

43 - Village of Monticello

Notice

The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect those of the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (hereafter “NYSERDA”) or the State of New York, and reference to any specific product, service, process, or method does not constitute an implied or expressed recommendation or endorsement of it. Further, NYSERDA, the State of New York, and the contractor make no warranties or representations, expressed or implied, as to the fitness for particular purpose or merchantability of any product, apparatus, or service, or the usefulness, completeness, or accuracy of any processes, methods, or other information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report. NYSERDA, the State of New York, and the contractor make no representation that the use of any product, apparatus, process, method, or other information will not infringe privately owned rights and will assume no liability for any loss, injury, or damage resulting from, or occurring in connection with, the use of information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report.

NYSERDA makes every effort to provide accurate information about copyright owners and related matters in the reports we publish. Contractors are responsible for determining and satisfying copyright or other use restrictions regarding the content of reports that they write, in compliance with NYSERDA’s policies and federal law. If you are the copyright owner and believe a NYSERDA report has not properly attributed your work to you or has used it without permission, please email print@nysesda.ny.gov

Village of Monticello Microgrid Feasibility Study

Microgrid Project Results and Final Written Documentation

Prepared for:

New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA)
17 Columbia Circle
Albany, NY 12203-6399
Project Manager: Joanna Moore

Prepared by:

Booz Allen Hamilton Inc.
8283 Greensboro Drive
McLean, VA 22102

Date Submitted: April 8, 2016

Contract Number: 65599, Task 5

Points of Contact Authorized for the Village of Monticello Microgrid Study:

Michelle Isenhouer Hanlin
1550 Crystal Drive, Suite 1100
Arlington, VA 22202
Phone: 717-501-8509
Email: isenhouerhanlin_michelle@bah.com

Notice

This report was prepared by Booz Allen Hamilton in the course of performing work contracted for and sponsored by the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (hereafter “NYSERDA”). The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect those of NYSERDA or the State of New York, and reference to any specific product, service, process, or method does not constitute an implied or expressed recommendation or endorsement of it. Further, NYSERDA, the State of New York, and the contractor make no warranties or representations, expressed or implied, as to the fitness for particular purpose or merchantability of any product, apparatus, or service, or the usefulness, completeness, or accuracy of any processes, methods, or other information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report. NYSERDA, the State of New York, and the contractor make no representation that the use of any product, apparatus, process, method, or other information will not infringe privately owned rights and will assume no liability for any loss, injury, or damage resulting from, or occurring in connection with, the use of information contained, described, disclosed, or referred to in this report.

NYSERDA makes every effort to provide accurate information about copyright owners and related matters in the reports we publish. Contractors are responsible for determining and satisfying copyright or other use restrictions regarding the content of reports that they write, in compliance with NYSERDA’s policies and federal law. If you are the copyright owner and believe a NYSERDA report has not properly attributed your work to you or has used it without permission, please email print@nyserda.ny.gov.

Abstract

Together with the Village of Monticello (Monticello), Booz Allen Hamilton has completed the feasibility study for a proposed microgrid. This study summarizes the findings and recommendations, results, lessons learned, and benefits of the proposed microgrid. The Project Team has determined the project is feasible, though not without Phase III funding and additional subsidies. The commercial and financial viability of the project have been analyzed and detailed in this document. The Monticello microgrid project faces the challenge of high capital costs, but it benefits from an advantageous mix of generation and loads. Two new 500 kW carport solar photovoltaic (PV) arrays, one 200 kW diesel backup generator and three 1 megawatt (MW)/ 4 megawatt-hour (MWh) battery storage units will provide reliable, low-emission electricity to customers while providing a proof of concept for a community microgrid in investor-owned utility (IOU) territory. Many of the takeaways of the feasibility study may be generalized across the spectrum of NY Prize and community microgrids.

Keywords: NY Prize, NYSERDA, distributed energy resources, energy resilience, clean energy, DER, Monticello

Contents

Notice..... i

Abstract..... ii

Figures..... vii

Tables vii

Acronyms and Abbreviations ix

Executive Summary xi

1. Introduction..... 1

2. Microgrid Capabilities and Technical Design and Configuration 1

 2.1 Project Purpose and Need1

 2.2 Microgrid Required and Preferred Capabilities (Sub Tasks 1.1 and 1.2).....2

 2.2.1 Serving Multiple, Physically Separated Critical Facilities4

 2.2.2 Limited Use of Diesel Fueled Generators4

 2.2.3 Local Power in both Grid-Connected and Islanded Mode4

 2.2.4 Intentional Islanding.....5

 2.2.5 Resynchronization to NYSEG Power5

 2.2.6 Standardized Interconnection6

 2.2.7 24/7 Operation Capability7

 2.2.8 Two Way Communication with Local Utility7

 2.2.9 Voltage and Frequency Synchronism When Connected to the Grid7

 2.2.10 Load Following and Frequency and Voltage Stability When Islanded7

 2.2.11 Diverse Customer Mix7

 2.2.12 Resiliency to Weather Conditions8

 2.2.13 Black Start Capability9

 2.2.14 Energy Efficiency Upgrades9

 2.2.15 Cyber Security.....9

 2.2.16 Use of Microgrid Logic Controllers10

 2.2.17 Smart Grid Technologies10

 2.2.18 Smart Meters10

 2.2.19 Distribution Automation10

 2.2.20 Energy Storage10

 2.2.21 Active Network Control System10

 2.2.22 Demand Response11

 2.2.23 Clean Power Sources Integration11

 2.2.24 Optimal Power Flow11

 2.2.25 Storage Optimization11

 2.2.26 PV Monitoring, Control, and Forecasting12

2.2.27 Protection Coordination	12
2.2.28 Selling Energy and Ancillary Services.....	12
2.2.29 Data Logging Features	12
2.2.30 Leverage Private Capital	13
2.2.31 Accounting for Needs and Constraints of Stakeholders.....	13
2.2.32 Demonstrate Tangible Community Benefit.....	13
2.3 Distributed Energy Resources Characterization (Sub Task 2.3)	13
2.3.1 Existing Generation Assets	13
2.3.2 Proposed Generation Assets.....	13
2.3.3 Generation Asset Adequacy, Resiliency, and Characteristics.....	14
2.4 Load Characterization (Sub Task 2.2)	16
2.4.1 Electrical Load	16
2.4.2 Thermal Consumption.....	20
2.5 Proposed Microgrid Infrastructure and Operations (Sub Task 2.1).....	20
2.5.1 Grid Parallel Mode.....	20
2.5.2 Intentional Islanded Mode.....	21
2.6 Electrical and Thermal Infrastructure Characterization (Sub Task 2.4).....	21
2.6.1 Electrical Infrastructure.....	21
2.6.2 Points of Interconnection and Additional Investments in Utility Infrastructure	23
2.6.3 Basic Protection Mechanism within the Microgrid Boundary	24
2.6.4 Thermal Infrastructure	24
2.7 Microgrid and Building Control Characterization (Sub Task 2.5)	24
2.7.1 Microgrid Supporting Computer Hardware, Software, and Control Components	26
2.7.2 Grid Parallel Mode Control.....	27
2.7.3 Energy Management in Grid Parallel Mode.....	28
2.7.4 Islanded Mode Control.....	28
2.7.5 Energy Management in Islanded Mode.....	29
2.7.6 Black Start.....	30
2.7.7 Resynchronization to NYSEG Power	31
2.8 Information Technology and Telecommunications Infrastructure (Sub Task 2.6).....	32
2.8.1 Existing IT & Telecommunications Infrastructure.....	32
2.8.2 IT Infrastructure and Microgrid Integration	32
2.8.3 Network Resiliency	32
2.9 Microgrid Capability and Technical Design and Characterization Conclusions.....	34
3. Assessment of Microgrid’s Commercial and Financial Feasibility (Task 3).....	35
3.1 Commercial Viability – Customers (Sub Task 3.1).....	35
3.1.1 Microgrid Customers	35

3.1.2 Benefits and Costs to Other Stakeholders	36
3.1.3 Purchasing Relationship	37
3.1.4 Solicitation and Registration	38
3.1.5 Energy Commodities	38
3.2 Commercial Viability – Value Proposition (Sub Task 3.2)	39
3.2.1 Business Model	40
3.2.2 Replicability and Scalability	41
3.2.3 Benefits, Costs, and Value	42
3.2.4 Demonstration of State Policy	45
3.3 Commercial Viability – Project Team (Sub Task 3.3)	46
3.3.1 Stakeholder Engagement	46
3.3.2 Project Team	46
3.3.3 Financial Strength	49
3.4 Commercial Viability – Creating and Delivering Value (Sub Task 3.4)	50
3.4.1 Microgrid Technologies	50
3.4.2 Operation	51
3.4.3 Barriers to Completion	51
3.4.4 Permitting	52
3.5 Financial Viability (Sub Task 3.5)	52
3.5.1 Revenue, Cost, and Profitability	52
3.5.2 Financing Structure	55
3.6 Legal Viability (Sub Task 3.6)	55
3.6.1 Regulatory Considerations	56
3.7 Project Commercial and Financial Viability Conclusions	57
4. Cost Benefit Analysis	59
4.1 Facility and Customer Description (Sub Task 4.1)	59
4.2 Characterization of Distributed Energy Resource (Sub Task 4.2)	61
4.3 Capacity Impacts and Ancillary Services (Sub Task 4.3)	63
4.3.1 Peak Load Support	63
4.3.2 Demand Response	63
4.3.3 Deferral of Transmission/Distribution Requirements	64
4.3.4 Ancillary Service	64
4.3.5 Development of a Combined Heat and Power System	64
4.3.6 Environmental Regulation for Emission	65
4.4 Project Costs (Sub Task 4.4)	66
4.4.1 Project Capital Cost	66
4.4.2 Initial Planning and Design Cost	69

4.4.3 Operations and Maintenance Cost.....	69
4.4.4 Distributed Energy Resource Replenishing Fuel Time	71
4.5 Costs to Maintain Service during a Power Outage (Sub Task 4.5)	72
4.5.1 Backup Generation Cost during a Power Outage.....	72
4.5.2 Cost to Maintain Service during a Power Outage	74
4.6 Services Supported by the Microgrid (Sub Task 4.6).....	74
4.7 Industrial Economics Benefit-Cost Analysis Report	75
4.7.1 Project Overview.....	75
4.7.2 Methodology and Assumptions.....	75
4.7.3 Results.....	77
5. Summary and Conclusions	84
5.1 Lessons Learned and Areas for Improvement	84
5.1.1 Monticello Lessons Learned	84
5.1.2 Statewide Replicability and Lessons Learned	85
5.1.3 Stakeholder Lessons Learned.....	87
5.2 Benefits Analysis	89
5.2.1 Environmental Benefits.....	89
5.2.2 Benefits to the Village of Monticello.....	89
5.2.3 Benefits to Residents in and around Monticello.....	89
5.2.4 Benefits to New York State.....	89
5.3 Conclusion and Recommendations.....	90
Appendix A	92

Figures

Figure ES-1. Schematic of Microgrid with Facilities and DERs.....	xii
Figure 1. Monticello Equipment Layout.....	18
Figure 2. Typical 24-Hour Cumulative Load Profile from 2014 Metering Data.....	19
Figure 3. Monticello One-Line Diagram	23
Figure 4. Diagram of Representative Microgrid Control System Hierarchy.....	25
Figure 5. Purchasing Relationship	38
Figure 6. Present Value Results, Scenario 1 (No Major Power Outages; 7 Percent Discount Rate).....	77
Figure 7. Present Value Results, Scenario 2 (Major Power Outages Averaging 28.7 Days/Year; 7 Percent Discount Rate)	82

Tables

Table ES-1. Prospective Microgrid Facilities.....	xi
Table ES-2. Proposed and Existing Generation Assets	xi
Table 1. Microgrid Capabilities Matrix	3
Table 2. New York State Interconnection Standards.....	6
Table 3. Proposed Generation Assets	14
Table 4. Village of Monticello List of Prospective Microgrid Facilities.....	17
Table 5. Monticello’s 2014 Microgrid Load Points.....	19
Table 6. Monticello Distributed Switches Description.....	22
Table 7. Monticello’s Network Switch Description	22
Table 8. Monticello’s Server Description.....	22
Table 9. List of Components.....	23
Table 10. Microgrid Customers	36
Table 11. Monticello Microgrid SWOT Analysis	40
Table 12. Benefits, Costs, and Value Proposition to SPV	43
Table 13. Benefits, Costs, and Value Proposition to NYSEG.....	43
Table 14. Benefits, Costs, and Value Proposition to the Village of Monticello.....	44
Table 15. Benefits, Costs, and Value Proposition to Connected Facilities.....	44
Table 16. Benefits, Costs, and Value Proposition to the Larger Community.....	45
Table 17. Benefits, Costs, and Value Proposition to New York State.....	45
Table 18. Project Team	47
Table 19. Project Team Roles and Responsibilities.....	48
Table 20. Savings and Revenues	52
Table 21. Possible Values from Batteries	53
Table 22. Capital and Operating Costs	54
Table 23. Available Incentive Programs.....	55
Table 24. Facility and Customer Detail Benefit	60
Table 25. Distributed Energy Resources	62
Table 26. Distributed Energy Resource Peak Load Support	63
Table 27. Emission Rates.....	65
Table 28. Distributed Equipment Capital Cost.....	67
Table 29. Capital Cost of Proposed Generation Units.....	68

