

# Learning from the Experts Webinar Series

# Research and Regulations for Marine Mammal Interactions with Offshore Wind





**Douglas Nowacek** Repass-Rodgers Chair of Marine Conservation Technology Duke University

Nick Sisson Marine Resources Management Specialist NOAA Fisheries

August 23, 2023

# **Meeting Procedures**

### Webinar recordings and presentations will be available at: www.nyserda.ny.gov/osw-webinar-series

# **Participation for Members of the Public:**

> Members of the public will be muted upon entry.

> Questions and comments may be submitted in writing through the Q&A feature at any time during the event.





> If technical problems arise, please contact John.Campagna@nyserda.ny.gov

# Learning from the Experts

This webinar series is hosted by NYSERDA's offshore wind team and features experts in offshore wind technologies, development practices, and related research.

DISCLAIMER:

The views and opinions expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter and do not represent the views or opinions of NYSERDA or New York State.



# OFFSHORE WIND ENERGY DEVELOPMENT AND ITS POTENTIAL THREATS TO MARINE MAMMALS

Douglas P. Nowacek Repass-Rodgers Chair of Marine Conservation Technology Duke University



**NICHOLAS SCHOOL** of the **ENVIRONMENT** 

Duke University Marine Lab



BIOACOUSTICS & ENGINEERING NOWACEK LAB



PRATT SCHOOL of ENGINEERING ASSESSING THREATS IN A DYNAMIC AND DRAMATICALLY CHANGING OCEAN



General Circulation – Outer Cape Coastal Current From Shcherbina and Gawarkiewicz 2008



Marine heatwaves NOAA OISST



Shelfbreak processes Chen et al. 2021



Increases in MHW days and intensity

Grosselindemann et al. 2021



# POTENTIAL THREATS

- Noise
  - Site characterization
  - Sediment type for foundations and cable corridors
  - Pile driving
  - Operation
- Increased vessel traffic
  - Ship strike risk
  - Noise
- Wake effects air and water
  - NASEM Panel report
     pending





# THE OCEAN – NOT THE 'SILENT WORLD' OF COUSTEAU Ambie

### Ambient and Localised Noise Sources in the Ocean

- Airguns
- 'Boomers' and 'Sparkers'
- Multi-beam echosounders
- Side-scan sonar
- ADCP
- Split-beam sonars









		Delphinidae (Delphinus, Feresa, Globicephala spp., Grampus, Lagenodelphis, Lagenorhynchus acutus, L. albirostris, L. obliquidens, L. obscurus, Lissodelphis spp., Orcaella spp., Peponocephala, Pseudorca, Sotalia spp., Sousa spp., Stenella spp., Steno, Tursiops spp.); Montodontidae (Delphinapterus, Monodon); Plantanistidae (Plantanista)
Very high- frequency cetaceans	VHF	Delphinidae (Cephalorhynchus spp.; Lagenorhynchus cruciger, L. austrailis); Phocoenidae (Neophocaena spp., Phocoena spp., Phocoenoides); Iniidae (Inia); Kogiidae (Kogia); Lipotidae (Lipotes); Pontoporiidae (Pontoporia)
Sirenians	SI	Trichechidae (Trichechus spp.); Dugongidae (Dugong)
Phocid carnivores in water Phocid carnivores	PCW PCA	Phocidae (Cystophora, Erignathus, Halichoerus, Histriophoca, Hydrurga, Leptonychotes, Lobodon, Mirounga spp., Monachus, Neomonachus, Ommatophoca, Pagophilus, Phoca spp., Pusa spp.)
in air		
Other marine carnivores in water	OCW	Odobenidae (Odobenus); Otariidae (Arctocephalus spp., Callorhinus, Eumetopias, Neophoca, Otaria, Phocarctos, Zalophus spp.); Ursidae (Ursus maritimus); Mustelidae (Enhydra, Lontra feline)
Other marine carnivores in air	OCA	





