Indirect Benefits Framework

| INITIATIVE LIFECYCLE STAGES AND ACTIVITIES | IMPLICATIONS FOR INDIRECT Benefits | NOTES |
|--|--|-------|
| AGE 1: EVALUATION PLANNING (PRE-FILING) | | |
| Clarify market transformation theory | | |
| What market actors does this initiative seek to influence? | How will the initiative catalyze market change and generate indirect benefits? | |
| How will the initiative influence each type of market actor? | | |
| What are the key market activities? | | |
| What outputs will result from each activity? | | |
| What market outcomes are expected? | | |
| In 1 to 2 years? | | |
| In 3 to 4 years? | | |
| In 5 or more years? | | |
| What other NYSERDA initiatives target these market actors? | | |
| How will other initiatives influence these market actors? | | |
| Create logic model | | |
| Do the activities in the logic model reflect all expected NYSERDA market transformation influences—even the influence from other initiatives (such as the Buildings of Excellence influence on CSCNB)? | | |
| Create an evaluability map by pathway of influence | Miles and the state of the state of | |
| What is the evidence of each influence for each pathway? (How will you test if the NYSERDA initiative influenced the soughtafter outcome?) | What are the theorized pathways of causal influence and what data are needed to validate those pathways? | |
| What research activities will provide evidence of influence? | | |
| Develop benefits forecast | | |
| Are assumptions clearly identified (where anyone could easily find all the assumed values)? | What are the direct and indirect benefits targets and forecasts? What are the assumed baseline conditions? | |
| Are assumptions fully documented (with sources that are clearly identified and referenced)? | | |

Indirect Benefits Framework

| INITIATIVE LIFECYCLE STAGES AND ACTIVITIES | IMPLICATIONS FOR INDIRECT BENEFITS | NOTES |
|--|--|-------|
| GE 2: INITIATIVE YEAR 1 (BASELINE YEAR) | | |
| Review initiative documentation | | |
| Review initiative documentation | | |
| Review BAB; clarify assumptions and sources | | |
| Review draft evaluability map | | |
| Develop evaluation plan (sources, methods, and granularity for assessment of UEBs, market adoption, and causality) | | |
| Research questions | | |
| Research methods and data sources | How will we estimate indirect benefits | |
| To assess market progress | | |
| To assess causality | | |
| Timeline | calculation components | |
| Analysis plan | (such as UEBs or an increase in market | |
| Define UEB units of adoption | adoption resulting from | |
| Determine how many and which UEBs are needed (such as for different segments) | initiative activities)? | |
| Determine how UEBs will be evaluated | | |
| Create NOMAD estimation approach | | |
| Coordinate with internal and external stakeholders | Have we identified and incorporated all the theorized pathways of influence into evaluation plans? How will we coordinate research with other stakeholders? | |
| Initiative team | | |
| NYSERDA teams working in same market | | |
| Other New York stakeholders working in and researching the same markets | | |
| Characterize market baseline conditions Current conditions (update BAB assumption) Forecast | What are the market conditions prior to initiative launch? What are the expected future conditions absent the initiative? | |

Indirect Benefits Framework

| INITIATIVE LIFECYCLE STAGES AND ACTIVITIES | IMPLICATIONS FOR INDIRECT Benefits | NOTES | | |
|--|--|-------|--|--|
| STAGE 3: SUBSEQUENT YEARS | AGE 3: SUBSEQUENT YEARS | | | |
| Evaluate market progress, adoption, and causal influence per evaluation plan | What are the direct and indirect benefits per unit of adoption? How many units were adopted as a result of the initiative? | | | |
| Estimate indirect benefits | | | | |
| Review benefits forecast model assumptions; refine forecast | Are the benefits forecast assumptions valid? What assumptions, if any, should be updated? | | | |
| Review/refine logic model | • Is the market transformation theory valid? What activities, elements, or pathways of influence should be updated, if any? | | | |
| Update evaluation and data collection plan | How should the evaluation and data collection plans be updated to ensure that the evaluation team obtains data required for the timely, accurate estimation of benefits? | | | |