exposure at each study site may be linked to species-specific dietary differences in prey selection. Overall, these results reinforce that patterns of mercury exposure within songbirds are influenced by habitat type and the associated processes of methylation and mercury bioavailability, and suggest that variation across species may be linked to species-specific foraging strategies.

There was no evidence of an overall seasonal effect of blood mercury exposure levels for songbird species sampled within the Sphagnum bog habitat. However, when examined separately the Lincoln's sparrow showed a slight decrease, and the yellow palm warbler showed an increase during the course of the field season. In contrast, there was a significant overall decline in blood mercury concentrations across all three forested target species throughout the field season (Figure 9). The ovenbird was found to have the steepest decrease in mercury concentrations. This declining seasonal pattern in mercury levels is similar to the results documented by other regional studies of boreal songbird species (Rimmer et al. 2009, Townsend 2011). These studies suggest that seasonal mercury decline in songbird blood is attributed to a dietary shift based on temporal prey availability, which involves the consumption of highmercury, arthropod prey items in the early summer, with a transition to lower-mercury, foliage-based (caterpillars, fruit) food items later in the season. Although the selected target species between research projects vary, all species are classified as either omnivores or insectivores. Therefore, it seems likely that similar mechanisms for foraging strategies, staggered emergence of invertebrates, and a subsequent shift in prey base would be applicable across other northeastern forested songbird species. Additionally, blood mercury concentrations for both individual within-year and between-year captures provide further documentation of the temporal variability associated with the sampled songbirds. Although there was a significant decline of mercury concentrations in forested songbirds, it is important to consider the lack of seasonal patterns within the Sphagnum bog systems, along with the level of variability associated with repeated individual site captures documented as a result of this study. Considering the limited amount of field research that has been conducted to specifically examine temporal changes in songbird mercury concentrations, future research would be beneficial to further identify and better assess patterns of seasonal mercury fluctuations in regional songbird communities.

Within both the *Sphagnum* bog and forested study sites, predatory invertebrates positioned at high trophic levels, (spiders, dragonflies, and damselflies) exhibited the highest total mercury concentrations, whereas herbivore and detritivore invertebrates (caterpillars, millipedes, and grasshoppers), were documented to have the lowest total mercury concentrations (Figure 10 and Figure 11). These results suggest that songbirds consuming prey items at higher trophic levels would be exposed to increased mercury levels as compared to selection of invertebrates in lower trophic levels. Additionally, mercury concentrations were similar between the *Sphagnum* bog and forested sites for invertebrates occupying lower trophic level positions, Lepidoptera, Orthoptera and Polydesmida; however, invertebrates within the Araneae (spiders) and Odonata (dragonflies) were comparatively higher in the *Sphagnum* bog than the surrounding forest (Appendix D). Therefore, bog-obligate songbird species foraging on predatory invertebrates would likely have elevated mercury exposure levels as compared to forested species consuming similar invertebrates within the same order, resulting from increased levels of methylmercury bioavailability within wetland systems as compared to forested ecosystems.

A stable isotope analysis was conducted to examine patterns of mercury transfer and bioaccumulation within terrestrial food webs at Bloomingdale Bog and Madawaska Flow (Figures 12, 13, 14, and 15). Many studies have documented that species at higher trophic levels have greater  $\delta^{15}$ N values than species at lower trophic levels, and an incremental +3‰ enrichment factor occurs at each ascending trophic level (Minagawa and Wada 1984, Gannes et al. 1998, Kelly 2000). Considering that top predators within a food chain often have the highest  $\delta^{15}$ N values relative to prev species, the bioaccumulation of contaminants can be evaluated in the context of  $\delta^{15}$ N values (Cabana and Rasmussen 1994, Jarman et al. 1996, Thompson et al. 1998, Bearhop et al. 2000). Although there was inherent variability associated with the data presented across all study sites, these analyses demonstrate a general pattern of mercury biomagnification across trophic levels, from herbivores at the base of the food web to predators at the top of the food chain. Additionally, mercury levels in several predatory and scavenger beetles within the Sphagnum bog habitat were found to exceed LOAEL thresholds associated with adverse reproductive impacts in songbirds. Therefore, these high mercury beetles could represent strong trophic pathways for mercury bioaccumulation in songbirds consuming these prey items. Overall, dietary selection, seasonal prey availability, and habitat type are important factors contributing to mercury exposure levels in regional songbird communities.

### 4 Methylmercury Bioaccumulation within Montane, Terrestrial Food Webs in the Adirondack Park of New York State

### 4.1 Study Area

Project sampling to investigate pathways for biotic mercury bioaccumulation within montane terrestrial habitats was conducted during the 2009-2010 field seasons at established study sites along an elevation gradient on Whiteface Mountain (44.36°N, 73.90°W) in the Adirondack Park of New York State (Figure 16). Whiteface Mountain, located in the Wilmington Wild Forest of the Adirondack High Peaks Region, is the fifth highest peak (4,867 feet; 1,483 meters) in New York State. Whiteface Mountain is also the site of the SUNY-Albany Atmospheric Sciences Research Center (ASRC), which coordinates and administers long-term research of cloud-water chemistry and atmospheric monitoring stations at both low elevation and summit locations. A National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP) station that monitors regional precipitation chemistry is also located on-site. Whiteface Mountain is intensively utilized as a year-round recreational area, which includes extensive hiking trails and a year-round ski resort, as well as seasonal vehicle access to the summit via the Veteran's Memorial Highway. Undeveloped portions of Whiteface are currently classified as Wild Forest and all areas are managed under the jurisdiction of the NYS DEC.

#### Figure 16. Sampling locations on Whiteface Mountain, New York, 2009-2010

Study sites are located on the southwest (black symbols) and northeast (white dot symbols) sides of the mountain. Square symbols represent hardwood forests, triangles are spruce-fir forests, and circles are alpine zones.



### 4.2 Research Approach and Study Design

To document methylmercury concentrations in terrestrial biota along an elevational gradient in the Adirondack Park, this project was divided into two phases. A multi-year field study, conducted in 2009 and 2010, to assess mercury concentrations in songbird and invertebrate communities was completed during the first phase, and the second phase included a detailed mercury analysis of biotic samples.

#### 4.2.1 Phase One: Montane Field Study

Beginning in 2008, an intensive field study was initiated at several northern hardwood forest and *Sphagnum* bog habitats in the Adirondack Park to examine and compare patterns of mercury and stable isotope signatures within selected invertebrate and songbird species. To supplement this research and better define the avenues for mercury bioaccumulation within sensitive Adirondack habitats, invertebrate and songbird samples were collected from June-August 2009 and 2010 along an elevational gradient at 13 established study sites (450-1,400 meters) located on Whiteface Mountain in the Adirondack High Peaks Region.

To evaluate the hypothesis that mercury concentrations increase with a corresponding increase in elevation, samples were collected from three vegetation zones located along the elevational gradient, which included northern hardwood forest, spruce-fir forest, and alpine zone. To most accurately define the relationship between mercury and elevation, Whiteface Mountain was selected as the study site for this research as it possesses an elevation of 4,867 feet (1,483 meters), with a prominence of 3,110 feet (948 meters). This approach was used to ensure the presence of all three vegetation zones and to provide the necessary elevation range to document changes in mercury concentrations and the potential impacts on associated food webs. In addition, NYS DEC designated Whiteface Mountain as an Adirondack Sub-Alpine Forest Bird Conservation Area. Considering that previous research has been conducted in relation to atmospheric mercury deposition (Blackwell and Driscoll 2015) and songbird communities (Rimmer et al. 2005) at this site, this work provides valuable information to improve the understanding of effects of mercury deposition in the Adirondack Park.

In alignment with sampling methodologies utilized as part of the *Sphagnum* bog-northern hardwood study, songbird blood, feathers and forest invertebrates were collected at each study site for mercury analysis. To investigate differences in mercury concentrations due to elevation, the following ground-foraging, songbird species, within the same genus, were targeted in the corresponding elevation zones:

- Low elevation northern hardwood forest: hermit thrush (*Catharus guttatus*).
- Mid-elevation spruce-fir forest: Swainson's thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*).
- High elevation alpine zone: Bicknell's thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*).

When present, both the dark-eyed junco (*Junco hyemalis*) and white-throated sparrow (*Zonotrichia albicollis*) were sampled in each elevation zone along the entire gradient to obtain a within-species pattern of blood mercury. In addition, the red-eyed vireo and ovenbird were sampled at low- and mid-elevation sites for comparative analysis of mercury values between the *Sphagnum* bog and montane study sites.

Forest invertebrates, similar to those collected in the *Sphagnum* bog study, were sampled at each study site. An additional survey of mercury in soils, leaf litter, fresh vegetation, throughfall and cloudwater was also conducted at the same study sites during 2009-2010 (Blackwell and Driscoll 2015).

In addition to changes in mercury due to elevation, it was also anticipated that variation in mercury concentrations would be documented as a result of aspect and temporal change. Therefore, plots were located in each vegetation zone along western and eastern facing slopes to account for any variation in mercury deposition patterns that may exist with aspect. Considering that windward, or western-facing, slopes generally receive higher rates of deposition due to the orographic effect, it was hypothesized that biota sampled on western-facing slopes would reflect higher mercury concentrations than those on leeward, or eastern-facing, slopes. Additionally, all plots were sampled multiple times during the 2009 and 2010 field seasons in an effort to document any detectable seasonal patterns that occurred during the course of the field season.

#### 4.2.2 Phase Two: Mercury Sample Analysis

Collected samples of forest invertebrates and songbird blood from the 2009 and 2010 Whiteface study sites were analyzed for total mercury concentrations at Syracuse University following the protocols outlined in Section 2.3.