Table 30. Initial Planning and Design Cost	69
Table 31. Fixed Operating and Maintenance Cost.....	70
Table 32. Maximum Fuel Operating Time for Distributed Energy Resource	71
Table 33. Cost of Generation during a Power Outage	73
Table 34. Critical Services Supported	74
Table 35. BCA Results (Assuming 7 Percent Discount Rate).....	77
Table 36. Detailed BCA Results, Scenario 1 (No Major Power Outages; 7 Percent Discount Rate)	78
Table 37. Detailed BCA Results, Scenario 2 (Major Power Outages Averaging 28.7 Days/Year; 7 Percent Discount Rate).....	83

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AC	Alternating Current
AMI	Advanced Metering Infrastructure
ATS	Automatic Transfer Switch
BCA	Benefit Cost Analysis
BEMS	Building Energy Management Systems
BTU	British thermal unit
CAIDI	Customer Average Interruption Duration Index
CCA	Community Choice Aggregation
CHP	Combined Heat and Power
DC	Direct Current
DER	Distributed Energy Resources
DNP3	Distributed Network Protocol
DR	Demand Response
DSP	Distributed System Platform
EE	Energy Efficiency
EMS	Energy Management System
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
Hz	Hertz
ICCP	Inter-Control Center Communications Protocol
IEc	Industrial Economics
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
IED	Intelligent Electronic Device
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IOU	Investor-Owned Utility
ISM	Industrial Scientific and Medical
IT	Information Technology
ITC	Investment Tax Credit
kV	Kilovolt
kW	Kilowatt
kWh	Kilowatt hour
LAN	Local Area Network
LBMP	Location-Based Marginal Price
LED	Light-Emitting Diode
Mcf	One Thousand Cubic Feet of Natural Gas
MCS	Microgrid Control System
MHz	Megahertz
MMBTU	One Million British Thermal Units
MMTCO _{2e}	Million Metric Tons CO ₂ Equivalent
MTCO _{2e}	Metric Tons CO ₂ Equivalent
MW	Megawatt
MWh	Megawatt-hour
NYISO	New York Independent System Operator
NYPSC	New York Public Service Commission

NYS DEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
NYSEG	New York State Electric and Gas Corporation
NYSERDA	New York State Energy Research and Development Authority
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
OPC	Open Platform Communication or OLE (Object Link Embedded) Process Control
OPF	Optimal Power Flow
PCC	Point of Common Coupling
PLC	Programmable Logic Controller
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
PV	Photovoltaic
RAID	Redundant Array of Independent Disks
REV	Reforming the Energy Vision
SAIDI	System Average Interruption Duration Index
SAIFI	System Average Interruption Frequency Index
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SCOPF	Security Constrained Optimal Power Flow
SOA	Service Oriented Architecture
SOW	Statement of Work
SPV	Special Purpose Vehicle
TCP/IP	Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol
T&D	Transmission and Distribution
VAC	Volt Alternating Current

Executive Summary

Booz Allen Hamilton was awarded a contract by the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA) through its New York Prize initiative to conduct a feasibility study of a community microgrid concept in the Village of Monticello. This deliverable presents the findings and recommendations from the previous four tasks, discusses the results and lessons learned from the project, and lays out the environmental and economic benefits for the project. The design demonstrates that the Village can improve energy resilience with intentional and emergency island mode capabilities and comply with the greater New York REV (Reforming the Energy Vision) program by constructing 1 MW of clean energy generation capability. The study concludes that the technical design is feasible, however it is financially infeasible as a standalone project.

The Monticello microgrid project will tie together two critical facilities (per NYSERDA’s definition), and one commercial facility. Table ES-1 lists all the facilities under consideration for the microgrid concept at this time, Table ES-2 lists the proposed and existing generation assets, and Figure ES-1 shows their locations in Monticello.

Table ES-1. Prospective Microgrid Facilities

Table lists the facilities and load clusters included in the Village of Monticello’s proposed microgrid, including their classifications and whether they are critical or important. Table also provides their labels for Figure ES-1.

Name on Map	Property	Classification
F1	Sullivan County Government Center	Public*
F2	Monticello Village Office and Senior Center	Public*
F3	Quality Gas and Convenience Store	Commercial*
		* Critical Facility ** Important Facility

There is no natural gas infrastructure in Monticello, which forces the microgrid to rely on solar energy, battery storage, and limited use of a diesel backup generator for its energy supply in island mode.

Table ES-2. Proposed and Existing Generation Assets

The proposed generation assets in Monticello and the fuel source (where applicable), capacity, and address of each system. All generation assets will be located at the Sullivan County Government Center.

Name on Map	Description	Fuel	Capacity (kW)	Address
DER1	New carport solar PV array	Sunlight	500	100 North St
DER2	New carport solar PV array	Sunlight	500	100 North St
DER3	New backup generator	Diesel	200	100 North St
DER4	New zinc air battery unit	N/A	1 MW/4 MWh	100 North St
DER5	New zinc air battery unit	N/A	1 MW/4 MWh	100 North St
DER6	New zinc air battery unit	N/A	1 MW/4 MWh	100 North St

Figure ES-1. Schematic of Microgrid with Facilities and DERs

Figure shows the proposed microgrid and the locations of the facilities and DERs in the Monticello microgrid. Existing DERs are marked as blue stars and new/proposed DERs are marked as yellow stars. Facilities are marked as red points.



The proposed DERs will have adequate capacity to supply all of the microgrid facilities in Table ES-1 with electricity in island mode. When the solar arrays are operating close to their maximum production points, the microgrid’s generation capacity will approach 1 MW, with an additional 200 kW of spinning support from the diesel backup generator. Aggregate demand from microgrid facilities averaged 230 kW and never exceeded 704 kW in 2014.¹ The backup power supplied by the microgrid will ensure essential services remain accessible during long-term grid outages, providing relief for residents in and around the Village of Monticello. With the addition

¹ This estimate was calculated by summing each facility’s peak demand from 2014. The estimate therefore assumes that all facilities reached peak demand at the same time, which is unlikely. The true peak demand was almost certainly less than 504 kW, but the Project Team was unable to obtain synchronized real-time load data for all included facilities.

of these generation assets, the Village will experience reduced emissions during peak demand events and will benefit from a more resilient and redundant energy supply to critical services.

The proposal envisions hybrid ownership, wherein a special purpose vehicle (SPV) owns the distributed energy resource (DER) assets and New York State Electric and Gas Corporation (NYSEG) owns the controls and distribution infrastructure. The Project Team believes this hybrid model offers the greatest benefits and flexibility to the utility and customer base within the Village.

Given the capital expenditures, it is anticipated the DERs will be owned by private investors in the SPV. Without clear indication from NYSEG, it is assumed they will choose to own the microgrid infrastructure components on their existing distribution system. NYSEG or a third party operator will operate and maintain the microgrid system and will have significant influence over DER operation through the microgrid control system (MCS), but the SPV will develop the operating strategy for the battery storage units, which are capable of performing energy arbitrage and providing ancillary services to the larger grid. The SPV shareholders will receive revenue from electricity sales to the utility and participation in ancillary service markets. Revenues streams from electricity sales will accrue to SPV investors and but will not cover variable generation costs. Only with NY Prize Phase III funding and additional operating subsidies is the community microgrid in Monticello feasible.

The microgrid will incur initial capital costs of \$6.1 million as well as yearly operation and maintenance (O&M) costs totaling \$140,000 per year. Overall revenue streams from the project are estimated at \$115,000 per year this includes approximately \$40,000 in revenue from ancillary services provided by the battery storage units and \$75,000 in electricity sales from the solar arrays. The proposed microgrid's commercial feasibility depends on NY Prize Phase III funding and additional operating subsidies. On an annual basis, costs will exceed revenues, and when capital expenditures are included, the project does not cover its total costs even with NY Prize Phase III grant money.

The Monticello microgrid concept, with new reliable and renewable generation and the integration of existing energy resources, provides the Village with an energy resilience solution that is technically sound and, with the NY Prize and operating subsidies, financially viable. The ability to island two critical facilities (per NYSERDA's definition), and one commercial facility is a significant addition to the resilience of the Village in times of emergency and extended grid outages.

1. Introduction

The Village of Monticello (Monticello) is seeking to develop a community microgrid to improve energy service resilience, accommodate distributed energy resources and reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Working with Monticello and NYSEG, a team from Booz Allen Hamilton (hereafter Booz Allen or the Project Team) designed a preliminary microgrid concept that will connect two critical facilities and one commercial facility with three new generation assets and three battery units.

The design proposes two new 500 kW carport solar PV arrays, 200 kW diesel backup generator, and three 1 MW/4 MWh zinc air battery units at the Sullivan County Government Center. Section 2 of this document describes the configuration further. In this document, the Project Team discusses the observations, findings, and recommendations from the entirety of the analysis. Within the document, Booz Allen also explores avenues for further development, discusses project results, and shares lessons learned regarding configuration, capabilities, environmental and economic benefits, and implementation scenarios.

2. Microgrid Capabilities and Technical Design and Configuration

This section provides a combined overview of the criteria assessed in Task 1 - Microgrid Capabilities and Task 2 – Technical Design and Configuration. The tasks were combined and address all of the criteria in the following order: microgrid capabilities, DER characterization, load characterization, proposed microgrid infrastructure and operations, electric and thermal infrastructure characterization, microgrid building and controls, and IT and telecommunications infrastructure.

2.1 Project Purpose and Need

Monticello faces several challenges that could be resolved with a community microgrid:

- The Sullivan County Government Center and Monticello Village Office do not have access to emergency back-up generation. A microgrid could ensure these critical facilities have a stable, reliable power supply for a multi-day power outage by tying solar PV arrays to battery storage units.
- Electricity service in the region has occasionally been interrupted by extreme weather events such as Hurricane Sandy and multiple winter storms. A microgrid could provide electricity to critical facilities during extreme weather events, and may expand in the future to include more homes, businesses, and government buildings.
- Monticello experiences non-storm related interruptions to grid power and is within a wider NYSEG-identified system constrained load pocket, however may not be on an impacted feeder. The area occasionally experiences unpredictable smaller outages that

can last minutes or hours. A microgrid could provide needed stability to local municipal and commercial facilities.

- In order to improve its energy profile and reduce its carbon footprint, the community prefers low-emission options for distributed energy resources. An integrated microgrid that includes battery storage units adds value to advanced distributed energy resource technologies, increasing the viability of intermittent renewable energy sources such as solar arrays.

The absence of natural gas infrastructure in Monticello prevented the use of reliable, low emission DERs such as gas-fired combined heat and power (CHP) units and fuel cells. The design instead relies on battery storage units and solar PV arrays. Although expensive, these DERs will make the energy supply in Monticello more resilient and will lessen the strain on the local electricity distribution network by reducing the need for power imports during peak demand events.

2.2 Microgrid Required and Preferred Capabilities (Sub Tasks 1.1 and 1.2)

The NYSERDA statement of work (SOW) 65599 outlines 15 required capabilities and 18 preferred capabilities each NY Prize microgrid feasibility study must address. Table 1 summarizes required and preferred capabilities met by the proposed microgrid design in greater detail.

Table 1. Microgrid Capabilities Matrix

Table lists NYSERDA’s required and preferred capabilities and annotations of whether or not the Monticello microgrid will meet these criteria.

Capability	Required/ Preferred	Microgrid will meet (Y/N)
Serves more than one, physically separated critical facilities	Required	Y
Primary generation source not totally diesel fueled	Required	Y
Provides on-site power in both grid-connected and islanded mode	Required	Y
Intentional islanding	Required	Y ²
Seamless and automatic grid separation/restoration	Required	Y
Meets state and utility interconnection standards	Required	Y
Capable of 24/7 operation	Required	Y
Operator capable of two-way communication and control with local utility	Required	Y
Load following while maintaining the voltage and frequency when running in parallel to grid	Required	Y
Load following and maintaining system voltage when islanded	Required	Y
Diverse customer mix (commercial, public)	Required	Y
Resiliency to wind, rain, and snow storms	Required	Y
Provide black-start capability	Required	Y
Energy efficiency (EE) upgrades	Required	Y
Cyber secure and resilient to cyber intrusion/disruption	Required	Y
Microgrid logic controllers	Preferred*	Y
Smart grid technologies	Preferred*	Y
Smart meters	Preferred	N
Distribution automation	Preferred*	Y
Energy storage	Preferred	Y
Active network control system	Preferred*	Y
Demand response (DR)	Preferred	Y ³
Clean power sources integrated	Preferred	Y
Optimal power flow (OPF) (economic dispatch of generators)	Preferred	Y
Storage optimization	Preferred	Y
PV observability, controllability, and forecasting	Preferred	Y
Coordination of protection settings	Preferred	Y
Selling energy and ancillary services	Preferred	Y
Data logging features	Preferred	Y
Leverage private capital	Preferred	Y
Accounting for needs and constraints of all stakeholders	Preferred	Y
Demonstrate tangible community benefit	Preferred	Y
Identify synergies with Reforming the Energy Vision	Preferred	Y

* Capability is characterized as preferred by NYSERDA but is a required component in this design

The sections that follow address how the microgrid will meet these capabilities in more detail.