# WHAT DO WE KNOW ABOUT RESPONSES OF WHALES TO ANTHROPOGENIC NOISE SOURCES?

- MMPA manages 'takes' of marine mammals more from Nick! though blanket 'stepfunction' thresholds are outdated...
- Behavioral responses documented in several species
- Some species, e.g., humpbacks, are less responsive than others though do, in some cases, show mild behavioral responses to seismic (e.g., Dunlop et al. 2015)
- Some species, e.g., harbor porpoises & beaked whales, can be more sensitive than others
- Right whales do respond to some signals (e.g., alarm signal) but not to others, (e.g., ship noise Nowacek et al. 2004)
- We have <u>no data</u> on right whale responses to impulsive noise, though we have insights from their cousin, the bowhead whale...

# LARGE WHALES AND WIND...

- What do we know about the strandings of humpback or other whales along the mid-Atlantic coast...
  - ...there is <u>no evidence</u> that any activities associated with offshore wind cause nor have any connection to whale strandings
  - We are actively working to better understand the potential response(s) and any associated consequences



### OFFSHORE WIND AND WHALES

### Whale Strandings



There has been an unusually high number of humpback whale and North Atlantic Right whale strandings on the east coast of the U.S since 2016 and 2017 respectively. NOAA has declared these as Unusual Mortality Crents.

Some people claim that offshore wind is responsible for these deaths, some even going as far as calling offshore wind "the DDT of our times."

owever, here is **no evidence** that offshore wind farms cause whale randings.

40% of these deaths are caused by **vessel strikes** and **entanglement** in fishing gear.

### Acoustic Surveys

High resolution geophysical (HRG) surveys are high frequency acoustic surveys used to acquire images of the sea floor and shallow hubsurface.



There is **no ovidence** that HRGs used for offshore wind farms result in serious harm to whales.

Wind energy companies have not applied for nor has NOAA or BOEM authorized the killing or harm of whales for HRGs.

### Humpback Whale Strandings on the East Coast of the U.S.



# RIGHT WHALE MORTALITIES

If you remove neo-natal mortalities – 100% of documented right whale deaths in the last 25 years have been caused by humans – <u>ship strikes</u> and <u>entanglement</u>



# NOAA Fisheries Protected Species Management Work Related to Offshore Wind Development

Nick Sisson, NOAA Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office Protected Resources Division

NYSERDA Webinar - August 2023



# **NOAA Fisheries Offshore Wind Roles + Responsibilities**

### **Technical Assistance, Comments, Recommendations**

- National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
- Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (FWCA)

### Section 7 Consultation/Biological Opinion/Incidental Take Statement

• Endangered Species Act (ESA)

### **Incidental Take Authorization**

Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA)

### **Essential Fish Habitat Conservation Recommendations**

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA)

### **Scientific Support**

- Ensure informed management decisions based on best available science
- Address impacts on scientific surveys and advice
- Research on the interactions with NOAA trust resources and coastal communities

# **ESA Responsibilities**

- Related to offshore wind development, two primary components of the ESA apply:
  - <u>Section 7</u>
    - **7(a)(1): all agencies shall aid in the conservation of listed species**
    - 7(a)(2): all agencies shall ensure their actions are not likely to jeopardize species or destroy/adversely modify critical habitat
  - <u>Section 9</u> "take" is prohibited (harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to...)
- Section 7(a)(2) provides a means to obtain an exemption from the section 9
  prohibitions on take through section 7 consultation and issuance of a Biological
  Opinion

# **ESA Responsibilities**

- Section 7 consultation is initiated following a request from the lead Action Agency (i.e. BOEM)
- The lead federal action agency prepares a Biological Assessment (BA) describing the effects of the proposed action, including measures to minimize or monitor impacts of the activity
- NMFS issues a Biological Opinion and appropriate Incidental Take Statement which exempts an identified amount and type (e.g., injury) of take and includes measures to minimize and monitor that take
- The Biological Opinion for offshore wind energy projects is comprehensive:
  - Covers entire life of project (construction/operation/decommissioning)
  - All federal actions associated with a single project are considered, (e.g., BOEM authorization, NMFS MMPA, Army Corps permit)
- If the project changes or new information indicates the project is having effects that were not anticipated, reinitiation may be required