#### 4.3 Results

#### 4.3.1 Avian Mercury Exposure

#### 4.3.1.1 All Species

During 2009-2010, a total 207 adult songbirds, representing 15 species, were captured, banded, and analyzed for total mercury exposure (N=233) along an elevational gradient on Whiteface Mountain (Figure 17 and Appendix I). Of the species sampled, four songbird families were represented, including Emberizids/New World sparrows, thrushes, vireos, and wood warblers. Additionally, all songbirds were

classified within three dietary foraging guilds, including insectivore, omnivore, and vermivore (De Graaf 1985). Blood mercury levels ranged from  $0.018 \,\mu$ g/g in an ovenbird to  $0.265 \,\mu$ g/g for a red-eyed vireo. Overall, songbirds sampled within the vireo and thrush families exhibited higher mean mercury concentrations as compared to warbler and sparrow species.

## Figure 17. Mean blood mercury concentrations for songbird species on Whiteface Mountain: 2009-2010

0.3 ■ Mean Blood Hg (ppm) Maximum Species Level Detected 0.25 Mean Blood Mercury (μg/g, ww) 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05 Black and White Washer (m.5) Biack-moated Blue Wather (m.1) Buck-moned Green Watter Im Valorminged Wather tor?? white moved Spanow (m.31) 0 Shecoloed Incolus BackpollWabler (m.3) Hemit Thus (m.31) Swanson's Thread In all Redecied lineo (m22) Buenesded Virco un 2 American Robin (1971) Bidnell's Thush Inr.23) Overbird (m2A) Species

Light gray shading reflects the maximum mercury levels detected for each species.

Project results are only representative of adult songbird mercury concentrations, therefore the following individuals were sampled during the 2009-2010 field efforts, but were excluded from statistical analyses: two sharp-shinned hawks (*Accipiter striatus*); one winter wren (*Troglodytes hiemalis*) of unknown age; and 12 juveniles (one winter wren, one white-throated sparrow, two hairy woodpeckers [*Picoides villosus*] and eight dark-eyed juncos) (see Appendix I).

#### 4.3.2 Mercury Concentrations in Thrush Species Along an Elevational Gradient

To assess mercury bioavailability within boreal songbird communities, the following three thrush species were targeted for capture and analysis of blood mercury concentrations (N=96) along an elevational gradient on Whiteface Mountain from 2009 to 2010: hermit thrush, Swainson's thrush, and Bicknell's thrush. Mean blood mercury concentrations in adult thrushes varied significantly among species (F=8.9, DF=2, P=0.0003), as hermit thrush had lower blood mercury concentrations than both Swainson's thrush and Bicknell's thrush, which did not differ from each other. Across all study sites, hermit thrush (N=31) mean blood mercury concentrations were 0.064  $\mu$ g/g, Swainson's thrush (N=42) had mean Hg concentrations of 0.094  $\mu$ g/g, and Bicknell's thrush (N=23) mercury concentrations were 0.092  $\mu$ g/g (Appendix I).

Study sites were divided into three general elevation zones and songbirds were categorized within the respective low-, mid- or high-elevation classes. Low-elevation thrushes (N=43) included 30 hermit thrush and 13 Swainson's thrush. Mid-elevation birds (N=21) were comprised of 1 hermit thrush, 13 Swainson's thrush and seven Bicknell's thrush, while high elevation songbirds (N=32) included 16 Swainson's thrush and 16 Bicknell's thrush. Blood mercury concentrations varied significantly among elevation zones (F=14, DF=2, P<0.0001). Low-elevation songbirds had lower blood mercury concentrations (0.068  $\mu$ g/g) as compared to both mid- (0.108  $\mu$ g/g) and high- (0.089  $\mu$ g/g) elevation songbirds, which did not differ from each other. Sampled along the entire elevation (0.119  $\mu$ g/g) as compared to low elevation (0.081  $\mu$ g/g), but did not differ from birds captured in high-elevation zones (0.085  $\mu$ g/g).

There was a positive relationship between elevation and blood mercury concentrations across all thrush species (F=13.4, DF=1, P=0.0004, R<sup>2</sup>=0.12; Figure 18). When examined separately, there was no relationship between blood mercury and elevation for the hermit thrush (P=0.7115, R<sup>2</sup>=0.005), Swainson's thrush (P=0.4269, R<sup>2</sup>=0.02) and Bicknell's thrush (P=0.6713, R<sup>2</sup>=0.01), which is likely due to the limited elevational span occupied by each individual species along the entire gradient.





Across all species sampled, both target and non-target, (N=233), there was a negative relationship between elevation and blood mercury concentrations (F=4.4, DF=1, P=0.0379, R<sup>2</sup>=0.02). This pattern in the larger data set is likely influenced by the inclusion of white-throated sparrows and dark-eyed juncos sampled at mid- and high elevations, which typically exhibit low mercury concentrations due to their dietary preference for seeds.

#### 4.3.3 Mercury Concentrations and Slope Aspect

Of the 15 species sampled during 2009-2010, nine species of songbirds were collected on both the east and west side of Whiteface mountain (Figure 19). Across all species of songbirds collected, mean blood mercury concentrations varied significantly between the east and west side of the mountain (t=3.5, DF=231, P=0.0006). Songbirds on the west side (0.079  $\mu$ g/g; N=89) exhibited higher mercury

concentrations than those captured on the east side ( $0.066 \mu g/g$ ; N=144) of the mountain. Ovenbirds were the only non-target species that demonstrated significantly higher mercury concentrations on the western side of the mountain (t=2.5, DF=32, P=0.0173), while small sample sizes precluded statistical comparisons for the blackpoll warbler and blue-headed vireo.

Across the three targeted thrush species combined, blood mercury was also significantly higher on the west side (0.094  $\mu$ g/g; N=40) than on the east side (0.076  $\mu$ g/g; N=56; t=2.8, DF=94, P=0.0056). When examined separately, both the hermit thrush and Swainson's thrush exhibited higher mercury concentrations on the west side of the mountain as compared to the east side. There was no difference in blood concentrations for the Bicknell's thrush due to slope aspect.





#### 4.3.4 Mercury Concentrations and Seasonal Effects

For all thrush species combined, there was a positive relationship between sampling date and blood mercury concentrations, as levels were found to increase during the field season (F=14.1, DF=1, P=0.0003, R<sup>2</sup>=0.14, N=87; Figure 20). To standardize sampling time frames with other regional elevation studies that have examined seasonal patterns of mercury concentrations in Northeastern songbird communities, samples are presented through Julian day 200, which is representative of periods of peak breeding activity and capture success for boreal songbirds. When each thrush species was considered separately, the Swainson's thrush (P=0.0054, R<sup>2</sup>=0.19) showed an increase in blood mercury during the course of the field season, whereas the hermit thrush and Bicknell's thrush showed no significant seasonal effects.

Across all songbird species combined, there was also a positive relationship between blood mercury concentrations and capture date (F=12.7, DF=1, P=0.0005, R<sup>2</sup>=0.06; N=205). When analyzed separately, ovenbirds were the only non-target species that exhibited a within-species increase in mercury concentrations during the course of the field season (P=0.0178, R<sup>2</sup>=0.19). No relationship for blood mercury and date was determined for additional non-target species, including red-eyed vireo, dark-eyed junco, and white-throated sparrow.

To further assess within-season bioaccumulation of individual mercury levels, 11 songbirds were recaptured during the same year, including five hermit thrush, two red-eyed vireo, two ovenbird, one white-throated sparrow, and one Bicknell's thrush. Of the recaptured birds, five were found to decrease, three maintained similar blood levels, two were found to increase, followed by a decrease, and one red-eyed vireo increased. Additionally, 13 songbirds were captured in both 2009 and 2010 and blood levels were compared to examine between years, which included four ovenbird, four dark-eyed junco, two hermit thrush, two white-throated Sparrow, and one Swainson's thrush. Of this sample, six birds were found to decrease between years, five increased, and two stayed approximately the same.

Figure 20. Seasonal blood mercury concentrations in thrush species on Whiteface Mountain: 2009 – 2010



#### 4.3.5 Invertebrate Mercury Exposure

A total of 996 invertebrates, representing 17 orders, were collected and analyzed for total mercury concentrations (ng/g, dw) through both whole-body and composited samples, from study sites on Whiteface Mountain during 2009-2010 (Figure 21 and Appendix J). The highest mean mercury concentrations were determined for detritivore millipedes within the Spirobolida order (N=4, Hg=390.1 ng/g). Similar to the *Sphagnum* bog and northern hardwood forest sites, high trophic level, predatory and scavenger invertebrates also exhibited high mean mercury concentrations including: Mecoptera (scorpionfly; N=11, Hg=216.3 ng/g); Araneae (spider; N=105; Hg=211.4 ng/g); and Lithobiomorpha (centipede; N=4; Hg=197.6 ng/g). Invertebrates within the Orthoptera (grasshopper, cricket; N=19; Hg=43.2 ng/g) and Polydesmida (millipede; N=47; Hg=60.3 ng/g) orders, which includes detritivores, herbivores, and omnivores, were found to have the lowest mean mercury concentrations.



Figure 21. Mean total mercury concentrations for invertebrates at Whiteface Mountain: 2009-2010

### 4.4 Discussion

#### 4.4.1 Mercury Bioaccumulation within Montane Forests at Whiteface Mountain

This project was designed to provide a better understanding and assessment of mercury exposure patterns within terrestrial food webs in montane forests in the Adirondack Park. Although limited high-elevation analyses have been conducted within the context of regional songbird research, this project complements current, long-term studies and contributes to a more thorough northeastern wildlife mercury database. Of the 15 songbird species sampled on Whiteface Mountain, insectivore species from the thrush and vireo families, exhibited the highest mean mercury concentrations including: blue-headed vireo, red-eyed vireo, Swainson's thrush, and Bicknell's thrush (Figure 17). In contrast to the *Sphagnum* bog and forested study sites, there were no individuals captured along the elevational gradient on Whiteface Mountain that approached established LOAEL mercury concentrations associated with adverse reproductive impacts. The highest mercury concentrations for all songbirds sampled (N=233), were for a low-elevation red-eyed vireo (0.266  $\mu$ g/g) and a mid-elevation Swainson's thrush (0.233  $\mu$ g/g). Therefore, songbirds sampled at study sites on Whiteface Mountain are considered to be generally low risk to the documented effects of mercury exposure (Jackson et al. 2011a, Evers et al. 2012). However, currently listed under the

International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List as a vulnerable species and classified by the NYS DEC as a Species of Special Concern due to declining population levels and habitat loss, the Bicknell's thrush is a long-distance, migratory species, whose limited breeding habitat is restricted to high-elevation spruce-fir forests (Rimmer 2015). Documented breeding locations in New York State for this high-elevation specialist are limited to the Adirondack and Catskill Mountains, and while mercury concentrations documented for this species on Whiteface Mountain do not approach LOAEL threshold levels associated with adverse reproductive effects, the Bicknell's thrush may be particularly vulnerable to the impacts of mercury exposure in consideration of declining population levels.