² While the system will be technically capable of intentional islanding, doing so would cut power flow to other customers on the included feeders and thus will not be feasible for economic purposes.

³ The microgrid could participate in Demand Response programs by discharging the battery storage units, but will not intentionally enter island mode unless there is a forecasted disturbance or outage.

2.2.1 Serving Multiple, Physically Separated Critical Facilities

Monticello and the Booz Allen team have identified three facilities that will be connected to the microgrid. All three connected facilities will provide critical services to the community in the case of an outage. See Table ES-1 for a full list of prospective facilities to be tied into the microgrid.

The proposed microgrid footprint occupies approximately 16 acres in Monticello. Loads will be interconnected via the existing medium voltage (12.5 kV) NYSEG power lines along North Street and Jefferson Street. Distributed microgrid equipment and control software will communicate over NYSEG's WAN utilizing the existing IT fiber optic backbone. Utilizing industry standard protocols, such as Distributed Network Protocol (DNP3), Open Platform Communication (OPC), Modbus, 61850, and Inter-Control Center Communications Protocol (ICCP) (IEC 60870-6) will enable the remote monitoring and control of distributed devices, regardless of manufacturer. The microgrid design is flexible and scalable to accommodate future expansion and technologies.

2.2.2 Limited Use of Diesel Fueled Generators

Solar energy is the primary energy source for the Monticello microgrid. Combined with 12 MWh of battery storage, solar energy will provide relatively reliable energy throughout the year. However, in a long-term outage scenario the lack of natural gas infrastructure in Monticello will limit the supply of energy to available battery charge, available sunlight, and stockpiled diesel fuel. The diesel generator will only come on-line when the batteries have exhausted their charge and the solar arrays are not producing electricity. When the diesel generator comes on-line, it will operate continuously, charging the batteries when its production exceeds microgrid demand. When aggregate demand exceeds the generator's capacity, the batteries will discharge enough current to meet demand. It is important to note the MCS will deploy solar energy whenever it is available, which should minimize use of the diesel generator.

The Project Team expects the diesel generator will likely need to operate for 0-60 hours in order for the microgrid to provide seven days of continuous energy. The generator will therefore be equipped with a 1,440 gallon storage tank, which can support around 85 hours of continuous operation.

Diesel was chosen as the backup generation given the greater accessibility and ease of storage of diesel fuel versus propane or other backup power sources. Moreover, diesel generators have the ability to ramp from zero to full capacity almost instantaneously, whereas small gas fired engines do not exhibit comparable ramping speeds. The diesel generators will be Tier 4 certified, with emissions up to 90% less than legacy diesel engines.⁴

2.2.3 Local Power in both Grid-Connected and Islanded Mode

The microgrid will provide on-site power in both grid-connected and islanded mode. In island mode, the MCS will optimize charge and discharge of battery storage units to maintain stable

⁴ EPA Tier 4 diesel engine standards: <http://www3.epa.gov/otaq/nonroad-diesel.htm>.

and reliable power flow. The control system is capable of shedding loads in real time, but the design does not currently include the necessary switches to do so. Because the supply of energy in island mode is limited by available battery charge and sun light, the Project Team recommends facilities install intelligent building energy management systems (BEMS) to help the MCS conserve power in island mode. In grid-connected mode, the microgrid will optimize the use of available assets to reduce energy costs when possible and export to the larger NYSEG grid when economic and technical conditions align.

The solar arrays will stay on-line throughout the year, selling electricity to NYSEG at the utility's average local supply price. The battery storage units may engage in some degree of energy arbitrage, frequency regulation, or peak shifting on a daily basis, but must maintain a high level of charge in order to remain prepared for possible emergency outages. The backup diesel generator will come on-line in island mode as necessary to meet microgrid load.

2.2.4 Intentional Islanding

The microgrid will intentionally switch to island mode when doing so will result in a more stable and reliable environment. Transitions to island mode will comply with New York State standardized interconnection requirements as well as local utility and building codes, which will ensure equipment and personnel safety throughout each phase of the switch.

Upon a command from the system operator, the MCS will start and parallel the generation assets. Once the available power sources are synchronized with the grid (and each other), the system is ready to disconnect from the larger grid, and will open the incoming utility line breaker. After completing the transition to island mode, the MCS must maintain system voltage and frequency between acceptable limits and adjust output from the battery storage units to match aggregate load.

Because the Monticello microgrid is surrounded by downstream loads on the Maplewood 229 feeder, it will only switch to island mode when downstream NYSEG customers would otherwise lose power. Intentional islanding will therefore be limited to forecasted outages and disturbances.

2.2.5 Resynchronization to NYSEG Power

When operating in island mode, the microgrid will constantly monitor the status of the larger grid and will re-connect when conditions have stabilized. Signals from the MCS will prompt re-connection when monitored operational variables on the larger grid satisfy predetermined conditions. The MCS will be capable of both automatic and manual re-connection using synchronization and protection equipment.

The microgrid design requires a new automated switch along Jefferson Street to serve as the point of common coupling (PCC) between the microgrid and NYSEG's system. The control system will trigger the opening or closing of this breaker, as appropriate, during system transitions.

2.2.6 Standardized Interconnection

The microgrid design complies with NYPSC interconnection standards. Table 2 outlines the most significant state interconnection standards that apply to this microgrid project. Customers that wish to connect DERs to NYSEG’s system must follow the same New York State Standard Interconnection Requirements identified in Table 2.

Table 2. New York State Interconnection Standards

Table outlines New York State interconnection standards by category (common, synchronous generators, induction generators, inverters, and metering) and a description of the standard.

Standard Category	Description
Common	Generator-owner shall provide appropriate protection and control equipment, including a protective device that utilizes an automatic disconnect device to disconnect the generation in the event that the portion of the utility system that serves the generator is de-energized for any reason or for a fault in the generator-owner’s system
	The generator-owner’s protection and control scheme shall be designed to ensure that the generation remains in operation when the frequency and voltage of the utility system is within the limits specified by the required operating ranges
	The specific design of the protection, control, and grounding schemes will depend on the size and characteristics of the generator-owner’s generation, as well as the generator-owner’s load level, in addition to the characteristics of the particular portion of the utility’s system where the generator-owner is interconnecting
	The generator-owner shall have, as a minimum, an automatic disconnect device(s) sized to meet all applicable local, state, and federal codes and operated by over and under voltage and over and under frequency protection
	The required operating range for the generators shall be from 88% to 110% of nominal voltage magnitude
	The required operating range for the generators shall be from 59.3 hertz (Hz) to 60.5 Hz
Synchronous Generators	Requires synchronizing facilities, including automatic synchronizing equipment or manual synchronizing with relay supervision, voltage regulator, and power factor control
	Sufficient reactive power capability shall be provided by the generator-owner to withstand normal voltage changes on the utility’s system
	Voltage regulator must be provided and be capable of maintaining the generator voltage under steady state conditions within plus or minus 1.5% of any set point and within an operating range of plus or minus 5% of the rated voltage of the generator Adopt one of the following grounding methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solid grounding • High- or low-resistance grounding • High- or low-reactance grounding • Ground fault neutralizer grounding
Induction Generators	May be connected and brought up to synchronous speed if it can be demonstrated that the initial voltage drop measured at the PCC is acceptable based on current inrush limits
Source: NYS Standardized Interconnection Requirements and Application Process, NYS PSC	

2.2.7 24/7 Operation Capability

The project concept envisions solar and storage energy as the microgrid's main generation sources. Twelve MWh of battery storage will considerably enhance the reliability of the microgrid's energy supply, and a 200 kW diesel generator will come on-line as necessary in island mode. The proposed DERs should be capable of supporting seven days of continuous load. The diesel generator will be equipped with a 1,440 gallon tank, which will support around 85 hours of continuous operation. However, assuming normal production from the solar arrays, the microgrid will need minimal operation from the diesel generator in order to meet the seven day requirement.

2.2.8 Two Way Communication with Local Utility

There is currently no automation system in place which would allow communication between the microgrid operator and the existing electrical distribution network in Monticello. The new automation solution proposed in this report will serve as a protocol converter to send and receive all data available to the operator over NYSEG's WAN using industry standard protocols such as DNP3, OPC, Modbus, 61850, and IEC 60870-6.

2.2.9 Voltage and Frequency Synchronism When Connected to the Grid

Microgrid controllers will automatically synchronize the frequency and voltage of all DER-generated power, which will include rotating as well as inverter based energy sources. Synchronization is key to maintaining a stable power network. The larger grid also requires constant synchronization of energy sources, but its comparatively higher electrical and mechanical inertia filters out most fast dynamics. In contrast, the microgrid will be quite sensitive to fluctuations in load or generator output. It is therefore crucial to constantly monitor and regulate DER output, especially from the battery storage units, against aggregate load in real time.

2.2.10 Load Following and Frequency and Voltage Stability When Islanded

The microgrid's control scheme in island mode operates quite similarly to that of the larger transmission system. The system will maintain frequency by controlling discharge from the battery storage units and will regulate voltage by controlling reactive power availability. To the degree that flexible loads are available, the MCS can curtail facility load.

If generation and discharge matches the load plus the system losses (real and reactive), system frequency and voltage should stay within acceptable limits. Other factors, such as network topology and the distribution of generation and loads, can also affect frequency and voltage stability. The Project Team will consider these factors and develop a microgrid design that accounts for them in the next phase of the NY Prize competition. The comparatively small size of the microgrid introduces new, fast, and dynamics-related problems that will be carefully studied during the engineering design phase.

2.2.11 Diverse Customer Mix

Connected facilities have different effects on power quality and stability based on load size and economic sector. A microgrid with too many industrial and/or digital electronics-based loads

may be less reliable because these loads can negatively affect power quality and stability. The Monticello microgrid will connect two municipal facilities and a gas station. No individual facility will have a significant negative impact on local power quality. The approximate load breakdown by sector for the Monticello microgrid is as follows:⁵

- Sullivan County Government Center – 89% of load
- Monticello Village Office and Senior Center – 9% of load
- Quality Gas and Convenience Store – 2% of load

The Sullivan County Government Center uses almost 90% of the microgrid's electricity. Targeted energy efficiency upgrades at this facility could significantly reduce its average electricity demand, which could extend the microgrid's islanding capability. See Section 2.2.14 for more details on applicable NYSEG and NYSERDA energy efficiency programs.

2.2.12 Resiliency to Weather Conditions

The Village of Monticello is exposed to the normal range of weather conditions that affects the Northeastern United States. Extreme weather events include, but are not limited to, torrential rain, snow, and wind that could cause falling objects and debris to disrupt electric service and damage equipment and lives. By implementing line fault notifications and deploying other sensors, microgrid owners can ensure the network is as resilient as possible to storms and other unforeseen forces of nature. At minimum, the new diesel generator will be enclosed inside a container on the Government Center's property. The solar PV will be resilient to weather to the extent panel design allows; there is no possibility to containerize or otherwise enclose the PV to protect it from the elements.

However, severe weather events will significantly reduce the microgrid's energy generation potential. The Project Team estimates that the solar arrays will produce 15 MWh during the worst week of the year, but several continuous days of rain or cloudy weather could strain the microgrid's limited energy resources. The inclusion of energy storage systems within the microgrid footprint will contribute to resiliency by providing the capacity to operate the facilities within the microgrid's footprint for up to 7 days.

The microgrid's information technology (IT) system is primarily based on wireless communication. Each wireless unit will be housed inside a weather-proof enclosure to ensure resiliency during storms. Each distributed intelligent electronic device (IED) and DER will require a short length of physical wire to connect to the nearest network switch. Network switches will intentionally be placed near the IED or DER they serve, which makes disruption of a wired connection extremely unlikely. In the event that an IED loses contact with the MCS, it is programmed to act on predetermined set points.