# **MMPA Responsibilities**

- The MMPA prohibits the take of marine mammals unless certain exceptions are made
- Upon request, the Secretary (delegated to NMFS) shall allow the incidental take (but not intentional take) of small numbers of marine mammals pursuant to a specified activity (other than commercial fishing) within a specific geographic area if:
  - After opportunity for public comment, NMFS finds the total taking will 1) have a negligible impact on the affected species (or stock) and 2) will not have an unmitigable adverse impact on the availability of the affected species or stocks for subsistence uses;
- In an Incidental Take Authorization (ITA), NMFS must prescribe:
  - Means of effecting the least practicable adverse impact on the affected species or stock and its habitat are set forth (mitigation measures), paying particular attention to rookeries, mating grounds, and areas of similar significance; and
  - Requirements pertaining to the monitoring and reporting

# **Opportunities for Mitigation Measures**

NEPA: Recommend alternatives for consideration in the EIS; suggest measures to avoid, minimize, monitor effects through our cooperating and adopting agency role

ESA: In the development of the action; required terms and conditions of Incidental Take Statement to minimize and monitor incidental take of ESA listed species

**MMPA: Application development, proposed ITA, final ITA** 

# **Mitigation + Monitoring Measures**

- Mitigation (i.e., avoidance and minimization) and monitoring measures evolve over the evaluation of a project and between projects
- Measures are project specific and are informed by the best available science to effectively minimize and to monitor the effects of the proposed activities on protected species
  - Carefully consider each project individually how to minimize impacts to the greatest extent possible
  - Manner and degree to which measures are expected to minimize probability or severity of impacts
- Mitigation and monitoring measures have been required for multiple offshore wind projects to date - both for site assessment surveys and construction, operations, and decommissioning activities of projects

### Offshore Wind Energy Development in New England/Mid-Atlantic Waters

Offshore wind development is rapidly expanding along the Atlantic coast of the United States, especially from Massachusetts to North Carolina. This is a new use of our marine waters, requiring substantial scientific and regulatory review by NOAA Fisheries.

### New England/Mid-Atlantic



### NOAA's Role

The <u>Bureau of Ocean Energy Management</u> (BOEM) is the lead federal agency for offshe exploration and development. NOAA Fisheries is the lead federal agency charged with s marine life. Building, operating, and decommissioning offshore wind power plants affects our key mission areas, including: fisheries, protected animals (such as sea turtles and w seabirds, and marine habitats. We provide information to help the Bureau of Ocean Ene Management make informed decisions about offshore wind energy development and op Specifically, we:

- Provide data and analyses related to fishing activities, ocean conditions, and affected resources.
- Provide input and review throughout the regulatory process, working as a cooperating assist BOEM in their development of an environmental impact statement under the N Environmental Policy Act.
- Conduct research and monitoring to better understand the potential effects of offshore development on marine habitats, fisheries, protected resources, and their ecosystems
- Providing BOEM, other federal agencies, states, tribes, and stakeholders with inform fisheries operations and the potential socioeconomic impacts of offshore wind project communities.
- Foster management decisions that promote coexistence among competing uses while adverse impacts to our trust resources.

### More Information

- Bureau of Ocean Energy Management
   Northeast Fishery Management and Offshore Wind rat
- Responsible Offshore Development
   Aliance
- ➤ ICES Offshore Wind Working Group Id
- Northeast Wind Team Staff
- Socioeconomic Impacts of Atlantic Offshore Wind Development
- Offshore Wind Energy Overview

### Recent News







- Technical resources to assist in the analysis of the effects of proposed offshore wind projects
- Framework for regional passive acoustic monitoring (Van Paris *et al.* 2021)
  - Created several acoustic-related resources for use by offshore wind developers