Mean blood mercury concentrations exhibited detectable differences among the three targeted songbird species (hermit thrush, Swainson's thrush, and Bicknell's thrush) on Whiteface Mountain. When examined separately, average blood mercury concentrations for the low-elevation hermit thrush were significantly lower than both Swainson's and Bicknell's thrush. Mean mercury concentrations for thrush species sampled as part of research efforts conducted by Townsend, in the Catskill Mountains, and Rimmer, in southern Vermont, were found to be comparable to concentration levels documented for Adirondack songbirds, indicating similar regional mercury exposure levels (Rimmer et al. 2009, Townsend 2011).

When categorized across three general elevation zones, songbirds sampled within low-elevation sites exhibited lower mercury body burdens than those species inhabiting both mid- and high-elevation zones. Overall, a significant increase in blood mercury concentrations was found with increasing elevation across all targeted songbird species sampled along the elevational gradient (Figure 18). High-elevation boreal forests are subjected to elevated levels of atmospheric mercury deposition resulting from increased precipitation rates, cloud cover and deposition, and the presence of forest cover types that promote the year-round uptake of atmospheric pollutants, which are likely important factors influencing the elevated mercury exposure levels documented in songbird species inhabiting these sensitive, high elevation zones as compared to those in lower-elevation forests (Lovett et al. 1982, Miller et al. 2005, Sheehan et al. 2006). This pattern of increasing songbird blood mercury concentrations with elevation was also detected by Townsend as part of a similar research project examining mercury concentrations in thrush species along an elevational gradient in the Catskill Mountains of New York State (Townsend 2011; Townsend et al. 2014). This study also documented corresponding elevational increases in mean mercury concentrations for various biotic and abiotic food web compartments, including: leaf litter, organic soils, and salamanders.

43

Additionally, data collected as part of a concurrent site-intensive study conducted at the same study sites in 2009-2010 on Whiteface Mountain to assess mercury deposition loads, indicated that both overall atmospheric mercury deposition and surface organic soil Hg concentrations increased along the elevational gradient (Blackwell and Driscoll 2015). Overall, this study provides the first observations to examine increases in songbird blood mercury concentrations along an elevation gradient in the Adirondack Park. Although information for biological mercury exposure levels along an elevation gradient is limited, the research results suggest that elevated atmospheric deposition rates in high-elevation forests likely influence and control mercury bioavailability and elevated exposure levels documented in those songbird species inhabiting sensitive, montane habitats.

Across all species of songbirds sampled (N=233), songbird blood was significantly higher on the west side of Whiteface Mountain as compared to the east side (Figure 19). When combined, blood mercury levels for the three targeted species were also elevated on the western side; however, Bicknell's thrush exhibited no difference in mercury levels between the east and west side when examined separately. No significant differences in mercury concentrations due to aspect were detected for atmospheric deposition, soils, leaf litter, and canopy foliage collected as part of the simultaneous research project conducted during 2009-2010 on Whiteface Mountain (Blackwell and Driscoll 2015). Therefore, documented differences in songbird mercury concentrations due to slope aspect may be related to potential variability in prey communities or availability, but would require additional sampling efforts to more definitely assess this pattern, as sample sizes were generally small and precluded statistical comparisons on some species.

There was a significant overall seasonal increase in blood mercury levels for the three combined targeted species during the course of the field season (Figure 20). When analyzed separately only Swainson's thrush demonstrated an increase in blood mercury during the sampling period, while hermit and Bicknell's thrush showed no significant seasonal effects. This pattern of seasonal increase is contrary to the results collected within other forested project study sites, as well as similar research conducted by Rimmer and Townsend, which attributed seasonal declines in songbird mercury concentrations to a shift in dietary selection based on prey availability (Rimmer et al. 2009, Townsend 2011). This working hypothesis seems logical and applicable across sites within the Northeast, which suggests that songbirds rely primarily on a foraging base of high-mercury invertebrate prey items that are available in the early season, as compared to the late season emergence of invertebrates, such as Lepidoptera (caterpillars) and an increased prevalence of fruit, which are low-mercury food items that are highly available during the late summer. Further, considering that the previous studies by Rimmer and Townsend also utilized

44

thrushes as their focal target species, it is unclear whether this contrasting pattern of increasing seasonal mercury concentrations for thrushes on Whiteface Mountains may be related to site-specific differences in prey items, variation within foraging selection of sampled individuals, or unexplained processes operating within the associated food webs. Similar to the *Sphagnum* bog and forested sites, fluctuations in blood mercury concentrations were highly variable for songbirds captured within and between years. While the mechanisms for this seasonal pattern of increase are uncertain, additional recapture efforts as part of future monitoring studies would allow for a better understanding of the complexities associated with mercury body burdens in songbird communities during the breeding season.

Similar to patterns demonstrated by invertebrates sampled within the *Sphagnum* bog and forested study sites, high trophic level predatory and scavenger invertebrates exhibited the highest mean mercury concentrations on Whiteface Mountain, including scorpionflies, spiders, and centipedes (Figure 21). Four individuals within the Spirobolida order, a low-elevation detritivore millipede, were found to have the highest mean mercury concentrations. Alternatively, herbivore and detritivore invertebrates within the Orthoptera (grasshopper, cricket), Polydesmida (millipedes) and Hemiptera (true bug) orders exhibited the lowest mean mercury concentrations. Overall, average mercury concentration levels were found to biomagnify across invertebrate trophic levels, and individual dietary selection would correspondingly influence mercury exposure levels documented within songbird communities on Whiteface Mountain.

### 5 Conclusion

The results of these studies provide valuable quantitative data relating to mercury exposure levels within regional songbird and invertebrate communities, and contribute much needed information to better define the connections between mercury deposition as an environmental stressor and its impact on terrestrial ecosystems. The analysis of data from these projects was one of the first to examine the linkages between atmospheric mercury deposition and trophic transfer within various habitat types in the Adirondack Park. The following summarize the overall findings related to mercury bioaccumulation within *Sphagnum* bog, northern hardwood forests and high-elevation montane food webs and recommendations for future research efforts:

- Approximately 5% of the songbirds sampled were documented to exceed established lowest observed adverse effects levels (LOAEL) associated with impacts on reproductive success due to mercury exposure. These species were primarily composed of wetland-obligate species, including the yellow palm warbler and Lincoln's sparrow, as well as the red-eyed vireo, a common forest songbird. Considering the potential impacts on reproductive impairment and subsequent implications on long-term population dynamics for these at-risk species, our study reinforces the need to incorporate and monitor these focal songbird species as part of mercury contaminant research initiatives.
- . Habitat type was demonstrated to influence biotic mercury exposure levels, as songbird species occupying Sphagnum bog habitats exhibited significantly higher mercury levels than those inhabiting adjacent forest systems. Songbird mercury levels are reflective of variables such as habitat bioavailability, which is comparatively elevated within wetland systems, and mercury concentrations within selected dietary prey items. At each study site, the yellow palm warbler and red-eved vireo exhibited elevated mercury levels, as well as additional species including the common yellowthroat, swamp sparrow, blue-headed vireo and yellow-rumped warbler. Therefore, these species, which may be particularly vulnerable to the documented multi-systemic impacts of mercury exposure, should be prioritized as avian species of concern and included as part of sampling protocols for future mercury research studies. Given the limited base of scientific data relating to songbird exposure levels across the Adirondack Park, research efforts emphasizing study sites within identified high mercury habitat types, specifically wetland systems, would be beneficial to better estimate site-specific exposure levels, locations of potential biological hotspots, and possible spatial gradients of songbird mercury contamination across the Adirondack landscape.

- Seasonal patterns in songbird mercury concentrations varied between project locations, and repeated captures of individuals both within and between sampling years indicated highly variable fluctuations in blood mercury levels. These results suggest that temporal patterns are influenced by a number of complex and dynamic variables, such as individual foraging strategies and seasonal prey availability. Considering that few field investigations have been conducted to examine seasonal mercury fluctuations in avian species, additional studies would be necessary to more definitively assess temporal trends and depuration patterns of mercury body burdens in regional songbird communities.
- Songbirds occupying mid- and high-elevation habitats on Whiteface Mountain were found to have higher blood mercury concentrations than songbird species inhabiting lower elevations. These exposure patterns are likely driven by elevated levels of atmospheric mercury deposition and increased mercury bioavailability within high-elevation habitats as compared to low-elevation forests. Although the Bicknell's thrush, a high-elevation specialist experiencing declining population levels, was not documented to exhibit mercury concentrations that approached the LOAEL threshold levels established for impacts on reproductive success, monitoring studies to more accurately define the extent of mercury as a biological stressor within data-limited alpine forests would contribute valuable quantitative data regarding the ecological health of breeding habitat for this declining migratory songbird, as well as associated songbird species occupying these sensitive montane habitats.
- Songbirds inhabiting the western slope of Whiteface Mountain exhibited elevated mercury levels over those species occupying eastern slopes. Results of a simultaneous study, conducted at the same study sites, did not document significant differences in atmospheric deposition levels or mercury concentrations in organic soils, leaf litter, and canopy foliage along the western elevational gradient as compared to values determined for the eastern aspect. Therefore, the specific mechanisms contributing to the relationship between songbird blood mercury concentrations and slope aspect remain unclear and would require additional sampling efforts to further investigate the underlying processes related to this spatial pattern.
- Results from invertebrate and stable isotope analyses indicated an overall pattern of mercury biomagnification from herbivorous invertebrates to predatory invertebrates to songbirds within *Sphagnum* bog and forested food webs. In particular, spiders and predatory and scavenger beetles, positioned at high trophic levels, demonstrated elevated mercury levels and may represent strong pathways of mercury bioaccumulation for songbirds foraging on these invertebrate prey items. Few studies have examined mercury concentrations within invertebrate communities, and the collection and analysis of invertebrate prey items would provide much-needed information regarding the transfer and bioaccumulation of mercury within terrestrial food webs.