The distribution lines in Monticello will not be buried to provide extra resiliency to storms, wind, and falling trees. The Project Team evaluated the possibility of trenching and burying

⁵ Estimated based on each facility's typical monthly electricity consumption from 2014.

distribution lines and found the cost to be prohibitively high and therefore the proposed express line will be pole mounted. Hardening possibilities for overhead lines include using steel or other reinforced material for utility poles, though this would come at a significant cost. The switchgear will already be encased and an obstruction free right-of-way for the lines is the only way to protect the wires themselves from damage. The express line will be located in an area largely free of obstructions over parking lots and a street, providing operational resilience.

2.2.13 Black Start Capability

The proposed battery storage units and diesel generator will be equipped with black start capabilities. If the Monticello grid unexpectedly loses power, the microgrid control system will initiate island mode by orchestrating the predefined black start sequence. The diesel generator will require an auxiliary source of direct current (DC) power to start multiple times in case of failure.⁶ The storage units will ramp up to 60 Hz and prepare to supply each of the microgrid loads in sequence. The MCS will bring the diesel generator on-line and synchronize its output as necessary. After the storage units or diesel generator have established a stable power supply, the MCS will synchronize output from the solar arrays and bring them on-line.

2.2.14 Energy Efficiency Upgrades

EE is critical to the overall microgrid concept. There is significant potential for EE upgrades in Monticello especially within the government facilities. The Project Team was unable to confirm all recent EE upgrades in each of the microgrid facilities.

The Project Team estimates the reduction potential for the three included facilities to be approximately 20 kW. The project will leverage existing NYSEG EE programs to reduce load at existing facilities and will seek to qualify for NYSERDA funded EE programs.

2.2.15 Cyber Security

The Microgrid Management and Control System network data will be fully encrypted when stored or transmitted. Network segmentation by function, network firewalls, and continuous monitoring of data activity will protect the microgrid from cyber intrusion and disruption. Access to the microgrid management and control center will be limited to authorized personnel. Activating and analyzing security logs may provide an additional level of security. The operating system and firewall will be configured to record certain suspicious events such as failed login attempts.

Because the logic controllers (IEDs) will be located at or near loads, the distributed equipment will take the IT system to the “edge” of the network, where it may be more vulnerable to hackers. A practical tool to prevent unauthorized access into the IT network is a program called sticky media access control (MAC). Every network attached device has a media access control MAC interface that is unique to it and will never change. The sticky MAC program will monitor the unique address of the device and its designated network port, and if the device is ever

⁶ This auxiliary DC power battery will likely be a packaged component that DER owners will purchase along with the generator.

disconnected, the program will disable that port and prevent an unauthorized device from entering the IT system.

2.2.16 Use of Microgrid Logic Controllers

Microprocessor based IEDs serving as microgrid logic controllers are described below in Section 2.7.1. The role of the IED is to provide monitoring and control capabilities of the object being controlled.

2.2.17 Smart Grid Technologies

The microgrid will offer a distributed network architecture allowing smart grid technologies to connect to the grid via multiple protocols including DNP3, OPC, Modbus, 61850, IEC 60870-6) and more as required.

2.2.18 Smart Meters

Monticello does not have smart meters installed throughout its coverage area. Smart meters are not required for the Monticello microgrid because the control sequence is performed at the feeder level.

2.2.19 Distribution Automation

The automation solution outlined in this study for Monticello’s microgrid includes IEDs that are distributed at or near individual loads. Their role is to control the load and communicate monitored variables to the control system servers for processing, viewing, and data logging. IEDs can operate based on automated signals from the MCS or pre-programmed independent logic (in case of a loss of communication with the MCS).

2.2.20 Energy Storage

The Monticello microgrid includes a battery system comprising of three zinc air battery storage units, each rated at 1 MW/4 MWh. The Project Team selected zinc air cell technology because it provides high reliability at a much lower cost than lithium ion or lead acid batteries. Because it is a new technology, zinc air batteries have not accumulated much operational data. However, one manufacturer, Eos Energy Storage, predicts the battery will last 5,000 cycles at greater than 75% efficiency per discharge, and plans to sell the batteries at \$160/kWh.⁷ The MCS will optimize these storage resources for peak shifting, energy arbitrage, and possibly sale of ancillary services to the New York Independent System Operator (NYISO). By “stacking” different uses of energy storage (i.e., microgrid resiliency, frequency regulation, and PV integration), microgrid owners may be able to increase the returns from these units.⁸

2.2.21 Active Network Control System

The MCS will continuously monitor and control the microgrid in both grid-connected and islanded modes. Both monitoring and control will be decomposed into central (slow) and distributed (fast) components. A fast and reliable communication network is needed for such a

⁷ Eos Aurora: <http://www.eosenergystorage.com/products/>. The Project Team assumed installation will cost an additional 30% of projected capital cost, for a total of \$208/kWh.

⁸ Lazard’s Levelized Cost of Storage Analysis, Version 1.0.

hierarchical approach to be successful. All controllable components will communicate bi-directionally with the MCS via MODBUS, OPC, DNP3, Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol (TCP/IP), or other protocols as required. The communication infrastructure will be based on the site's existing fiber optics backbone partitioned using gigabit Ethernet switches.

2.2.22 Demand Response

The Monticello microgrid cannot intentionally switch to island mode to participate in DR programs. The microgrid's participation in DR programs will therefore be limited to curtailing flexible loads and discharging available energy from the battery storage units. These units will likely have at least 1 MWh of energy available for DR programs,⁹ so microgrid owners may be able to bid capacity into the required response programs (CASHBACK plus). However, NYSEG DR programs are not as lucrative as the NYISO frequency regulation market. Microgrid owners will likely use available capacity from the batteries for frequency regulation on the larger grid.

2.2.23 Clean Power Sources Integration

The proposed primary energy source—solar energy and storage—will provide the microgrid with zero emission electricity throughout the year. Integrated battery storage systems will enhance the reliability of the energy supply and will allow the microgrid to operate in islanded mode for multiple days. In the future it may be possible to expand the footprint or generation assets to include additional clean power sources. At that time, the Project Team will consider biomass, expanded solar, and expanded battery storage. More detailed methods to capture and convert energy by electric generators or inverters will be explored at a later time.

2.2.24 Optimal Power Flow

The proposed community microgrid has an average load of approximately 230 kW and a peak load of 704 kW. Because of the intermittent nature of solar energy production, NYSEG may negotiate a variable fee for microgrid-produced power. If so, the battery storage units will allow the microgrid to sell power to NYSEG at premium prices and store power when prices are low. However, the Project Team has assumed solar energy will be valued at NYSEG's average local supply price. If possible, the MCS will fully utilize the optimum output of generation sources at the lowest cost in a unique approach that includes maintenance, energy cost, and market prices as part of security constrained optimal power flow (SCOPF).

2.2.25 Storage Optimization

The storage systems will require intelligent controls to work in unison with the microgrid controls. The MCS will fully utilize and optimize the storage resources by managing the charge and discharge of these systems. Possible uses for storage include reducing peak demand, participating in NYISO frequency regulation markets, shifting solar PV output to match aggregate load, and increasing system reliability by providing an energy bank.

⁹ 1 MWh is the approximate minimum energy total that will be required to participate in NYSEG's programs.

2.2.26 PV Monitoring, Control, and Forecasting

The microgrid's PV inverters will usually operate at their maximum power point (MPP) because there is no associated operations and maintenance cost. In some rare situations, the solar arrays might have to reduce their output to help regulate frequency of local power flow or follow facility electricity demand in island mode. In such situations, the control is almost exclusively local with the output set point communicated by the central controller. As with other renewable energy sources, power output depends on weather and time of day.

The microgrid power management system includes high resolution solar forecasting. Solar forecasting can increase the value of integrated PV and storage systems by intelligently deploying storage to smooth the natural spikes in the daily PV output curve.

2.2.27 Protection Coordination

Microgrid protection strategies can be quite complex depending on the network topology and distribution of load and generation. The existing protection scheme assumes unidirectional power flow of a certain magnitude. The microgrid introduces the possibility of bidirectional power flow in both grid-connected and islanded mode, which may complicate the necessary protection strategy.

2.2.28 Selling Energy and Ancillary Services

It is unclear whether the microgrid will be permitted to back-feed power through Monticello's main substation into the broader NYSEG transmission system. The business model assumes this is possible and proposes the microgrid sell energy from the solar arrays to NYSEG. The battery storage units may allow the microgrid to selectively sell energy at high prices. However, the Project Team has assume electricity from the solar arrays will be valued at NYSEG's average local supply price.

Most lucrative NYISO ancillary service markets, such as the frequency regulation market, require participants to bid at least 1 MW of capacity. The microgrid's generation assets have an aggregate discharge capacity of 4.2 MW, and average aggregate load in 2014 was 231 kW. Participation in these ancillary service markets is easily possible, but storage units must maintain a certain level of charge to remain prepared for an unpredicted emergency outage. The battery storage units will likely bid 1 MW of capacity into the frequency regulation market. Other ancillary service markets, such as spinning and non-spinning reserves, do not provide competitive payments to relatively small scale generators. However, by selectively selling reserves when prices are high, the battery storage units may make participation in these programs economically feasible.

2.2.29 Data Logging Features

The microgrid control center includes a Historian Database to maintain real-time data logs. The Historian Database displays historical trends in system conditions and process variables, and can also be used to predict future events such as system peaks with its built-in statistical analytics tool.

2.2.30 Leverage Private Capital

The microgrid project will seek to leverage private capital where possible in order to develop components of the microgrid. The Project Team is actively developing relationships with investors and project developers that have expressed interest in NY Prize. As the project concept matures, the Project Team will continue to engage these groups to better understand how private capital can be leveraged for this specific project. The Project Team currently envisions sale of energy to NYSEG when the battery storage units have charge available and when prices are competitive. Investors will receive revenue from electricity sales to NYSEG and possibly from participation in ancillary service or DR programs. However, it is unlikely this revenue will recover the project's high capital costs without extra incentives or grants. More detail is provided in Section 3.3.3.

2.2.31 Accounting for Needs and Constraints of Stakeholders

Developing the best possible value proposition for the community, utility, local industry, and other community stakeholders is one of this feasibility study's main objectives. The Project Team has engaged with all involved parties to understand their specific needs and constraints. Additional detail about costs and benefits by stakeholder group can be found in Section 3.2.3.

2.2.32 Demonstrate Tangible Community Benefit

The project's success and acceptance rely on its ability to provide benefits to the community. Active participation from the village government, utility, and community groups is crucial to designing a microgrid that meets the community's needs. Additional detail about costs and benefits by stakeholder group can be found in Section 3.2.3.

2.3 Distributed Energy Resources Characterization (Sub Task 2.3)

As described above, the Monticello microgrid design includes two 500 kW solar PV arrays, a 200 kW diesel backup generator, and a battery storage system including three 1 MW/4 MWh battery storage units. This section will describe the benefits and costs of the proposed resources and discuss how they will meet the microgrid's objectives in greater detail.

2.3.1 Existing Generation Assets

There are currently no existing generation assets in Monticello that will be included in the microgrid. The Project Team determined none of the included facilities possess backup generators that would merit connection. If any of the facilities purchase small backup generators in the future, they may come on-line behind the meter in island mode to reduce their facility's load. However, they will not be outfitted with the necessary switchgear and controllers to function in the microgrid control scheme.

2.3.2 Proposed Generation Assets

The microgrid design includes four new generation assets: two 500 kW carport-mounted solar PV arrays, a 200 kW diesel backup generator, and a battery storage system consisting of three 1 MW/4 MWh battery storage units (shown in Table 3). All of the new DERs will be located at the Sullivan County Government Center—the solar arrays will be mounted on carports in the

parking lots north of the government center building. Each battery unit needs enough space for four 40 foot shipping containers. The batteries could be placed between the government center and the parking lot (as shown in Figure 1), or in the field just northwest of the building.

The diesel backup generator will be constructed in an existing utility room at the Sullivan County Government Center or placed in an enclosure on the northwest corner of the building. The generator and tank will require approximately 100 square feet of space (23 foot by 4 foot)¹⁰ Diesel was chosen as the backup generation given the greater accessibility and ease of storage of diesel fuel versus propane or other backup power sources. Moreover, diesel generators have the ability to ramp from zero to full capacity almost instantaneously, whereas small gas fired engines do not exhibit comparable ramping speeds. The diesel generators will be Tier 4 certified, with emissions up to 90% less than legacy diesel engines.¹¹

Table 3. Proposed Generation Assets

Table shows the rating, fuel, and address for proposed generation assets.

Name	Technology	Rating (kW)	Fuel	Address
DER1	Carport-mounted solar PV array	500	Sun Light	100 North St
DER2	Carport-mounted solar PV array	500	Sun Light	100 North St
DER3	Backup diesel generator	200	Diesel	100 North St
DER4	Zinc air battery unit	1 MW/4 MWh	N/A	100 North St
DER5	Zinc air battery unit	1 MW/4 MWh	N/A	100 North St
DER6	Zinc air battery unit	1 MW/4 MWh	N/A	100 North St

2.3.3 Generation Asset Adequacy, Resiliency, and Characteristics

The proposed design provides Monticello with multiple additional energy resources. In grid-connected mode, the microgrid’s solar arrays will sell electricity to NYSEG at the utility’s average local supply price. In islanded mode, the solar arrays will charge the battery storage units and export excess energy to connected facilities if the storage units are fully charged. When the solar arrays are not producing electricity, the battery storage units will maintain power flow within the microgrid coverage area.