 BOEM/NOAA Fisheries North Atlantic Right Whale and Offshore Wind Strategy

 Appendix B

# Resources

# **Management x Science Collaboration**

- Collaborating within NOAA and with external partners to evaluate impacts to protected species and identifying research needs
- Ensuring research and data collection efforts is done in a way to maximize utility for management:
  - NOAA's Northeast Fisheries Science Center
    - Close coordination ensuring research meets management needs
  - Regional Wildlife Science Collaborative for Offshore Wind (RWSC)
    - Steering Committee and Subcommittee participation
  - Department of Energy funded Duke project 'Wildlife and Offshore Wind'
    - External Advisory Board member
  - Marine Mammal Commission funded PSO data utility project with NEAq

# **Relevant Links**

### **NMFS Greater Atlantic Offshore Wind Webpage:**

https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/new-england-mid-atlantic/science-data/offshore-wind-energydevelopment-new-england-mid-atlantic-waters

### **GARFO ESA Section 7 Overview:**

https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/new-england-mid-atlantic/consultations/section-7-consultationsgreater-atlantic-region

### **ESA Section 7 Biological Opinions in the Greater Atlantic Region:**

https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/new-england-mid-atlantic/consultations/section-7-biologicalopinions-greater-atlantic-region

### **MMPA Incidental Take Authorizations:**

https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/marine-mammal-protection/incidental-take-authorizationsother-energy-activities-renewable

### **NMFS Consultations for Offshore Wind Presentation:**

https://www.permits.performance.gov/sites/permits.dot.gov/files/2022-05/NMFS%20Consultations%20for%20Offshore%20Wind.pdf

# WILDLIFE AND OFFSHORE WIND



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

ENERGY

A Systems Approach to Research and Risk Assessment for Offshore Wind Development

Award DE-EE0010287, Offshore Wind Energy Environmental Research and Instrumentation Validation Bureau of Ocean Energy





# WOW STUDY SITES

Integrated Regional Ecosystem Studies (IRES)

# OFFSHORE WIND AND MARINE MAMMAL RESEARCH

- The Team Duke, WCS, SEA, Syracuse, Stonybrook, NEAq, WHOI, Cornell, Rutgers
- Areas of research focus:
- 1. Responses of marine mammals to construction noise
- 2. Changes in habitat use











# WOW 2023: WHALE CRUISE

- Tag Attempts: 34
- Tag Deployments: 28
- Blow Samples: 17
- Photogrammetry Samples: 14
- Skin Samples: 48
- Biopsy: 1



# FIN WHALE CALLS RECORDED ON TAGS NEAR THE SOUTHERN NE WIND ENERGY AREAS







# USING PASSIVE ACOUSTIC MONITORING (PAM) FOR MITIGATION/ MONITORING

- Can be effective though not a panacea
  - Need cue rates
  - Presence only
- Localizations possible though tricky on large scale



# SATELLITE TAGGING IN THE NYB

Establish baseline data for large whales in the area of wind energy development

Positional-only and position+dive behavior tags

Accounting for uncertainty in argos positions



Figure 1. Movements of Zc068 in conjunction with the CEE from the U.S.S. McFaul (hereafter McFaul). Left panel shows 100 estimated tracks in light orange, with one example track highlighted in dark orange. These tracks represent the entire track; colored points correspond to imputed positions from each of 100 tracks for the hour before (green), during (orange), and after (purple) the CEE. Right panel zooms in on the area of the exposure and shows points from the highlighted track. In the right panel, the gray color indicates all the positions from one estimated track; colors of positions before, during, and after the CEE are as in the left panel.



### NORTH ATLANTIC RIGHT NARW, M1 **BOEM Lease Areas** WHALE BOEM Lease Areas NARW, M1 <VALUE> North Atlantic right what OCS-A 0501: Vineyard Wind 1 LLC Vineyard Wind [Plot for internal Project WOW use] 0.000 - 0.016 0.016 - 0.025 Abundance in Lease Area - Abundance in Lease Area + 90% (1) 0.025 - 0.040 0.040 - 0.063 0.063 - 0.100 0.10 - 0.16 0.16 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.40 0.40 - 0.63 0.63 - 1.00 1.0'- 1.6 Lease Date: 04/01/2 Lease Term: 25 Yea Lease Area: 264 km<sup>2</sup> Lease+10km Buffer Area: 1276 km<sup>2</sup> BOEM Geodatabase: BOEM-Renewable-Energy-Geodatabas ROEM Leave Dataset: BOEMWindLeaseOutlines 6 30 2022 1.6 - 2.5 Figure: v1-2022-09-21 10 11 2.5 - 4.0 4.0 - 6.3 **Empire Wind** North Atlantic right whale OCS-A 0512: Empire Offshore Wind , LLC [Plot for internal Project WOW use] 6.3 - 10 Abundance in Lease Area +109 90% CI