The results of this study provide evidence of the varying extent and magnitude of mercury contamination within several ecologically distinct habitat types located in the Adirondack Park. All habitats are geographically well-removed from regional emissions sources, but all are also categorized as part of a biological mercury hotspot. These data illustrate the ability of atmospherically deposited mercury (a persistent and bioaccumulative toxin) to be transferred and biomagnify from invertebrate species at the

base of the food web to detrimental levels within songbird communities, and further reinforce the critical need for policymakers to support legislation designed to improve regional air quality emissions standards. Although songbirds face global population declines due to a myriad of interconnected direct and indirect stressors, regulations promoting reductions in mercury emissions, such as the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) at the national level and the Minamata Convention supported through the United Nations Environmental Programme at the global level, will ultimately work to limit both wildlife exposure levels and minimize the associated impacts on affected habitats. As wildlife managers comply with requirements implemented through environmental policy initiatives and build upon existing scientific information to develop effective wildlife conservation and monitoring plans, the following general guidelines may be beneficial to direct studies relating to the assessment of mercury levels across the northeastern landscape:

- Conduct multi-year studies incorporating annual sampling regimes for both targeted and generalized capture of songbird species, across a wide variety of ecosystems and at established long-term ecological research sites to further identify and monitor exposure patterns within at-risk songbirds and sensitive habitat types.
- Additional collection of biotic food web samples, including multitrophic invertebrate prey items, for mercury and stable isotope analysis to better characterize the mechanisms and complexities associated with mercury cycling and transfer through terrestrial food webs.
- Regional collaborations to develop standardized collection protocols and monitoring networks to improve understanding of the relationships between mercury deposition, biotic exposure levels, and patterns of mercury contamination across the landscape.

Ideally, the results from these studies can serve to supplement and inform future research efforts, and be utilized by policymakers to support legislation and rules designed to protect critical issues of air quality, environmental integrity, and wildlife health in the northeastern United States. Ultimately, it is intended that this research will contribute valuable scientific information on mercury dynamics in forested ecosystems and advance understanding of the ecological links between mercury deposition and wildlife communities in New York State.

### 6 References

- Ackerman, J.T., C.T. Overton, M.L. Casazza, J.Y. Takekawa, C.A. Eagles-Smith, R.A. Keister and M.P. 2012. "Does mercury contamination reduce body condition of endangered California clapper rails?" Environmental Pollution 162:439-448.
- Adams, E.M., P.C. Frederick, I.L.V. Larkin, L.G. Guillette Jr. 2009. "Sublethal effects of methylmercury on fecal metabolites of testosterone, estradiol, and corticosterone in captive juvenile White Ibis (Eudocimus albus)." Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 28:982-989.
- Bank, M. S., C. S. Loftin, and R. E. Jung. 2005. "Mercury bioaccumulation in northern two-lined salamanders from streams in the northeastern United States." Ecotoxicology 14:181-191.
- Bank, M. S., J. B. Crocker, S. Davis, D. K. Brotherton, R. Cook, J. Behler, and B. Connery. 2006. "Population decline of northern dusky salamanders at Acadia National Park, Maine, USA." Biological Conservation 130:230-238.
- Bank, M. S., J. R. Burgess, D. C. Evers, and C. S. Loftin. 2007. "Mercury contamination of biota from Acadia National Park, Maine: A review. S. Kahl (ed.). Establishing paired gauged watersheds at Acadia National Park for long-term research on acidic deposition, nitrogen saturation, forest health, and mercury biogeochemistry (1998-2002)." Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 126:105-115.
- Bearhop, S., R. A. Phillips, D. R. Thompson, S. Waldron, and R. W. Furness. 2000. "Variability in mercury concentrations of great skuas Catharacta skua: the influence of colony, diet and trophic status inferred from stable isotope signatures." Marine Ecology-Progress Series 195:261-268.
- Blackwell, B. D. and C.T. Driscoll. 2015. "Deposition of mercury in forests along a montane elevation gradient". Environmental Science & Technology, 49(9), 5363-5370.
- Bouland, A.J., A.E. White, K.P. Lonabaugh, C.W. Varian-Ramos, and D.A. Cristol. 2012. "Femalebiased offspring sex ratios in birds at a mercury contaminated river." J. Avian Biol. 43:1-8.
- Brasso, R. L. and D. A. Cristol. 2008. "Effects of mercury exposure on the reproductive success of Tree Swallows (Tachycineta bicolor)." Ecotoxicology 17: 133 141.
- Cabana, G. and J. B. Rasmussen. 1994. "Modeling food-chain structure and contaminant bioaccumulation using stable nitrogen isotopes." Nature 372:255-257.
- Cristol, D.A., R.L. Brasso, A. M. Condon, R.E. Fovargue, S. L. Friedman, K.K. Hallinger, A. P. Monroe, A. E. White. 2008. "The movement of aquatic mercury through terrestrial food webs." Science 320:335.
- De Graaf, R. M., N. G. Tilghman, S. H. Anderson. 1985. "Foraging guilds of North American Birds." Environmental Management 9: 493 – 536.

- Demers, J. D., C. T. Driscoll, T. J. Fahey, and J. B. Yavitt. 2007. "Mercury cycling in litter and soil in different forest types in the Adirondack region, New York, USA." Ecological Applications 17:1341-1351.
- Driscoll, C. T., Y.-J. Han, C. Y. Chen, D. C. Evers, K. F. Lambert, T. M. Holsen, N. C. Kamman, and R. K. Munson. 2007. "Mercury contamination in forest and freshwater ecosystems in the Northeastern United States." BioScience 57:17-28.
- Driscoll, C. T., Mason, R. P., Chan, H. M., Jacob, D. J., & Pirrone, N. 2013. "Mercury as a global pollutant: sources, pathways, and effects." Environmental Science & Technology, 47(10), 4967-4983.
- Edmonds, S.T., D.C. Evers, D.A. Cristol, C. Mettke-Hofmann, L.L. Powell, A.J. McGann, J.W. Armiger, O.P. Lane, D.F. Tessler, P. Newell, K. Heyden, N.J. O'Driscoll. 2010. "Geographic and seasonal variation in mercury exposure of the declining Rusty Blackbird." Condor 112:789-799.
- Evers, D. C. 2005a. "Mercury Connections: The extent and effects of mercury pollution in northeastern North America." Biodiversity Research Institute. Gorham, Maine. 28 pages.
- Evers, D. C., N. M. Burgess, L. Champoux, B. Hoskins, A. Major, W. M. Goodale, R. J. Taylor, R. Poppenga, and T. Daigle. 2005b. "Patterns and interpretation of mercury exposure in freshwater avian communities in northeastern North America." Ecotoxicology 14:193-221.
- Evers, D. C., Y.-J. Han, C. T. Driscoll, N. C. Kamman, W. M. Goodale, K. F. Lambert, T. M. Holsen, C. Y. Chen, T. A. Clair, and T. J. Butler. 2007. "Biological mercury hotspots in the Northeastern United States and Southeastern Canada." BioScience 57:29-43.
- Evers, D. C., L. Savoy, C. DeSorbo, D.E. Yates, W. Hanson, K.M. Taylor, L. Siegel, J.H. Cooley, M.S. Bank, A. Major, K. Munney, B. Mower, H.S. Vogel, N. Schoch, M. Pokras, M.W. Goodale and J. Fair. 2008. "Adverse effects from environmental mercury loads on breeding common loons." Ecotoxicology, 17(2), 69-81.
- Evers D., A. Jackson, T. Tear, and C. Osborne. 2012. "Hidden Risk: Mercury in terrestrial ecosystems of the Northeast." Biodiversity Research Institute, Portland, ME. BRI ID# 2012-07, pp 33.
- Fair J.M., Paul E., Jones J., Clark A.B., Davie C., and Kaiser G. 2010. Chapter 6 Minor manipulative procedures. In: Guidelines to the use of wild birds in research. Ornithological Council, Washington DC. www.nmnh.si.edu/BIRDNET/guide.
- Fitzgerald, W. F., D. R. Engstrom, R. P. Mason, and E. A. Nater. 1998. "The case for atmospheric mercury contamination in remote areas." Environmental Science & Technology 32:1-7.
- Frederick, P.C. and N. Jayasena. 2011. "Altered pairing behavior and reproductive success in white ibises exposed to environmentally relevant concentrations of methylmercury." Proc. of the Royal Society B 278:1851-1857.
- French, J. B., R. S. Bennett, and R. Rossmann. 2010. "Mercury in the blood and eggs of American Kestrels fed methylmercury chloride." Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 29:2206-2210.