One of the NYSERDA-defined required microgrid capabilities is to provide continuous power for seven days. The microgrid’s average weekly electricity consumption is approximately 39 MWh. Assuming their charge has been maintained in grid-connected mode, the battery storage units can provide 12 MWh of electricity over the course of a week. The Project Team estimates the solar arrays can produce approximately 28 MWh per week under normal operating conditions—combined with the battery storage units, this level of production would require only minimal operation of the diesel generator in order to maintain power to microgrid facilities for seven days.¹²

¹⁰ Approximate size for 200 kW Generac Industrial Power generator set (SD200).
¹¹ EPA Tier 4 diesel engine standards: <http://www3.epa.gov/otaq/nonroad-diesel.htm>.
¹² Estimates of weekly energy production from the solar arrays derived from PV SYST software.

However, many outages are caused by extreme weather events that would also diminish output from the solar PV arrays. The Project Team estimates during the worst week of a given year, the solar arrays could produce approximately 15 MWh. Combined with the battery storage units, this gives the microgrid around 27 MWh of energy supply. Under these conditions, the microgrid would require 12 MWh from the diesel generator, or 60 hours of continuous operation, to meet the seven day requirement. The diesel generator will be equipped with a storage tank that can support around 85 hours of continuous operation (1440 gallons).

After the battery storage units have been depleted, the MCS will always seek to replenish charge by deploying energy from the solar PV arrays. However, if the solar arrays are not producing sufficient electricity, the 200 kW diesel generator will come on-line and operate continuously until it exhausts its fuel supply, output from the solar arrays increases, or power returns to the larger grid. When aggregate microgrid demand is less than 200 kW, the generator will charge the battery storage units. When aggregate demand exceeds 200 kW, the battery storage units will discharge the necessary power to maintain power flow through the microgrid coverage area.

Conserving energy will be critical to the Monticello microgrid's ability to maintain continuous power for seven days. Facilities could increase the microgrid's resiliency and save money on energy bills by investing in EE upgrades or intelligent BEMS that respond to commands from the main microgrid controller.

The proposed assets will be safe from a range of severe weather events. At minimum, the diesel backup generator unit will be constructed inside an enclosure on Sullivan County Government Center land, where it will be protected from severe weather events. The battery storage units will be similarly enclosed. However, as discussed above, weather may significantly diminish the output from the solar PV arrays. The PV arrays are generally resilient to all but the most extreme weather, however they cannot be containerized or otherwise enclosed and will therefore be exposed to the elements. Regular, manufacturer recommended maintenance will help ensure the availability of the solar arrays.

The microgrid's information technology (IT) system is primarily based on wireless communication. Each wireless unit will be housed inside a weather-proof enclosure to ensure resiliency during storms. Each distributed intelligent electronic device (IED) and DER will require a short length of physical wire to connect to the nearest network switch. Network switches will intentionally be placed near the IED or DER that they serve, which makes disruption of a wired connection extremely unlikely. In the event that an IED loses contact with the MCS, it is programmed to act on predetermined set points.

The distribution lines in Monticello will not be buried to provide extra resiliency to storms, wind, and falling trees. The Project Team evaluated the possibility of trenching and burying distribution lines and found the cost to be prohibitively high, and therefore the express line will be pole mounted. Hardening possibilities for overhead lines include using steel or other reinforced material for the utility poles, though this would come at a significant cost. The

switchgear will already be encased and an obstruction free right-of-way for the lines is the only way to protect the wires themselves from damage. The line in Monticello crosses a relatively unobstructed area and should therefore be well protected from falling trees and debris.

Because the Monticello microgrid does not include a large spinning generator, the battery storage units must maintain system stability in island mode. The diesel generator may also maintain system stability when it operates. The battery storage units and diesel generator can provide:

- Automatic load following capability – the DERs will be able to respond to frequency fluctuations within cycles, allowing the microgrid to balance demand and supply in island mode.
- Black start capability – the diesel backup generator will have auxiliary power (batteries) for black starts and can establish island mode grid frequency. After the storage units or diesel generator have established stable power flow, the main microgrid controller will synchronize the solar arrays to match the target frequency and phase and bring them on-line.
- Conformance with New York State Interconnection Standards.¹³

2.4 Load Characterization (Sub Task 2.2)

The Project Team sized proposed DERs according to electricity demand data from Monticello's load points. The load characterizations below describe the electrical loads served by the microgrid.¹⁴ Descriptions of the load sizes to be served by the microgrid along with redundancy opportunities to account for downtime are included.

2.4.1 Electrical Load

The Project Team evaluated three primary electrical loads for the Monticello microgrid. For aggregate weekly, monthly, and yearly energy consumption as well as average and peak power demand, see Table 5. For a cumulative 24 hour load profile, see Figure 2. Typical 24-hour load profiles for each facility can be found in the Appendix.

Monticello's proposed community microgrid will incorporate the Sullivan County Government Center, the local village office and senior center, and a gas station. All included facilities are connected to the primary NYSEG feeder in Monticello (Maplewood 229).

¹³ New York State Public Service Commission. Standardized Interconnection Requirements and Application Process for New Distributed Generators 2 MW or Less Connected in Parallel with Utility Distribution Systems (2014). Available from www.dps.ny.gov.

¹⁴ Estimated loads are based on monthly metering data from the facility's account numbers via NYSEG's on-line metering portal wherever possible. The Project Team simulated load data for the Sullivan County Government Center and the Quality Gas and Convenience Store.

Table 4. Village of Monticello List of Prospective Microgrid Facilities


Table lists potential microgrid facilities, including their addresses, and classifications.

	Property	Address	Classification
1	Sullivan County Government Center	100 North St	Public
2	Monticello Village Office and Senior Center	10 Jefferson St	Public
3	Quality Gas and Convenience Store	5 Jefferson St	Commercial

The design includes three new automated isolation switches and switchgear for every generation asset. A new electrical distribution line will be necessary to isolate microgrid facilities on their own network. The line will allow the microgrid to avoid energizing multiple residential and commercial facilities between the Sullivan County Government Center and the Monticello Village Office. The line is hereafter referred to as an “express line” because it does not energize intervening loads. Figure 1 provides an illustration of the proposed microgrid design and layout, including loads, switches, existing electrical infrastructure, and proposed electrical infrastructure.

Figure 1. Monticello Equipment Layout

Figure shows the microgrid equipment layout, illustrating distributed energy resources (DERs), distribution lines, load points, workstations, network switches, and proposed distribution switches.



NYSEG provided the Project Team with twelve months of metering data for the Monticello Village Office and Senior Center (January through December 2014), summarized in Table 5. The Project Team estimated other facility loads based on facility type, size, and approximate number of customers served. In 2014 the estimated aggregate peak load was 704 kW, and the average was 230 kW.

Table 5. Monticello’s 2014 Microgrid Load Points


Table shows the microgrid electric demand in kW and electric consumption in kWh. Thermal consumption was deemed irrelevant because there is no natural gas infrastructure in Monticello.

Scenarios	Electric Demand (kW)		Electric Consumption (kWh)		
	2014 Peak	2014 Average	2014 Annual	2014 Monthly Average	2014 Weekly Average
Microgrid Loads (Full)	704	230	2,017,497	168,125	39,099

Figure 2 provides a typical aggregate hourly load profile for Monticello. Aggregate demand increases sharply from the night-time baseline around dawn, peaks early in the day, and decreases steadily back to the night-time baseline.

Figure 2. Typical 24-Hour Cumulative Load Profile from 2014 Metering Data

Figure illustrates the typical 24-hour cumulative load profile. The figure represents the sum of individual facility typical 24-hour load profiles from 2014.



Although the output of the solar arrays will be variable (due to weather conditions and insolation) throughout the year, they will typically be most productive when facility demand is highest. When the solar arrays are operating close to their name plate capacities, the microgrid’s generation capacity will approach 4.2 MW, with 200 kW from the diesel generator, 3 MW from the battery storage units, and 1 MW from the solar PV arrays. Aggregate demand from microgrid facilities averaged 230 kW and never exceeded 704 kW in 2014.¹⁵ The limiting factor on

¹⁵ This number represents the highest aggregate monthly peak demand from 2014. Monthly peak demand was calculated by summing individual facilities’ peak demand for the month. The final peak demand therefore assumes that facilities reached their individual monthly peak demands simultaneously, which is unlikely. The true peak demand was almost certainly less than 704 kW, but the Project Team was unable to obtain interval data for all included facilities.

islanded operation will therefore be the total amount of energy stored in batteries rather than the peak discharge rate.

The Project Team expects some degree of natural load growth after construction of the microgrid. Because DERs are sized to approximately match current facility demand, significant load growth could threaten the reliability of the microgrid's electricity supply in island mode. Microgrid facilities can mitigate the threat of natural load growth by investing in EE upgrades or intelligent BEMS that respond to commands from the main microgrid controller. This is especially important in the Monticello microgrid project, where there will be a finite supply of energy in islanded mode. Microgrid owners may also invest in additional supply-side resources such as small diesel generators or extra battery storage systems.

Because the design includes two solar arrays, each unit should have downtime available at various points throughout the year; however, the microgrid will need to rely on grid-supplied power and power from the diesel backup generator if the battery storage units are unavailable at any time.

2.4.2 Thermal Consumption

There is currently no natural gas infrastructure in Monticello. Facilities and residential customers use electricity or fuel oil for thermal energy throughout the year. The absence of natural gas precluded the possibility of proposing a CHP unit, so the Project Team did not evaluate thermal consumption for the proposed facilities.

2.5 Proposed Microgrid Infrastructure and Operations (Sub Task 2.1)

The existing distribution system infrastructure will be expanded and modified to accommodate microgrid operations. The microgrid will support two fundamental modes of operation: grid-connected (normal or grid paralleling) and islanded (emergency) modes. Details concerning the infrastructure and operations of the proposed microgrid in normal and emergency situations are described below.

2.5.1 Grid Parallel Mode

The microgrid will most often operate in grid-connected mode. If economic conditions align, the battery storage units may export energy to the larger grid to participate in ancillary service markets or DR programs. The microgrid design also includes one new diesel backup generator, but this DER will not come on-line in grid-connected mode. Refer to Table ES-2 for a complete list of microgrid DERs.

If the larger grid experiences an emergency while the microgrid is connected, the parallel mode control scheme allows for the export of a predetermined amount of active and reactive power from microgrid DERs. By injecting power into the larger grid, the microgrid may be able to balance frequency and voltage to avert an outage.¹⁶ If the battery storage units have sufficient

¹⁶ By averting a larger outage, the microgrid will provide value to the community of Monticello as well as NYSEG. All involved parties therefore have incentive to support such a capability.

charge to stabilize the larger grid system, they will immediately discharge the required power. However, this discharge should not exceed a certain predetermined level—as the microgrid’s main source of energy in island mode, the battery units must always reserve a certain amount of charge for emergency situations.

2.5.2 Intentional Islanded Mode

The proposed energy management and control scheme will balance output from the solar arrays and battery discharge with microgrid demand to maintain adequate frequency, voltage, and power flow across the microgrid network in islanded mode. Islanded mode can be intentionally used during forecasted NYSEG grid outages or disturbances to maintain electricity supply for microgrid facilities—the system will manage the solar arrays, battery storage system, and diesel generator to match aggregate demand in real time. The battery storage system can provide real-time response to fluctuations in system frequency and voltage. Refer to the simplified one-line diagram in Figure 3 for a detailed device representation showing the proposed generation assets and their utility interconnection points.

2.6 Electrical and Thermal Infrastructure Characterization (Sub Task 2.4)

This section describes the electrical and thermal infrastructure of the proposed microgrid. The infrastructure resiliency, the PCC, and the proposed utility infrastructure investment are also discussed below.

2.6.1 Electrical Infrastructure

The local utility, NYSEG, owns the existing electrical infrastructure in Monticello. The Maplewood 229 line is the primary feeder in the area, and is the only feeder that supplies the microgrid coverage area with power. There are no redundancies in the Monticello electrical system. The proposed microgrid is surrounded by other NYSEG customers on the Maplewood 229 feeder, meaning downstream loads will be disconnected when the microgrid switches to island mode. It is therefore extremely unlikely that the microgrid will switch to island mode when downstream customers would not otherwise lose power.

The PCC with the NYSEG system will be located along the Maplewood 229 feeder (SW1 in Figure 3). One new automated switch will disconnect the microgrid from this feeder at the PCC. Other isolation switches will disconnect downstream loads and give the MCS control over DERs. The design requires a new express line to connect the Sullivan County Government Center to the Village Office and gas station without energizing intervening loads (see Figure 1: Equipment Layout).