Lease Date: 0401/2017 Lease Term: 25 Years Lease Area: 321 km<sup>2</sup> Lease+10km Buffer Area: 1702 km<sup>2</sup> BOEM Geodatabase: BOEM-Menewable-Energy-Geodatabas BOEM Lease Dateset: BOEM-Midle.asee/Datings 6, 30, 2022

Figure: v1-2022-09-21



Roberts et al. 2023

8 9 10 11

# WHAT WE MEAN BY:





Image credit: https://datamanagement.hms.harvard.edu/plan-design/biomedical-

# IMPACT ANALYSIS TOOLS

### Population Consequences of Disturbance & Multiple Stressors



SMRU Consulting

understand • assess • mitigate

### **PROCEEDINGS B**



royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb

### Managing the effects of multiple stressors on wildlife populations in their ecosystems: developing a cumulative risk approach

Peter L. Tyack<sup>1</sup>, Len Thomas<sup>2</sup>, Daniel P. Costa<sup>4,5</sup>, Ailsa J. Hall<sup>1</sup>, Catriona M. Harris<sup>2</sup>, John Harwood<sup>2</sup>, Scott D. Kraus<sup>6</sup>, Patrick J. O. Miller<sup>1</sup>, Michael Moore<sup>7</sup>, Theoni Photopoulou<sup>2</sup>, Enrico Pirotta<sup>2</sup>, Rosalind M. Rolland<sup>6</sup>, Lori H. Schwacke<sup>8</sup>, Samantha E. Simmons<sup>3</sup> and Brandon L. Southall<sup>4,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sea Mammal Research Unit, School of Biology, Scottish Oceans Institute, <sup>2</sup>Centre for Research into Ecological and Environmental Modelling, and <sup>3</sup>SMRU Consulting, Scottish Oceans Institute, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, UK



This material is based upon work supported by the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management and the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-EE0010287

NMFS Permits: Photogrammetry and Snot - Ocean Alliance 23644-02; Drone tagging - D Wiley 27272-01; Biopsy and acoustics - D Nowacek 22516-04



**NICHOLAS SCHOOL** of the **ENVIRONMENT** 

Duke University Marine Lab



Duke

PRATT SCHOOL of ENGINEERING

# THANK YOU!

Douglas P. Nowacek - <u>dpn3@duke.edu</u>

Acknowledgements: This material is based upon work supported by the Bureau of Ocea Energy Management and the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-EE0010287

Permits: Photogrammetry and Snot - Ocean Alliance permit 23644-02; Drone tagging -

# WILDLIFE AND OFFSHORE WIND

### A Systems Approach to Research and Risk Assessment for Offshore Wind Development

# **Coming Soon**

September 20, 1:00 p.m. ET How to Tap into the Offshore Wind Supply Chain Kristian Ravn (Green Ducklings) and Andreas Schønbeck (Horten)

Visit wind.ny.gov to register

## Wildlife and OSW Resources

Visit nyetwg.com

### Check out over 35 past webinars, including:

- Environmental Data Management and Offshore
   Wind
- Bird Monitoring Methodologies for Offshore Wind
- A Panel on Nature Based Design Enhancements for Offshore Wind Farms
- Regional Collaboration on Wildlife & Fisheries Research

www.nyserda.ny.gov/osw-webinar-series

We want your feedback! Send suggestions for future webinar topics to offshorewind@nyserda.ny.gov