- Furness, R. W., Muirhead, S. J., & Woodburn, M. 1986. "Using bird feathers to measure mercury in the environment: relationships between mercury content and moult." Marine Pollution Bulletin, 17(1), 27-30.
- Gannes, L. Z., C. M. del Rio, and P. Koch. 1998. "Natural abundance variations in stable isotopes and their potential uses in animal physiological ecology." Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology -Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology 119:725-737.
- Hallinger, K.K., Zabransky, D.J., Kazmer, K.A., Cristol, D.A., 2010. "Birdsong differs between mercurypolluted and reference sites." Auk 127, 156-161.
- Hallinger K.K., Cornell, K.L., Brasso, R.L., Cristol, D.A., 2011. "Mercury exposure and survival in freeliving Tree Swallows (Tachycineta bicolor)." Ecotoxicology 20, 39-46.
- Hallinger, K.K. and D.A. Cristol, 2011. "The role of weather in mediating the effect of mercury exposure on reproductive success in Tree Swallows." Ecotoxicology 20, 1368-1377.
- Hawley, D.M., K.A. Hallinger and D.A. Cristol. 2009. "Compromised immune competence in free-living tree swallows exposed to mercury." Ecotoxicology 18:499-503.
- Heath, J.A. and P.C. Frederick. 2005. "Relationships among mercury concentrations, hormones, and nesting effort of White Ibises (Eudocimus albus) in the Florida Everglades." The Auk 122:255-267.
- Heinz, G. H. 1979. "Methylmercury: reproductive and behavioral effects on three generations of mallard ducks." The Journal of Wildlife Management, 394-401.
- Jackson A., D. Evers, M. Etterson, A. Condon, S. Folsom, J. Detweiler, J. Schmerfeld, and D. Cristol. 2011a. "Mercury exposure affects the reproductive success of a free-living terrestrial songbird, the Carolina Wren (Thryothorus ludovicianus)." The Auk. 128:759-69.
- Jackson A, Evers D, Folsom S, Condon A, Diener J, Goodrick L, McGann A, Schmerfeld J, Cristol D. 2011b. "Mercury exposure in terrestrial birds far downstream of an historical point source." Environmental Pollution. 159:3302-3308.
- Jackson A., D. Evers, E. Adams, D. Cristol, C. Eagles-Smith, S. Edmonds, C. Gray, B. Hoskins, O. Lane, A. Sauer, and T. Tear. 2015. "Songbirds as sentinels of mercury in terrestrial habitats of eastern North America." Ecotoxicology. 24:453-467.
- Jarman, W. M., K. A. Hobson, W. J. Sydeman, C. E. Bacon, and E. B. McLaren. 1996. "Influence of trophic position and feeding location on contaminant levels in the gulf of the farallones food web revealed by stable isotope analysis." Environmental Science & Technology 30:654-660.
- Kelly, J. F. 2000. "Stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen in the study of avian and mammalian trophic ecology." Canadian Journal of Zoology-Revue Canadienne De Zoologie 78:1-27.
- Lane, O.P., K.M. O'Brien, D.C. Evers, T.P. Hodgman, A. Major, N. Pau, M.J. Ducey, R. Taylor, and D. Perry. 2011. "Mercury in breeding Saltmarsh Sparrows (Ammodramus caudacutus caudacutus)." Ecotoxicology 20:1984-1991.

- Lovett, G. M., Reiners, W. A., and Olson, R. K. 1982. "Cloud droplet deposition in subalpine balsam fir forests: hydrological and chemical inputs." Science ,218(4579), 1303-1304.
- Miller, E. K., A. Vanarsdale, G. J. Keeler, A. Chalmers, L. Poissant, N. C. Kamman, and R. Brulotte. 2005. "Estimation and mapping of wet and dry mercury deposition across northeastern North America." Ecotoxicology 14:53-70.
- Minagawa, M., and E. Wada. 1984. Stepwise enrichment of <sup>15</sup>N along food chains: Further evidence and the relation between <sup>15</sup>N and animal age. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 48:1135–1140.
- Podar, M., Gilmour, C. C., Brandt, C. C., Soren, A., Brown, S. D., Crable, B. R., Palumbo, A.V., Somenahally, A.C., and Elias, D. A. 2015. "Global prevalence and distribution of genes and microorganisms involved in mercury methylation." Science Advances, 1(9), e1500675.
- Rimmer, C. C., K. P. McFarland, D. C. Evers, E. K. Miller, Y. Aubry, D. Busby, and R. J. Taylor. 2005. "Mercury concentrations in Bicknell's Thrush and other insectivorous passerines in montane forest of northeastern North America." Ecotoxicology 14:223-240.
- Rimmer C.C., Miller E.K., McFarland K.P., Taylor R.J., Faccio S.D. 2009. "Mercury bioaccumulation and trophic transfer in the terrestrial food web of a montane forest." Ecotoxicology 19:697–709. doi: 10.1007/s10646-009-0443-x.
- Rimmer, Christopher C., Kent P. McFarland, Jason Townsend, Walter G. Ellison and James E. Goetz. 2015. Bicknell's Thrush (Catharus bicknelli), The Birds of North America Online (A. Poole, Ed.). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America, http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/592 doi:10.2173/bna.592
- Scheuhammer, A. M., Perrault, J. A., & Bond, D. E. 2001. "Mercury, methylmercury, and selenium concentrations in eggs of common loons (Gavia immer) from Canada." Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 72(1), 79-94.
- Scheuhammer, A. M., Meyer, M. W., Sandheinrich, M. B., & Murray, M. W. 2007. "Effects of environmental methylmercury on the health of wild birds, mammals, and fish." AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment, 36(1), 12-19.
- Schoch N, Glennon M, Evers D, Duron M, Jackson A, Driscoll C, Ozard J, Sauer A. 2014a. "The Impact of Mercury Exposure on the Common Loon (Gavia immer) Population in the Adirondack Park, New York, USA." Waterbirds. 37(sp1):133-146.
- Schoch N, Jackson A, Duron M, Evers D, Glennon M, Driscoll C, Yu X, Simonin H, Sauer A. 2014b. "Wildlife Criterion Value for the Common Loon (Gavia immer) in the Adirondack Park, New York, USA." Waterbirds. 37(sp1):76-84.
- Sheehan, K. D., Fernandez, I. J., Kahl, J. S., and Amirbahman, A. 2006. "Litterfall mercury in two forested watersheds at Acadia National Park, Maine, USA." Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 170 (1-4), 249-265.

- Thompson, D. R., & Furness, R. W. 1989. "Comparison of the levels of total and organic mercury in seabird feathers." Marine Pollution Bulletin, 20(11), 577-579.
- Thompson, D. R., S. Bearhop, J. R. Speakman, and R. W. Furness. 1998. "Feathers as a means of monitoring mercury in seabirds: insights from stable isotope analysis." Environmental Pollution 101:193-200.
- Townsend, J. M. 2011. "Mercury accumulation in forest floor horizons, songbirds and salamanders along a forested elevational gradient in the Catskill Mountains, New York." State University of New York College of Environmental Science & Forestry.
- Townsend, J. M., and Driscoll, C. T. 2013. "Red-backed salamander (Plethodon cinereus) as a bioindicator of mercury in terrestrial forests of the northeastern United States." Ecological Indicators, 34, 168-171.
- Townsend, J.M., Driscoll, C.T., Rimmer, C.C., McFarland K.P. 2014. "Avian, salamander, and forest floor mercury concentrations increase with elevation in a terrestrial ecosystem." Environ Toxicol Chem 33:208-215
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. 2013. Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic (PBT) Chemical Program, http://www.epa.gov/toxics-release-inventory-tri-program/persistentbioaccumulative-toxic-pbt-chemicals-rules-under-tri
- VanArsdale, A., J. Weiss, G. Keller, E. Miller, G. Boulet, R. Brulotte, and L. Poissant. 2005. "Patterns of mercury deposition and concentration in northeastern North America (1996-2002)." Ecotoxicology 14:37-52
- Wada, H., Cristol, D.A., McNabb, F.M.A., Hopkins, W.A., 2009. "Suppressed adrenocortical responses and thyroid hormone levels in birds near a mercury-contaminated river." Environmental Science & Technology 43, 6031-6038.
- Yu, X., Driscoll, C. T., Huang, J., Holsen, T. M., & Blackwell, B. D. 2013. "Modeling and mapping of atmospheric mercury deposition in Adirondack Park, New York." PloS one, 8(3), e59322.

### Appendix A

Figure A-1. Spatial distribution patterns and pathways of atmospheric mercury deposition ( $\mu$ g/m2 yr) in the Adirondack Park (Yu et al. 2013).

Inset maps include: a) wet deposition; b) litterfall deposition (LF); c) surface evasion; d) throughfall deposition (TF); e) the sum of LF and net TF (TF - wet deposition); f) the modeled dry deposition (sum of GEM, GOM and PBM deposition); and g) the total net Hg deposition (wet + dry - evasion).



## Appendix B

Table B-1. Estimated Atmospheric Mercury Deposition (µg/m<sup>2</sup>yr) at Study Sites Location in the Adirondack Park, New York (Yu et al. 2013)

Study Site	Habitat/Location	Elevation (m)	Dry Deposition	Wet Deposition	Surface Evasion	Litterfall Deposition	Throughfall Deposition	Total Net Deposition (μg m-2 vr-1)
								, ,
Bloomingdale Bog	Sphagnum Bog	473	11.86	7.47	4.56	0.00	7.47	14.77
Bloomingdale Bog	Hardwood Forest	473	2.78	7.40	7.00	14.70	7.62	18.10
Madawaska Flow	Sphagnum Bog	487	0.00	8.47	7.00	7.00	12.11	12.11
Madawaska Flow	Hardwood Forest	487	0.00	8.53	7.00	7.00	12.19	12.19
Massawepie Mire	Sphagnum Bog	467	11.83	8.46	4.56	0.00	8.46	15.73
Massawepie Mire	Hardwood Forest	467	11.83	8.46	4.56	0.00	8.46	15.73
Spring Pond Bog	Sphagnum Bog	471	11.86	8.45	4.56	0.00	8.45	15.74
Spring Pond Bog	Hardwood Forest	471	2.72	8.44	7.00	14.70	8.70	19.11
Whiteface Mountain	Hardwood Forest - East	450	2.94	9.24	7.00	14.70	9.51	20.15
Whiteface Mountain	Hardwood Forest - East	650	2.94	9.24	7.00	14.70	9.51	20.15
Whiteface Mountain	Hardwood Forest - East	850	2.94	9.24	7.00	14.70	9.51	20.15
Whiteface Mountain	Spruce/Fir Forest - East	1075	0.00	8.74	7.00	7.00	12.49	12.49
Whiteface Mountain	Spruce/Fir Forest - East	1200	0.00	8.74	7.00	7.00	12.49	12.49
Whiteface Mountain	Spruce/Fir Forest - East	1300	0.00	8.74	7.00	7.00	12.49	12.49
Whiteface Mountain	Alpine Zone - East	1400	0.00	8.74	7.00	7.00	12.49	12.49
Whiteface Mountain	Hardwood Forest - West	600	3.07	8.21	7.00	14.70	8.45	19.22
Whiteface Mountain	Hardwood Forest - West	700	2.95	9.18	7.00	14.70	9.46	20.10
Whiteface Mountain	Spruce/Fir Forest - West	900	0.00	8.74	7.00	7.00	12.49	12.49
Whiteface Mountain	Spruce/Fir Forest - West	1150	0.00	8.74	7.00	7.00	12.49	12.49
Whiteface Mountain	Spruce/Fir Forest - West	1300	0.00	8.74	7.00	7.00	12.49	12.49
Whiteface Mountain	Alpine Zone - West	1400	0.00	8.74	7.00	7.00	12.49	12.49