All of the microgrid’s generation assets will require switchgear and controllers to communicate with the microgrid control system (MCS). See Figure 1 for a map of proposed equipment and infrastructure. For a detailed outline of microgrid equipment, see the one-line diagram in Figure 3.

The following tables (Table 6 to Table 8) describe the microgrid components and are referenced throughout the rest of the document. For a list of all included DERs, see Table ES-2.

Table 6. Monticello Distributed Switches Description

Table outlines distributed electrical switches with their names (on equipment layout), descriptions, and statuses.

Name	Description	New/Upgrade
SW1	Automatic switch for feeder isolation	New
SW2	Automatic switch for feeder isolation	New
SW3	Automatic switch for feeder isolation	New
SW4	OEM PV Inverter Switch	New
SW5	OEM PV Inverter Switch	New
SW6	OEM Diesel Generator Switch	New
SW7	OEM Storage Inverter Switch	New
SW8	OEM Storage Inverter Switch	New
SW9	OEM Storage Inverter Switch	New

Table 7. Monticello’s Network Switch Description

Table outlines IT network switches with their descriptions, status as existing or proposed, and addresses.

Name	Description	Status	Address
NS1	Near Switch 1 for communication	Proposed	Refer to Eqp. Layout
NS2	Near Switch 2 for communication	Proposed	Refer to Eqp. Layout
NS3	Near Switch 3 for communication	Proposed	Refer to Eqp. Layout
NS4	Near Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA)/Energy Management System (EMS), workstation, and DER1-6 for communication	Proposed	Refer to Eqp. Layout

Table 8. Monticello’s Server Description

Table describes the workstation and servers, their status as proposed, and their addresses. The Project Team has assumed that the servers will be placed inside the Sullivan County Government Center (a secure municipal building).

Name	Description	Status	Address
Workstation	Operator/Engineer workstation	Proposed	100 North St
Server1	Primary EMS and SCADA	Proposed	100 North St
Server2	Secondary EMS and SCADA	Proposed	100 North St

The NYSEG distribution system in Monticello consists of medium voltage lines (12.5 kV). All branches off these medium voltage lines have their own transformers that step incoming power down to low voltage.

Figure 3. Monticello One-Line Diagram

Figure displays a one-line diagram for Monticello illustrating interconnections and lay-out.

REDACTED PER NDA WITH NYSEG

2.6.2 Points of Interconnection and Additional Investments in Utility Infrastructure

The proposed components and interconnection points for the Monticello community microgrid are listed in Table 9. The PCC between the main grid and the microgrid will be located along the NYSEG Maplewood 229 feeder (SW1 in Figure 3). New automated circuit breakers and switches will be required to isolate the microgrid loads from the local NYSEG feeder and to disconnect downstream loads.

The MCS will also have precise control over the discharge rate of battery storage units and output from the diesel backup generator. The battery storage units’ immediate discharge capability will allow the MCS to provide voltage and frequency control within the millisecond response intervals required for maintaining a stable microgrid.

Table 9. List of Components

Table lists all the distribution devices/components included in the microgrid design.

Device	Quantity	Purpose/Functionality
Microgrid Control System Protocol Converter (Siemens SICAM PAS or equivalent)	1 Primary 1 Back-up	Protocol Converter responsible for operating the microgrid’s field devices via protocol IEC-61850.
Automated, Pole Mount Circuit Breaker/Switches (Siemens 7SC80 relay or equivalent)	3	New relays/controllers at pole mounted distribution switches/breakers. These components will isolate the microgrid from the feeder and downstream loads. They include synchro-check capability.
Generation Controls (OEM CAT, Cummins, etc.)	1	OEM Generation controller will serve as the primary resource for coordinating diesel generator ramp up/ramp down based on external commands and reaction to Microgrid load changes.
PV Inverter Controller (OEM Fronius or equivalent)	2	Controls PV output and sends live solar/power output data to SCADA and EMS for forecasting/decision making input
Storage Inverter Controller (OEM Fronius or equivalent)	3	Controls battery storage input/output and sends live power data to SCADA and EMS for forecasting. Receives charge/discharge commands from SCADA Microgrid control.
Network Switch (RuggedCom or equivalent)	4	Located at IEDs and controllers for network connection, allowing remote monitoring and control.

All microgrid devices will require a reliable source of DC power. Each device (or cluster of devices) will have a primary and backup power supply source. During normal operation, a 120 volt alternating current (VAC) power source will power an alternating current (AC)/DC converter to power the microgrid devices and maintain the charge of the DC battery banks. The device current draw (amperage used by each device) should not exceed 60% of the available

power supply. When the normal AC voltage source is unavailable, the battery bank can provide DC power to devices for at least one week.

2.6.3 Basic Protection Mechanism within the Microgrid Boundary

The power system protection system senses grid variables, including voltage, current, and frequency, and takes necessary actions (such as de-energizing a circuit line) to maintain these variables at appropriate levels. Currently, protection schemes are based on the assumption that power flows in one direction. Microgrid operations, particularly during island mode, require bidirectional power flow. This will introduce difficulties for protection coordination. At a later design stage, protection studies accounting for the key characteristics of island mode will have to be performed, which include possible bidirectional power flows and very low fault currents.

The current design includes controls that can prevent back-feeding of power to the larger NYSEG grid. However, the business plan is such that the microgrid will export energy back to NYSEG.

2.6.4 Thermal Infrastructure


There is no natural gas infrastructure in Monticello. Facilities and residential customers use electricity or fuel oil for thermal energy throughout the year.

2.7 Microgrid and Building Control Characterization (Sub Task 2.5)

This section provides a more detailed description of the microgrid's modes of operation. The microgrid control system will include an EMS and a SCADA based control center (see Figure 4), hereafter collectively referred to as the main microgrid controller or MCS. Distributed intelligent electronic devices (IEDs) will communicate with the main microgrid controller over the local TCP/IP network. In grid-parallel mode, the microgrid will synchronize frequency and voltage magnitude with the larger grid and will have the potential to export excess electricity to NYSEG. When controllers detect an outage or emergency disturbance on the larger grid, the microgrid will switch to island mode. In these situations, the microgrid will disconnect from the larger grid and proceed with the programmed black-start sequence (described in Section 2.7.6) to start power flow through included lines and devices. When power returns after an outage, the main microgrid controller will manage re-synchronization to the NYSEG grid (described in Section 2.7.7).

Figure 4. Diagram of Representative Microgrid Control System Hierarchy

The following network diagram illustrates a conceptual microgrid control network with a generator, breakers, transformers, an automatic transfer switch (ATS), IEDs (which could be actuators, Meters, Accumulators, or Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs)), a renewable energy source, and the Main Microgrid Controller with SCADA and EMS server and client workstation node.



2.7.1 Microgrid Supporting Computer Hardware, Software, and Control Components

The following is a preliminary list of the hardware components needed for the Monticello's microgrid:

- Energy sources – The microgrid requires DERs in order to supply electricity to connected facilities. To some degree, flexible loads that can be reduced during peak demand events may also be considered as energy sources.
- Microgrid Control System (MCS) – The MCS is composed of an EMS and Supervisor Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) based control center. The MCS is responsible for logging relevant data, regulating generator output, curtailing flexible loads (where possible), and managing transitions between modes of operation.
- Distributed breakers, switches and controls – The microgrid requires automated switches and breakers to disconnect downstream loads and regulate generator output. The MCS is capable of maintaining power stability by shedding non-critical loads.
- Utility breakers and controls – These automatic controls will interface between the microgrid and the local feeders.
- New electric distribution line – A new medium-voltage express line will be necessary to connect the Fire Station and Public Works Department to the other facilities. This line will run from the Recreation Center to the Fire Station.
- Generator controls/relays – These components will be installed at each generating unit/inverter. They will control generator output based on signals from the MCS.

The proposed system uses a Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) software platform that will serve as the messaging and integration platform for the monitoring and control of distributed equipment. The SOA system supports almost any power device or control system from any major vendor and therefore ensures communication networkability and interoperability between competing vendor systems. The computer hardware and software required for a fully automated operational microgrid design are as follows:

- SOA software platform – The SOA platform facilitates the monitoring and control of included power devices and control systems.
- Two RAID 5 servers (Redundant Array of Independent Disks) (including 1 primary, 1 backup) for the MCS – The MCS will include an EMS and a SCADA based control center, and will optimize the operation of the microgrid. This includes determining which critical loads will be supplied, integrating PV output into the energy portfolio (including high resolution solar forecasting), and controlling the charge/discharge of energy storage wherever applicable. The system combines information on power quality, utilization, and capacity in real time, which allows the community and control algorithms to balance electricity supply with microgrid demand.
- Historian database server – Historian database collects and logs data from various devices on the network.

- Applications server (one or more) – Depending on the software and hardware vendors’ preference, application servers may be used for numerous purposes. Common uses for an application server include backup and recovery, antivirus, security updates, databases, a web server, or use as some other software depending on how the SCADA and EMS vendors configure their platform.
- Operator workstations for SCADA and EMS – Workstation computers, sometimes called thin-clients, allow operators to view real-time data and control the microgrid from the SCADA control room or a remote location. Users must have proper access rights and permissions to operate workstation computers.
- Automated pole mount circuit breaker/switch (Siemens 7SC80 relay or equivalent) – The microprocessor based logic controllers in the field, also referred to as intelligent electronic devices (IEDs), are programmed to act on predetermined set points. They can also be manually overridden by the MCS or a human operator. The control system host servers continuously poll these logic controllers for data using discrete or analog signals. Resulting data is processed by the IEDs connected to control elements. Three existing manual switches will be upgraded with these components and will disconnect downstream loads from the microgrid. One new automated switch will disconnect the Hudson Shores Plaza facility as necessary in response to signals from the MCS.
- Automated underground circuit breaker/switch (Siemens 7SJ85 relay or equivalent) – Similar to the pole mount circuit breakers, this IED can act on predetermined set points or can be controlled by an operator via the microgrid control center. This component represents an upgrade to the manual switch at the proposed PCC with the Maplewood Station 307 feeder.

Use of the listed hardware, software, and resources must be synchronized to maintain stable and reliable operation.

2.7.2 Grid Parallel Mode Control

When the microgrid operates in grid-connected mode, every on-line DER will synchronize its voltage (magnitude and phase) and frequency with the voltage (magnitude and phase) and frequency of the electrically closest interconnection point with the main grid. After initial synchronization, the DER voltage phase will drift away from the main grid’s voltage phase, which is caused by active and reactive power flow. The DER’s voltage magnitude and frequency will be maintained as close as possible to the main grid’s voltage magnitude and frequency. During grid parallel mode, generation assets will follow the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) 1547 standard for interconnecting distributed resources with electric power systems. The IEEE 1547 and other DER interconnection standards required by utilities are applicable to synchronous, asynchronous, and inverter-based generation.

NYSEG may have additional technical and economic requirements as the microgrid plans to export energy or provide ancillary services to the distribution grid. The proposed battery storage units are capable of providing ancillary services to the NYSEG grid to enhance the reliability of

the system. These services can include frequency regulation, spin/non-spin reserve, and voltage support. The microgrid control system may also use batteries for energy arbitrage by purchasing electricity from the larger grid at low prices and selling it back at a higher price when demand is highest, or time-of-use bill management by effectively shifting excess solar production to match peak pricing periods.

2.7.3 Energy Management in Grid Parallel Mode

The proposed microgrid will integrate software and hardware systems to ensure reliability and effective performance. Optimization of microgrid performance involves three distinct phases: measurement and decision, scheduling and optimization, and finally execution and real time optimization.

Data logging features will allow the main microgrid controller to measure historical performance and track significant trends. Human operators can use this data to prioritize loads, manage generator output, and schedule maintenance for generators and microgrid components. The microgrid executive dashboard will collect and filter information on the current operating strategy as well as performance metrics for SAIFI (System Average Interruption Frequency Index), SAIDI (System Average Interruption Duration Index), and CAIDI (Customer Average Interruption Duration Index), all adjusted to reflect the high sampling frequency of the system. Other performance metrics include power interruptions (defined as 50% variance of predicted voltage to measured voltage for 10 minutes or longer), voltage violation (defined as variance of actual voltage to predicted voltage for 5 minutes), and frequency violations (defined as variation to predicted frequency of more than 0.2 Hz for more than 10 minutes). The executive dashboard will calculate daily, weekly, and monthly rolling totals for all of these metrics.

After analyzing historical trends and monitoring real-time data, the MCS will optimize operation of the microgrid by managing battery discharge and flexible loads. In grid-connected mode the MCS will prioritize the deployment of renewable generation and will aim to offset electrical demand charges whenever possible.