### Appendix C

Table C-1. Blood Mercury Concentrations (µg/g, ww) for Songbird Species Sampled at Bloomingdale Bog Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire and Spring Pond Bog: 2008, 2009, 2011

Species	Number of Individuals	Site	Mean Hg ± SD (µg/g)	Range Hg (µg/g)	Family	Foraging Guild	Foraging Layer
Bog	134		0.345 ± 0.351	0.018 - 2.815			
Cedar Waxwing	1	Madawaska Flow	0.018		Bombycillidae	Frugivore	Upper Canopy
Common Yellowthroat	6	All	0.321 ± 0.112	0.157 - 0.450	Parulidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
	5	Madawaska Flow	$0.312 \pm 0.124$	0.157 - 0.450			
	1	Massawepie Mire	0.359				
Lincoln's Sparrow	61	All	0.251 ± 0.173	0.020 - 0.821	Emberizidae	Omnivore	Ground
	15	Bloomingdale Bog	0.107 ± 0.05	0.020 - 0.189			
	9	Madawaska Flow	0.415 ± 0.212	0.037 - 0.678			
	22	Massawepie Mire	0.236 ± 0.115	0.080 - 0.486			
	15	Spring Pond Bog	0.319 ± 0.188	0.136 - 0.821			
Nashville Warbler	23	All	$0.106 \pm 0.069$	0.028 - 0.254	Parulidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
	4	Bloomingdale Bog	$0.035 \pm 0.009$	0.028 - 0.048			
	7	Madawaska Flow	$0.126 \pm 0.052$	0.090 - 0.232			
	4	Massawepie Mire	$0.066 \pm 0.027$	0.049 - 0.107			
	8	Spring Pond Bog	0.145 ± 0.079	0.046 - 0.254			
Swamp Sparrow	1	Madawaska Flow	0.56		Emberizidae	Omnivore	Ground
Yellow Palm Warbler	42	All	0.617 ± 0.477	0.131 - 2.815	Parulidae	Insectivore	Ground
	9	Bloomingdale Bog	$0.285 \pm 0.084$	0.131 - 0.376			
	13	Madawaska Flow	0.616 ± 0.181	0.345 - 0.878			
	6	Massawepie Mire	0.975 ± 0.94	0.371 - 2.815			
	14	Spring Pond Bog	0.679 ± 0.453	0.253 - 1.862			

#### Table C-1 continued

	Number of		Mean Hg ±			Foraging	
Species	Individuals	Site	SD (µg/g)	Range Hg (µg/g)	Family	Guild	Foraging Layer
Forest	158	Forest	0.167 ± 0.162	0.020 - 1.313			
American Redstart	1	Spring Pond Bog	0.112		Parulidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
American Robin	1	Bloomingdale Bog	0.053		Turdidae	Vermivore	Ground
Blue-headed Vireo	1	Madawaska Flow	0.404		Vireonidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
Canada Warbler	1	Spring Pond Bog	0.106		Parulidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
Hairy Woodpecker	1	Massawepie Mire	0.079		Picidae	Insectivore	Bark
Hermit Thrush	63	All	0.132 ± 0.086	0.020 - 0.536	Turdidae	Insectivore	Ground
	14	Bloomingdale Bog	0.106 ± 0.072	0.028 - 0.272			
	12	Madawaska Flow	0.096 ± 0.037	0.044 - 0.158			
	16	Massawepie Mire	0.131 ± 0.071	0.051 - 0.354			
	21	Spring Pond Bog	0.17 ± 0.112	0.020 - 0.536			
Magnolia Warbler	1	Bloomingdale Bog	0.064		Parulidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
Ovenbird	29	All	$0.077 \pm 0.026$	0.022 - 0.124	Parulidae	Insectivore	Ground
	12	Bloomingdale Bog	0.077 ± 0.031	0.022 - 0.124			
	7	Madawaska Flow	0.089 ± 0.026	0.052 - 0.113			
	8	Massawepie Mire	0.069 ± 0.019	0.033 - 0.101			
	2	Spring Pond Bog	0.068 ± 0.003	0.066 - 0.070			
Red-eyed Vireo	42	All	0.313 ± 0.226	0.054 - 1.313	Vireonidae	Insectivore	Upper Canopy
	8	Bloomingdale Bog	0.274 ± 0.122	0.057 - 0.466			
	13	Madawaska Flow	0.318 ± 0.206	0.054 - 0.699			
	16	Massawepie Mire	0.35 ± 0.306	0.121 - 1.313			
	5	Spring Pond Bog	0.239 ± 0.057	0.150 - 0.305			
Scarlet Tanager	1	Massawepie Mire	0.061		Cardinalidae	Insectivore	Upper Canopy

#### Table C-1 continued

Species	Number of Individuals	Site	Mean Hg ± SD (µg/g)	Range Hg (µg/g)	Family	Foraging Guild	Foraging Layer
Swainson's Thrush	2	All	0.08 ± 0.035	0.055 - 0.105	Turdidae	Omnivore	Ground
	1	Bloomingdale Bog	0.105				
	1	Spring Pond Bog	0.055				
Veery	1	Bloomingdale Bog	0.069		Turdidae	Omnivore	Ground
White-throated Sparrow	10	All	0.074 ± 0.048	0.023 - 0.161	Emberizidae	Omnivore	Ground
	3	Bloomingdale Bog	0.036 ± 0.014	0.023 - 0.051			
	4	Madawaska Flow	0.055 ± 0.025	0.034 - 0.084			
	1	Massawepie Mire	0.161				
	2	Spring Pond Bog	0.126 ± 0.008	0.120 - 0.131			
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	1	Bloomingdale Bog	0.034		Picidae	Omnivore	Bark
Yellow-rumped Warbler	3	All	0.292 ± 0.163	0.122 - 0.446	Parulidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
	1	Bloomingdale Bog	0.122				
	2	Madawaska Flow	0.377 ± 0.097	0.309 - 0.446			

Table C-2. Individuals Excluded from Bloomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire and Spring Pond Bog Analysis(48 Juveniles)

Species	Number of Individuals	Site	Mean Hg ± SD (μg/g)	Range Hg (µg/g)	Family	Foraging Guild	Foraging Layer
Bog	19		0.136 ± 0.071	0.024 - 0.319			
Common Yellowthroat	1	Madawaska Flow	0.121		Parulidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
Lincoln's Sparrow	4	All	0.103 ± 0.084	0.024 - 0.190	Emberizidae	Omnivore	Ground
	1	Bloomingdale Bog	0.024				
	2	Massawepie Mire	0.114 ± 0.107	0.038 - 0.190			
	1	Spring Pond Bog	0.159				
Nashville Warbler	1	Bloomingdale Bog	0.026		Parulidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
Song Sparrow	1	Spring Pond Bog	0.099		Emberizidae	Omnivore	Ground
Yellow Palm Warbler	12	All	0.160 ± 0.064	0.097 - 0.319	Parulidae	Insectivore	Ground
	6	Bloomingdale Bog	0.173 ± 0.083	0.103 - 0.319			
	6	Madawaska Flow	0.148 ± 0.040	0.097 - 0.183			
Forest	29		0.034 ± 0.033	0.008 - 0.168			
Hermit Thrush	15	All	0.040 ± 0.039	0.008 - 0.168	Turdidae	Insectivore	Ground
	5	Bloomingdale Bog	0.056 ± 0.064	0.017 - 0.168			
	7	Madawaska Flow	0.028 ± 0.016	0.008 - 0.059			
	1	Massawepie Mire	0.068				
	2	Spring Pond Bog	0.023 ± 0.009	0.017 - 0.030			
Magnolia Warbler	1	Bloomingdale Bog	0.109		Parulidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
Ovenbird	8	All	0.025 ± 0.008	0.013 - 0.038	Parulidae	Insectivore	Ground
	4	Madawaska Flow	0.029 ± 0.008	0.019 - 0.038			
	4	Massawepie Mire	0.021 ± 0.006	0.013 - 0.027			
Dark-eyed Junco	1	Spring Pond Bog	0.012		Emberizidae	Omnivore	Ground
Swainson's Thrush	1	Bloomingdale Bog	0.01		Turdidae _	Omnivore	Ground
White-throated Sparrow	3	Madawaska Flow	$0.023 \pm 0.004$	0.020 - 0.028	Emberizidae	Omnivore	Ground

### Appendix D

Order	Number of Individuals	Community Type	Mean Hg ± SD (ng/g)	Range Hg (ng/g)
Araneae	434	All	323.2 ± 198.6	39.4 - 1286.9
	274	Bog	363.3 ± 217.5	111.4 - 1286.9
	160	Forest	246.2 ± 125.2	39.4 - 896.9
Coleoptera	523	All	118.6 ± 173.2	11.5 - 1436.3
	184	Bog	222.5 ± 282.3	21.1 - 1436.3
	339	Forest	77.2 ± 66.7	11.5 - 514.9
Diptera	354	All	203.8 ± 414.5	8.2 - 4581.3
	158	Bog	239.5 ± 559.9	29.1 - 4581.3
	196	Forest	169.6 ± 189.9	8.2 - 1500.0
Haplotaxida	7	Forest	179.6 ± 89.3	96.6 - 291.1
Hemiptera	87	All	63.2 ± 57.4	14.0 - 188.5
	20	Bog	59.5 ± 53.9	16.3 - 187.6
	67	Forest	69.2 ± 64.6	14.0 - 188.5
Hymenoptera	237	All	91.6 ± 78.2	10.9 - 331.7
	211	Bog	100.9 ± 86.3	10.9 - 331.7
	26	Forest	72.4 ± 56.0	11.4 - 201.8
Julida	38	Forest	68.8 ± 23.8	32.4 - 130.1
Lepidoptera	228	All	29.5 ± 24.5	8.2 - 123.2
	195	Bog	23.6 ± 16.7	8.3 - 105.9
	33	Forest	42.5 ± 32.9	8.2 - 123.2
Lithobiomorpha	1	Forest	151.5	151.5 - 151.5
Mecoptera	4	Forest	100.5 ± 68.8	36.5 - 196.5
Odonata	9	All	244.9 ± 92.8	135.5 - 400.6
	7	Bog	261.9 ± 95.5	136.8 - 400.6
	2	Forest	185.6 ± 70.9	135.5 - 235.7
Opiliones	3	Forest	111.2 ± 35.6	82.1 - 150.9
Orthoptera	64	All	37.4 ± 30.3	7.2 - 166.8
	35	Bog	35.1 ± 35.5	7.2 - 166.8
	29	Forest	41.2 ± 19.5	13.8 - 90.8
Polydesmida	95	All	37.1 ± 13.3	18.9 - 93.5
	30	Bog	37.4 ± 10.2	24.2 - 59.6
	65	Forest	37.0 ± 14.5	18.9 - 93.5
Pulmonata	13	All	116.4 ± 97.3	23.4 - 359.4
	1	Bog	129.6	129.6 - 129.6
	12	Forest	115.0 ± 103.1	23.4 - 359.4
Stylommatophora	1	Forest	34.2	34.2 - 34.2

 Table D-1. Mean Total Mercury Concentrations (ng/g, dw) for Invertebrates Sampled at

 Bloomingdale Bog, Madawaska Flow, Massawepie Mire and Spring Pond Bog: 2008, 2009, 2011

## Appendix E

Table E-1. Stable Isotope Values ( $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{15}$ N) and Total Mercury Concentrations (ng/g) for Songbird and Invertebrate Species Sampled in *Sphagnum* Bog at Madawaska Flow: 2009

	Number of Individuals	Mean δ <sup>13</sup> C ± SD	Mean δ <sup>15</sup> N ± SD	Mean Blood Mercury (ng/g) ± SD
Songbirds		-25.99 ± 1.21	3.88 ± 1.07	434.39 ± 323.33
Lincoln's Sparrow	3	-26.11 ± 1.57	3.70 ± 1.72	318.22 ± 325.17
Nashville Warbler	3	-24.57 ± 0.26	$2.92 \pm 0.40$	116.61 ± 32.31
Yellow Palm Warbler	5	-26.78 ± 0.35	4.55 ± 0.20	694.77 ± 193.28
Invertebrates				
Herbivores	17	-26.32 ± 1.23	-0.93 ± 2.52	16.27 ± 12.94
Aquatic Leaf Beetle	10	-25.91	2.37	21.61
Chain-dotted Geometer	1	-27.08	-0.74	13.09
Leafhopper	2	-24.78	-1.64	0.002
Marsh Beetle	4	-27.51	-3.69	30.38
Omnivores	69	-27.04 ± 0.23	2.66 ± 0.47	147.07 ± 67.35
Ant	61	-26.91 ± 0.11	$2.57 \pm 0.63$	112.76 ± 44.80
Soldier Beetle	8	-27.29	2.85	215.7
Predators	77	-27.97 ± 1.79	4.71 ± 2.10	395.71 ± 404.38
Deer Fly	20	-27.71 ± 1.33	$5.94 \pm 0.36$	54.67 ± 15.11
Ground Beetle	30	-27.78 ± 0.74	$3.62 \pm 0.54$	180.55 ± 49.15
Oodes amaroides	5	-31.76 ± 1.24	5.82 ± 1.33	1181.84 ± 359.81
Predacious Stink Bugs	1	-26.37	0.66	31.5
Spider	17	-27.19 ± 0.93	4.43 ± 2.46	405.06 ± 177.81
Wolf Spider	4	-27.21	7.49	809.53
Scavengers				
American Carrion Beetle	1	-24.57	5.52	337.34

## Appendix F

Table F-1. Stable Isotope Values ( $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{15}$ N) and Total Mercury Concentrations (ng/g) for Songbird and Invertebrate Species Sampled in Northern Hardwood Forest at Madawaska Flow: 2009

	Number of	Mean δ <sup>13</sup> C +	Mean δ <sup>15</sup> N +	Mean Blood Mercury (ng/g) +
	Individuals	SD	SD	SD
Songbirds		-25.29 ± 0.44	5.12 ± 0.41	130.12 ± 88.03
Hermit Thrush	8	-25.27 ± 0.53	5.34 ± 0.16	90.77 ± 38.55
Ovenbird	1	-25.01	4.34	107.09
Red-eyed Vireo	3	-25.45 ± 0.03	4.81 ± 0.42	242.74 ± 109.26
Invertebrates				
Detritivores	20	-24.72 ± 0.75	0.28 ± 1.34	41.98 ± 24.69
Flat-backed Millipede	17	-24.67 ± 0.90	-0.33 ± 0.71	29.64 ± 0.76
Slug	3	-24.89	2.08	79.01
Herbivores	11	-27.19 ± 1.88	2.69 ± 1.79	93.16 ± 91.23
Click Beetle	4	-24.96	5.49	87.62
Leafhopper	1	-26.82	3.08	32.04
Moth	1	-28.12	2.85	0.002
Sawfly Larvae	2	-30.17	0.8	29.36
Shining Leaf Chafers	3	-26.53 ± 1.40	1.97 ± 1.86	204.98 ± 9.61
Omnivores	13	-26.06 ± 1.78	3.34 ± 0.36	63.51 ± 29.64
Camel cricket	11	-25.46 ± 0.40	3.47 ± 0.31	58.07 ± 28.48
Daddy Long Legs	1	-29.15	2.83	100.57
Firefly	1	-24.75	3.49	42.75
Predators	68	-27.72 ± 3.05	5.52 ± 1.45	236.06 ± 409.32
Damselfly	1	-34.62	5.64	235.69
Deer Fly	5	-29.25 ± 2.16	6.29 ± 0.43	754.10 ± 1054.83
Ground Beetle	32	-25.64 ± 1.21	4.88 ± 0.99	49.98 ± 20.86
Mosquito	6	-31.16	4.35	207.42
Oodes amaroides	1	-24.72	9.31	27.16
Spider	23	-27.01 ± 0.89	4.97 ± 0.45	218.09 ± 64.42
Scavengers				
American Carrion Beetle Larvae	1	-27.8	6.83	216.1
## Appendix G

Table G-1. Stable Isotope Values ( $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{15}$ N) and Total Mercury Concentrations (ng/g) for Songbird and Invertebrate Species Sampled in *Sphagnum* Bog at Bloomingdale Bog: 2009

				Mean Blood
	Number of			Mercury (ng/g) ±
	Individuals	Mean δ <sup>13</sup> C ± SD	Mean δ <sup>15</sup> N ± SD	SD
Songbirds		-26.48 ± 1.46	2.74 ± 1.03	169.33 ± 107.62
Yellow Palm Warbler	2	-27.03 ± 1.9	2.4 ± 0.7	252.60 ± 171
Lincoln's Sparrow	4	-26.2 ± 1.4	2.8 ± 1.2	127.68 ± 50
Invertebrates				
Detritivores	17	-25.09 ± 0.09	-2.02 ± 0.34	66.39 ± 54.78
Flat-backed Millipede	16	-25.10 ± 0.12	-1.92 ± 0.4	34.75 ± 0.66
Slug	1	-25.08	-2.21	129.64
Herbivores	34	-26.38 ± 0.87	-3.28 ± 3.69	27.01 ± 20.21
Bee	1	-24.64	1.42	14.00
Butterfly	1	-26.41	-2.65	44.59
Caterpillar	1	-27.43	-8.27	25.20
Chain-dotted Geometer	15	-26.65 ± 1.17	-4.42 ± 0.02	12.41 ± 5
Click Beetle	4	-26.16	-1.84	63.61
Grasshopper	2	-26.50 ± 0.36	-0.44 ± 6.3	36.69 ± 21
Leafhopper	3	-25.75	-5.65	0.001
Marsh Beetle	7	-27.09	-6.08	24.44
Omnivores				
Ant	75	-25.70 ± 0.16	-0.86 ± 1.02	66.12 ± 13
Predators	32	-29.05 ± 8.72	2.86 ± 2.38	288.33 ± 241.46
Deer Fly	2	-54.54	-1.24	305.87
Ground Beetle	5	-25.63 ± 0.72	2.40 ± 1.5	62.77 ± 23
Oodes amaroides	1	-32.79	7.20	761.40
Spider	24	-25.92 ± 0.11	3.1 ± 1.8	370.64 ± 173
Scavengers	5	-25.03 ± 1.09	9.17 ± 4.94	628.18 ± 520.50
American Carrion Beetle	1	-24.91	11.57	1221.83
Burying Beetle	4	-25.08 ± 1.5	7.9 ± 6.3	331.34 ± 114

# Appendix H

Table H-1. Stable Isotope Values ( $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{15}$ N) and Total Mercury Concentrations (ng/g) for Songbird and Invertebrate Species Sampled in Northern Hardwood Forest at Bloomingdale Bog: 2009