2.7.4 Islanded Mode Control

The transition to island mode can be either unintentional or intentional. Unintentional islanding is essentially the main microgrid controller's programmed response to an outage at the level of the distribution or transmission system. An outage at the distribution system level can occur within or outside the microgrid, and the microgrid islanding scheme must be able to handle either situation. MCS relays at the PCC will recognize low voltage, or other contingencies, and the appropriate switches will open automatically (disconnecting the microgrid from the main grid). Any existing on-line generation will be disconnected via generation breakers. All microgrid loads and distribution switches will then be switched open via designated circuit breakers and relays to prepare for local generation startup. Using the battery storage units' black-start

capabilities, the MCS will commence island mode operation.¹⁷ If battery storage units are unavailable or have exhausted their charge, the diesel generator can initiate power flow through the microgrid. The battery storage units or diesel generator will ramp up to 60 Hz.

After power flow through the microgrid is stable, the main microgrid controller will synchronize output from the solar arrays (frequency, voltage amplitude, and phase) and bring them on-line. In steady state, voltage amplitudes and frequencies will remain synchronized while voltage phases will drift apart.

Unlike the unintentional transition to island mode, the intentional transition is seamless and does not require a black start. The microgrid will intentionally switch to island mode if:

- The NYSEG grid has an expected outage that could potentially affect transmission power to Monticello substations.
- The NYSEG grid needs to perform network maintenance work, thereby isolating loads in the Monticello area.

The intentional transition to island mode begins when the system operator sends the command to prepare for islanding. The main microgrid controller will automatically parallel the generation assets. The battery storage units or the diesel generator may set the system frequency. Once the available power sources are synchronized, the system is considered ready to implement islanded operation and will open the incoming utility line breaker.

2.7.5 Energy Management in Islanded Mode

After completing the transition to island mode, the main microgrid controller will perform a series of operational tests to ensure the microgrid is operating as expected and that power flow is stable and reliable. The MCS will gather data on power flow, short circuit, voltage stability, and power system optimization using an N+1 (N components plus at least one independent backup component) contingency strategy to determine whether additional load can be added.¹⁸ The N+1 strategy ensures extra generation is always online to handle the loss of the largest DER and assumes the on-line DER with the highest capacity could go off line unexpectedly at any time.

The microgrid must also be capable of handling any contingencies that may occur within the islanded system. These contingencies include, but are not limited to:

- Battery storage units exhaust available charge.
- Storage units or PV arrays trip off unexpectedly during microgrid operation.
- Switchgear fails to operate.
- Switchgear fails to report status.
- Loss of power from the diesel generator.

¹⁷ Battery storage units can reliably perform black starts if they have sufficient charge. See http://www.irena.org/DocumentDownloads/Publications/IRENA_Battery_Storage_case_studies_2015.pdf.

¹⁸ The microgrid control system does not truly have control over load in the current design, but by installing intelligent Building Energy Management Systems or additional isolated switches, future operators of the microgrid can enhance load shedding and flexible load control capabilities.

- Loss of power from the solar arrays.

The MCS will optimize the microgrid's operation by managing output from generation assets and storage units. Proposed DERs will provide stable, sustainable, and reliable power. The MCS will continuously balance generation and load in real-time, monitoring relevant variables (i.e., system frequency and voltage) and adjusting DER output as necessary. The main microgrid controller will first deploy energy from renewable generation assets and adjust output from the battery storage units to match remaining electricity demand. In the event the battery storage units exhaust their charge in island mode, the diesel generator will come on-line as necessary. The microgrid design relies on the battery storage units to firm the output from the solar arrays.

The proposed battery storage units will be used for multiple purposes:

- Long-term storage: Long-term backup, to be used in conjunction with solar PV arrays and diesel generators to maintain power in a long-term outage.
- Ancillary services: Frequency regulation (enhances stability by providing an immediate response to a change in system frequency), spin/non-spin reserves (providing capacity to the NYISO), and voltage support.
- Shifting solar output (managing time of use bills): Storing excess generation from the solar PV arrays for a few hours, usually to offset higher priced periods (e.g., shifting excess solar generation from 1-3 PM to 4-6 PM when grids tend to peak).
- Energy arbitrage: Similar to shifting solar output, but instead of storing excess generation from the solar arrays, the battery units will purchase wholesale electricity from the NYISO when the Location Based Marginal Price (LBMP) is low and sell it back to the NYISO when the LBMP is high.
- Black starts: The units can start power flow through the microgrid in the event of an unplanned larger grid outage.

2.7.6 Black Start

The proposed battery storage units and diesel generator will be equipped with black start capabilities. If the Monticello grid unexpectedly loses power, the main microgrid controller will initiate island mode by orchestrating the predefined black start sequence. The microgrid then begins the unintentional transition to island mode. A DC auxiliary support system is an essential part of the diesel generator's black start capabilities. This system must have enough power to start the generator multiple times in case it fails to start the first time.

When the larger grid unexpectedly loses power, the main microgrid controller orchestrates the black start sequence as follows:

1. PCC breaker opens.
2. All active DERs are disconnected.
3. The main microgrid controller waits a pre-set amount of time (approximately 30 seconds) in case power returns to the NYSEG grid.

4. The main microgrid controller disconnects the entire current load (after estimating aggregate electricity demand).
5. The microgrid DERs are synchronized with each other (one of the battery storage units will usually provide reference voltage and frequency).
6. The main microgrid controller reconnects the microgrid loads. If facilities install BEMS in the future or the microgrid owner installs additional isolation switches, the MCS will manage electricity demand to maintain power stability.

The MCS will manage any contingencies that arise during the black-start operation (e.g., breakers do not respond to trip commands and the microgrid does not properly disconnect from the larger grid). If one of the battery storage units malfunctions or the diesel generator does not start as expected during a utility outage, the MCS is equipped with contingency algorithms to appropriately manage the situation. If possible, the main microgrid controller will still isolate the microgrid.

The MCS will allow operators to designate certain DERs as unavailable for participation in the microgrid (e.g., if they require maintenance) so the DER dispatch and load shedding algorithms can accommodate a reduced available capacity.

2.7.7 Resynchronization to NYSEG Power

When power is restored to the larger grid, the main microgrid controller will coordinate a safe and orderly re-connection. The system will first wait a predefined, configurable time period to ensure power has been reliably restored and then will commence resynchronization with the NYSEG power supply. As a final check, the system operator will either receive an automated notification or directly contact NYSEG to confirm that power flow on the larger grid is on-line and stable.

While operating in island mode, the system will constantly monitor the status of the utility feeder at the PCC and determine when nominal frequency and voltage have been restored. When power is restored, the main microgrid controller will disconnect the solar arrays and synchronize output from the battery storage units with the utility service through the utility circuit breaker. Before the microgrid system starts paralleling with the utility, it will balance local generation and load so as not to exceed either minimum or maximum export limits or time durations set forth in the utility interconnection agreement. When microgrid power flow has been synchronized to the larger grid, the main microgrid controller will bring the solar arrays back on-line and stop discharge from the battery storage units. Depending on available charge, the batteries may need to charge for a significant period of time after re-connection to the larger grid.

Please refer to the Monticello Microgrid Operation One-Line: Parallel Mode (from Islanded Mode) in the Appendix for the control scheme sequence of operations.

2.8 Information Technology and Telecommunications Infrastructure (Sub Task 2.6)

The existing information technology (IT) and telecommunication infrastructure at Monticello is best suited for a wireless microgrid communication system. The communication system and network switches (which have local backup batteries) will communicate wirelessly with the base station located at the Sullivan County Government Center, which is electrically served by the microgrid in islanded mode. During the intermittent stage, or black start sequence mode, the headend IT network equipment and base station for the IT network communications system will be powered by their backup batteries. The microgrid design will require minimal additional hardware (i.e., the network switches, WiMax Base Station, WiMax subscriber units, servers, and computers required to manage a microgrid) to seamlessly integrate with the IT system.

2.8.1 Existing IT & Telecommunications Infrastructure

Monticello already takes advantage of its existing fiber optic backbone ring and existing Ethernet switches for reliable Internet and Local Area Network (LAN) activities, making convergence quite feasible. The wireless components of the control system, which work on open architecture protocols, use a TCP/IP Ethernet-enabled component that controls each of the uniquely addressed modules to wirelessly communicate via a standard, non-licensed radio frequency mesh 900 megahertz (MHz) industrial scientific and medical (ISM) band signal network.

2.8.2 IT Infrastructure and Microgrid Integration

New hardware and software will be required to ensure compatibility between the existing IT infrastructure and proposed microgrid system. There are seven main components required for any microgrid system to successfully integrate with an IT/telecommunication infrastructure: host servers, application servers, operator workstations, network switches, network-attached logic controllers, data transmission systems (either fiber or Ethernet cables), and the vendor agnostic SOA software that facilitates the monitoring and control of virtually any power device or control system. All of these critical parts work together and serve a specific role.

2.8.3 Network Resiliency

Cyber security falls into the two primary stages (1) design and planning, and (2) continuous operations. Cyber security is especially important for the microgrid control system as it utilizes TCP/IP protocols for compatibility amongst the distribution system. This convergence has also introduced vulnerabilities to the MCS because the MCS vendors have historically lagged behind in implementing security patches rolled out by computer operating system security teams.

For the planning stage, design considerations address cyber security by assigning roles to network-attached components on NYSEG's WAN thereby controlling data flow and access permissions over the integrated MCS and overarching IT architecture.¹⁹ For example, the design utilizes a network segmentation scheme by function (separate segments/enclaves for servers, operators, generation, and distribution), in addition to network firewalls, for clean and

¹⁹ Assumes the microgrid will utilize enterprise-level remote monitoring and control.

continuous monitoring and control of data flow. The firewall routes noncritical traffic such as utility's unrelated corporate printers and other drivers, email, and all other non-essential internet services (which could be backdoors for hackers into the MCS) to a dedicated "demilitarized zone" usually consisting of a single security hardened server.

Because the logic controllers will be located at or near loads, the distributed equipment will take the IT system to the "edge" of NYSEG's network, where it is potentially more vulnerable to hackers. Sticky media access control (MAC) is an inexpensive and practical program that can help prevent unauthorized access and protect the NYSEG IT network. Every network attached device has a unique, unchanging MAC interface. The Sticky MAC program is configured to monitor the unique address of the device and its designated network port. If the device disconnects, the program disables the port and thus prevents an unauthorized device that may have malicious code from entering the IT system.²⁰

Physical security measures, such as electronic badge access or cipher combination hardware locksets, should also be considered. The Project Team recommends implementing physical security at the perimeter of the control center building and network communication closets where the switches reside.

The data transmitted throughout the proposed Monticello microgrid will be encrypted, but several additional intrusion protection measures can easily be implemented. One simple and inexpensive method is to disable any of the 65,535 TCP ports not used to make the microgrid system work (depending on final configuration, only a few TCP ports will need to be active). More TCP ports will need to be active when the available enterprise-level monitoring and control access will be utilized.

Activating and analyzing security logs is also important. As a rule, the operating system and firewall can be configured so certain events (e.g., failed login attempts) are recorded. The security portion (software that resides on the control system servers) will be configured so only operators and engineers with specific login credentials can access and control the microgrid.

In the event of a loss of communication with the IT system, the microgrid will continue to operate. The programmed logic code for the network attached controllers is stored locally in each module, giving the controllers the ability to operate as standalone computers in the event of a disruption between the IT system and microgrid.

The Team considers the safety and availability of the microgrid to be the most critical aspects of the microgrid. Testing and/or simulation of the system responses to software updates is important because it allows the owner or operator to identify any anomalies which the software updates might introduce to the overall system before full deployment in the field. Further considerations will be assessed during the next phase of the Prize initiative.

²⁰ Sticky MAC is a common, widely effective IT security countermeasure. The Project Team does not foresee any difficulties integrating Sticky MAC into microgrid operations.

2.9 Microgrid Capability and Technical Design and Characterization Conclusions

After thorough examination of existing utility infrastructure and energy demand requirements, the Project Team has provided a reliable microgrid design. Control components will efficiently manage the real-time operation of the microgrid by communicating with distributed IEDs. The proposed design is resilient to forces of nature and cyber threats and offers full automation and scalability at every level. The SOA-based framework ensures interoperability and compatibility between components, regardless of final vendor.

In conclusion, the project is technically feasible. However, two significant items remain in order for Monticello's microgrid to become a reality. First, generation assets and microgrid components must be available for maintenance at all times. The team is working with the Sullivan County Government Center to ensure they will allow a third party to service the generation assets and microgrid components located on their land. The Project Team expects these operational challenges to be resolved by the time of construction. Second, there is no natural gas infrastructure in Monticello, which will make it difficult to meet the NYSERDA-defined required capability of providing continuous power for seven days. The design proposes large scale battery storage units that will work with solar PV arrays in order to meet this capability. However, the high cost of battery storage units prevented a microgrid design wherein 100% of islanded energy comes from solar energy and battery storage—the design therefore includes a small diesel generator that will come on-line in long-term outages when the solar PV arrays are not producing power.