				Mean Blood
	Number of	Moon $\delta^{13}C + SD$	Moon $\delta^{15}N + SD$	Mercury (ng/g) ± ספ
	Inuividuais	Mean 0 C ± 3D	Weall O N ± 3D	30
Songbirds		-24.61 ± 0.72	5.13 ± 0.67	152.36 ± 118.25
Hormit Thruch	0	24 78 ± 0.04	4 88 ± 0.73	104.6 ± 50
	9	-24.76 ± 0.94	4.00 ± 0.73	104.0 ± 59
	4	$-24.23 \pm 0.23$	5.21 ± 0.39	$00.3 \pm 20$
	4	-24.30 ± 0.20	5.57 ± 0.57	325.0 ± 109
invertebrates				
Detritivores	8	-24.76 ± 0.61	1.07 ± 2.98	129.07 ± 155.91
Flat-backed Millipede	6	-25.26 ± 0.15	-0.38 ± 0.65	33.91 ± 11.7
Little Brown (Julida) Millipede	1	-24.08	-0.47	89.01
Slug	1	-24.42	5.51	359.44
Herbivores	92	-27.66 ± 1.79	1.99 ± 1.87	19.48 ± 8.83
Caterpillar	2	-25.63	0.23	29.90
Sawfly Larvae	3	-29.72	0.43	12.80
Weevil	41	-26.80 ± 0.34	3.579 ± 1.8	19.85 ± 11
Leafhopper	46	-29.36	2.13	15.00
Omnivores				
Camel cricket	2	-25.06 ± 0.05	3.03 ± 0.97	43.22 ± 9.3
Predators	56	-25.09 ± 2.15	4.36 ± 2.18	86.63 ± 59.17
Deer Fly	2	-26.28	6.58	78.59
Ground Beetle	33	-25.31 ± 0.75	4.64 ± 0.99	39.88 ± 3.3
Searcher Beetle	3	-24.69	5.72	35.89
Spider	18	-24.60 ± 3.7	2.88 ± 2.97	152.96 ± 32
Scavengers	2	-25.28 ± 0.61	7.56 ± 5.47	88.02 ± 32.07
Burying Beetle	1	-24.85	11.44	110.69
Margined Carrion Beetle	1	-25.71	3.69	65.34
Unknown				
Beetle Larvae	2	-24.69 ± 1.05	4.56 ± 2.04	83.3 ± 7.5

#### Appendix I

Table I-1. B	lood Mercury	Concentrations	$(\mu g/g, w)$	w) for S	ongbird Speci	es Sampled	on Whiteface	Mountain:	2009-2010
					. J				

Species	Number of Individuals	Community Type	Mean Hg ± SD (µg/g)	Range Hg (µg/g)	Family	Foraging Guild	Foraging Layer
American Robin	1	Spruce-Fir Forest	0.053		Turdidae	Vermivore	Ground
Bicknell's Thrush	23	All	0.092 ± 0.031	0.051 - 0.180	Turdidae	Omnivore	Ground
	18	Spruce-Fir Forest	$0.094 \pm 0.030$	0.054 - 0.180			
	5	Alpine Zone	$0.084 \pm 0.037$	0.051 - 0.145			
Black and White Warbler	3	All	$0.065 \pm 0.014$	0.053 - 0.080	Parulidae	Insectivore	Bark
	2	Northern Hardwood	0.071 ± 0.012	0.062 - 0.080			
	1	Spruce-Fir Forest	0.053				
Blackpoll Warbler	3	All	0.059 ± 0.015	0.044 - 0.074	Parulidae	Insectivore	Upper Canopy
	1	Spruce-Fir Forest	0.044				
	2	Alpine Zone	0.066 ± 0.012	0.058 - 0.074			
Black-throated Blue Warbler	1	Northern Hardwood	0.033		Parulidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
Black-throated Green Warbler	1	Northern Hardwood	0.043		Parulidae	Insectivore	Upper Canopy
Blue-headed Vireo	2	Northern Hardwood	$0.135 \pm 0.032$	0.112 - 0.157	Vireonidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
Hermit Thrush	31	All	$0.064 \pm 0.026$	0.025 - 0.119	Turdidae	Insectivore	Ground
	30	Northern Hardwood	$0.063 \pm 0.025$	0.025 - 0.119			
	1	Spruce-Fir Forest	0.108				
Nashville Warbler	2	All	$0.040 \pm 0.022$	0.024 - 0.056	Parulidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy
	1	Northern Hardwood	0.024				
	1	Spruce-Fir Forest	0.056				
Ovenbird	34	All	0.055 ± 0.019	0.018 - 0.096	Parulidae	Insectivore	Ground
Red-eyed Vireo	22	All	0.115 ± 0.048	0.062 - 0.266	Vireonidae	Insectivore	Upper Canopy
Dark-eyed Junco	35	All	$0.052 \pm 0.022$	0.027 - 0.151	Emberizidae	Omnivore	Ground

#### Table I-1 continued

	Number of	Community	Mean Hg ± SD	Range Hg		Foraging	Foraging
Species	Individuals	Туре	(µg/g)	(µg/g)	Family	Guild	Layer
		Northern					
	5	Hardwood	$0.070 \pm 0.049$	0.031 - 0.151			
	22	Spruce-Fir Forest	0.053 ± 0.012	0.034 - 0.077			
	8	Alpine Zone	0.037 ± 0.007	0.027 - 0.046			
Swainson's Thrush	42	All	0.095 ± 0.041	0.019 - 0.233	Turdidae	Omnivore	Ground
	13	Northern Hardwood	0.081 ± 0.051	0.019 - 0.233			
	27	Spruce-Fir Forest	$0.102 \pm 0.037$	0.037 - 0.184			
	2	Alpine Zone	0.082 ± 0.013	0.072 - 0.092			
White-throated Sparrow	31	All	0.043 ± 0.024	0.023 - 0.153	Emberizid ae	Omnivore	Ground
	1	Northern Hardwood	0.030				
	16	Spruce-Fir Forest	$0.052 \pm 0.030$	0.027 - 0.153			
	14	Alpine Zone	$0.035 \pm 0.009$	0.023 - 0.053			
Yellow-rumped Warbler	2	Spruce-Fir Forest	0.088 ± 0.009	0.081 - 0.094	Parulidae	Insectivore	Lower Canopy

Species	Number of Individuals	Community Type	Mean Hg ± SD (µg/g)	Range Hg (µg/g)	Family	Foraging Guild	Foraging Layer
Hairy Woodpecker	2	Northern Hardwood	$0.022 \pm 0.003$	0.020 - 0.043	Picidae	Insectivore	Bark
Dark-eyed Junco	8	All	0.077 ± 0.041	0.039 - 0.620	Emberizidae	Omnivore	Ground
	1	Alpine Zone	0.039				
	7	Spruce-Fir Forest	0.083 ± 0.041	0.060 - 0.581			
White-throated Sparrow	1	Alpine Zone	0.031		Emberizidae	Omnivore	Ground
Winter Wren	1	Spruce-Fir Forest	0.071		Troglodytidae	Insectivore	Ground
Sharp-shinned Hawk	1	Northern Hardwood	1.723		Accipitridae	Carnivore	Air
Sharp-shinned Hawk	1	Spruce-Fir Forest	1.122		Accipitridae	Carnivore	Air
Winter Wren (unknown age)	1	Spruce-Fir Forest	0.101		Troglodytidae	Insectivore	Ground

Table I-2. Individuals Excluded from Whiteface Analysis (12 Juveniles, 2 Sharp-shinned Hawks, 1 Winter Wren of Unknown Age)

### Appendix J

Table J-1. Mean Total Mercury Concentrations (ng/g, dw) for Invertebrates Sampled at Whiteface Mountain: 2009-2010

Order	Number of Individuals	Mean Hg ± SD (ng/g)	Range Hg (ng/g)
Araneae	105	211.4 ± 107.3	63.1 - 682.5
Coleoptera	457	73.9 ± 53.7	13.6 - 505.6
Diptera	131	127.4 ± 65.2	11.3 - 312.2
Haplotaxida	3	152.8	152.8 - 152.8
Hemiptera	11	65.5 ± 63.5	10.9 - 187.4
Hymenoptera	60	72.4 ± 54.4	14.7 - 303.6
Julida	63	84.5 ± 52.0	33.6 - 333.0
Lepidoptera	33	82.7 ± 133.4	15.7 - 705.3
Lithobiomorpha	4	197.6 ± 45.2	146.8 - 233.4
Mecoptera	11	216.3 ± 310.8	75.9 - 1148.9
Odonata	1	166.4	166.4 - 166.4
Opiliones	3	74.7 ± 13.6	60.1 - 87.1
Orthoptera	19	43.2 ± 25.3	15.8 - 114.5
Polydesmida	47	60.3 ± 116.6	21.5 - 772.8
Pulmonata	42	187.7 ± 134.1	29.7 - 659.7
Spirobolida	4	390.1 ± 176.2	170.4 - 598.5
Stylommatophora	2	156.2 ± 171.5	34.9 - 277.4

NYSERDA, a public benefit corporation, offers objective information and analysis, innovative programs, technical expertise, and support to help New Yorkers increase energy efficiency, save money, use renewable energy, and reduce reliance on fossil fuels. NYSERDA professionals work to protect the environment and create clean-energy jobs. NYSERDA has been developing partnerships to advance innovative energy solutions in New York State since 1975.

To learn more about NYSERDA's programs and funding opportunities, visit nyserda.ny.gov or follow us on Twitter, Facebook, YouTube, or Instagram.

New York State Energy Research and Development Authority

17 Columbia Circle Albany, NY 12203-6399 toll free: 866-NYSERDA local: 518-862-1090 fax: 518-862-1091

info@nyserda.ny.gov nyserda.ny.gov



State of New York Andrew M. Cuomo, Governor

New York State Energy Research and Development Authority Richard L. Kauffman, Chair | John B. Rhodes, President and CEO