The microgrid design proposes installation of three new automated switches to isolate the microgrid from the local feeder and downstream loads. A new express line will also be necessary to connect the Sullivan County Government Center to the Monticello Village Office without energizing intervening loads. Because the microgrid is surrounded by downstream NYSEG customers, it will not intentionally enter island mode in non-outage scenarios.

3. Assessment of Microgrid's Commercial and Financial Feasibility (Task 3)

The microgrid design relies on the SPV to finance the construction of proposed DERs, while NYSEG may construct the required microgrid infrastructure. The battery storage system should participate in ancillary service markets or DR programs in order to partially recover their high capital costs. However, after bidding 1 MW of capacity into the NYISO frequency regulation market and selling solar-generated power to NYSEG, the project's revenues are insufficient to cover operating expenses and will not recover initial capital expenditures. NY Prize funding and additional subsidies will be necessary to make the Monticello microgrid financially viable.

3.1 Commercial Viability – Customers (Sub Task 3.1)

Private investors, through the SPV, will own the proposed DERs and NYSEG may own the microgrid components. The solar arrays will stay on-line throughout the year, but their output will be intermittent.²¹ Electricity generated by the solar arrays will be valued at NYSEG's supply charge. The battery storage units can bid 1 MW of capacity into the NYISO frequency regulation market and may be able to achieve additional savings by engaging in time-of-use (TOU) bill management and reducing peak demand charges. Batteries also provide non-cash values, such as deferral of transmission and distribution (T&D) infrastructure upgrades and reduction of congestion costs, to the utility and larger electricity system. Monticello is located in a NYSEG identified load pocket in need of additional DERs to help address congestion. By compensating SPV investors appropriately for these non-cash values, NYSEG and New York State have the capability to make the Monticello microgrid a more attractive investment. Finally, DER owners will remit payment to NYSEG to support the costs of the distribution infrastructure.

3.1.1 Microgrid Customers

The Monticello microgrid connects three critical facilities (see Table 10 for a list of direct microgrid customers). Although it is possible for the microgrid to sell power directly to the facilities, the current business model assumes the microgrid will sell solar power and potentially stored power to the NYSEG grid. As a result, the customers will continue to purchase electricity from NYSEG throughout the vast majority of the year. However, when there is an outage on the larger NYSEG system, the microgrid will switch to island mode and customers will receive electricity directly from the microgrid SPV via NYSEG infrastructure. The transition to islanded operation may be intentional or unintentional.

Although facilities outside the microgrid's footprint will not receive electricity from the microgrid's generation assets during emergency outages, they will benefit from the availability of critical and important services. In their day-to-day operations, each of the microgrid facilities

²¹ The Project Team calculated a capacity factor of 14% using NREL PV Watts software.

serves the larger community. By providing critical services to the community, these facilities extend their reach beyond direct employees and residents in the event of emergencies.

Table 10 (below) identifies each of the direct microgrid customers. The full group of stakeholders that will benefit from the microgrid is discussed in Section 3.2.3.

Table 10. Microgrid Customers

Facilities that will be connected to the microgrid. All will purchase electricity from the microgrid in island mode, and will indirectly purchase electricity from the microgrid’s DERs in grid-connected mode.

Property	Address	Classification	Critical Service	Back-up Generation
Sullivan County Government Center	100 North St	Public	Yes	No
Monticello Village Office and Senior Center	10 Jefferson St	Public	Yes	No
Quality Gas and Convenience Store	5 Jefferson St	Commercial	Yes	No

3.1.2 Benefits and Costs to Other Stakeholders

Stakeholders in the Monticello microgrid extend beyond connected facilities to include SPV investors, existing generation asset owners, NYSEG, and residents of Monticello and the surrounding communities.

The majority of benefits and costs to other stakeholders fall into the following categories:

- Supply of power during emergency outages
- Electricity generation in grid-connected mode
- Provision of ancillary services in grid-connected mode
- Cash Flows to owners from sale of electricity and ancillary services
- Upfront capital investment and land requirements
- Expanded zero-emission energy generation

Details of each will be discussed in turn below.

Supply of power during emergency outages: The microgrid will supply power to three critical facilities. The critical facilities can provide shelter, access to gasoline, and government services to residents of the Village in the event of a long-term grid outage.

Electricity generation in grid-connected mode: The solar PV arrays will stay on-line throughout the year. Integration with battery storage will reduce load for the larger NYSEG system during both peak demand events and normal periods of operation, stabilizing electricity prices in the area and possibly deferring the utility’s future capacity investments. Peak load support from proposed generation assets may reduce congestion costs to NYISO, NYSEG, and their electricity customers.

Provision of ancillary services in grid-connected mode: The battery storage units will bid 1 MW of capacity into the NYISO frequency regulation market throughout the year. By continuously

discharging or charging according to signals from the NYISO, the batteries will produce revenues for SPV owners and improve power stability on the larger grid.

Cash flows to DER owners: In a conservative base case, cash flows will be limited to energy sales to NYSEG and sale of ancillary services to the NYISO. The microgrid project will produce consistently negative operating cash flows unless the battery storage system can engage in local time of use (TOU) bill management and peak demand charge reduction or are compensated for non-cash values by the utility or state. The project's commercial viability will depend on NYSERDA NY Prize Phase III funding as well as additional subsidies.

Upfront capital investment and land requirements: The primary costs will be purchasing and installing necessary microgrid equipment and proposed generation assets. The Sullivan County Government Center has land available for the proposed DERs. Solar PV arrays will be mounted on carports in the Government Center's parking lots, generating electricity for the microgrid and providing shade for parked cars.

Expanded zero-emission energy generation: The proposed solar arrays will produce zero emission electricity throughout the year. They represent a significant local investment in renewable energy and will reduce overall greenhouse gas emissions in New York State. This provides benefits to residents of Monticello, the local government, and the larger population of the state. The proposed diesel generator will only come online in island mode when the battery units have exhausted their charges.


3.1.3 Purchasing Relationship

In grid-connected mode, the SPV will sell electricity from the proposed solar PV arrays to NYSEG under a long-term power purchase agreement (PPA).²² The battery storage units may be used to smooth the output curve from the various solar arrays. Microgrid connected facilities will maintain their current electricity-purchaser relationship with NYSEG during grid-connected mode. In island mode, however, the facilities will be physically disconnected from the larger grid and directly supplied by the proposed generation assets. NYSEG will continue to bill microgrid facilities as normal, and proposed DERs will continue to sell electricity to NYSEG under the established long-term PPA. Islanded operation contracts will be established during development and construction and will address the order in which islanded facilities are brought back on-line following an island event and the associated cost for participating in the microgrid. See Figure 5 below for visual representations of the purchasing relationships.

²² The proposed solar arrays will not qualify for net metering because they will be owned by the SPV, which does not own a metered facility in the area.

Figure 5. Purchasing Relationship

Value streams and purchasing relationships between the various entities during both grid-connected and island mode. In island mode the 200 kW diesel generator may also come online to provide electricity to microgrid facilities.



3.1.4 Solicitation and Registration

The microgrid design team will work with the Village and utility to formalize agreements with the critical facilities identified. This outreach will include informal discussions and, ultimately, signed agreements of participation in the microgrid and any fee structure determined by the NY Public Service Commission (PSC). Formal registration with the microgrid will be managed by programming the logic controllers to include or exclude facilities from islanded services based on their agreements with the utility. The Project Team views registration as an operational feature and not a legal requirement.

3.1.5 Energy Commodities

Proposed generation assets include two 500 kW carport solar PV arrays, a battery storage system including three battery storage units rated at 1 MW/4 MWh, and a standby 200 kW diesel generator. During normal operation, energy from the solar arrays will be sold to NYSEG and distributed on the NYSEG system as dictated by system needs. Conversely, if NYSEG wishes to prevent energy from flowing to the grid, the generation assets will be equipped with controls that have the necessary hardware and protection scheme to prevent back-feeding power into the system and power sales will be directly negotiated with the facilities.

The volume of electricity purchased from the solar arrays will depend on weather and insolation. The batteries will bid 1 MW of capacity into the NYISO frequency regulation market, and may be able to engage in some degree of TOU bill management and peak demand charge reduction at the Sullivan County Government Center. In order to engage in TOU bill management and peak

demand charge reduction for all three facilities, the microgrid would have to operate in island mode and funnel transactions with NYSEG through one meter. Because the microgrid is surrounded by downstream loads on the Maplewood 229 feeder, entering island mode would disconnect NYSEG customers that would otherwise have power. TOU bill management is therefore only possible at the Sullivan County Government Center, which will host the proposed storage units. However, it is difficult to precisely estimate the possible value from TOU bill management without specific operational data from the facility, so the Project Team did not include this value in the conservative base case analysis.

The battery storage units are capable of participation in DR programs, but they must maintain a certain level of charge to prepare for unexpected grid outages, and the NYISO frequency regulation market provides more lucrative payments for capacity. The batteries do not therefore have sufficient capacity available for participation in DR programs.

The batteries also provide several non-cash value streams to the utility and NYISO. Together these non-cash value streams can be worth as much as \$610/kW-year.²³ The storage units can be used as an alternative to building new power plants to meet peak demand (resource adequacy), can be discharged downstream of congested corridors to minimize congestion costs during peak demand events (transmission congestion relief), and can delay, reduce, or remove the need for investments in the utility's transmission system (transmission deferral). The Project Team did not assume revenue from these non-cash value streams, but by appropriately compensating SPV investors, NYSEG and NYISO could make the Monticello microgrid a more attractive investment.

3.2 Commercial Viability – Value Proposition (Sub Task 3.2)

The microgrid will provide value to Monticello, private investors, NYSEG, direct participants, and the larger State of New York. The new solar arrays will produce zero-emission energy in both normal and islanded operation, and the new battery storage units will firm the output curve, sell ancillary services to the NYISO, and provide an energy bank for emergency outages. SPV members will receive stable revenues, though consistently negative operating cash flows, from operation of the proposed energy resources throughout the life of the project. Depending on the regulatory environment and the willingness of NYSEG and New York State to compensate the microgrid for non-cash value streams, SPV members may be able to realize additional cash flows that make the project a more attractive investment. The benefits, costs, and total value of the microgrid project are discussed in detail below.

²³ NYSEDA estimates through the Rocky Mountain Institute, "The Economics of Battery Storage".

3.2.1 Business Model

Table 11 below provides an overview of the Monticello microgrid project, including an analysis of project strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT).

Table 11. Monticello Microgrid SWOT Analysis

The strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT) associated with the Monticello microgrid project.

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full NYSEG participation in ownership of the system hardware may demonstrate to other investor-owned utilities (IOUs) the value of community microgrids in their service territories and prove out a win-win for the utility and ratepayers • Allows for the use of existing transmission and distribution infrastructure, thereby reducing the potential cost burden of constructing entirely new infrastructure (microgrid project will only require a short express cable and isolation switches to disconnect the microgrid from the feeder and downstream loads) • Local generation will reduce congestion and the need for additional supply side resources during peak demand events, providing non-cash value to NYSEG throughout the year • If NYSEG chooses to operate the system, they can draw on their expertise to facilitate daily operation of the microgrid (load aggregation, load following, voltage regulation, and other requirements) • Engages key critical facilities as well as local residents and businesses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Selling electricity and ancillary services will not recover all initial investment costs. The commercial feasibility of the project therefore depends on funding from NYSERDA, NYSEG, or New York State • Separating significant capital costs from the revenues necessitates further agreement between revenue drivers (DERs) and control infrastructure owners (NYSEG). DER owners may balk at diverting revenue to non-revenue generating components
Opportunities	Threats
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourages teamwork between local government, private investors, and local investor owned utility (IOU). Because most communities are served by IOUs, this model could serve as a template for future projects • Demonstrates the feasibility of reducing load on the larger grid with distributed energy resources • Provides a proof point for utility operated microgrids in partnership with DER investor group • Provides data for NYSEG and NYSERDA on the benefits of using paired solar energy and battery storage systems. If successful, the paired solar/storage model could be applied to multiple municipalities throughout the state that do not have access to natural gas • The cost of battery storage is constantly decreasing, and by “stacking” different uses, SPV investors may soon be able to achieve a competitive levelized cost of storage²⁴ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes in regulatory requirements could impact the proposed business model and stakeholder goals • While currently lucrative, the frequency regulation market is thin. As more DERs across the state bid capacity into the market, the average price that NYISO pays for this ancillary service will likely decline, which would cause a drop in microgrid revenues

²⁴ Lazard’s Levelized Cost of Storage, Version 1.0.

Although there are several valuable strengths and opportunities associated with the hybrid ownership model, there are also weaknesses and threats that must be addressed and, if possible, mitigated.

- **Financial** – First, SPV members will seek a long-term PPA, or some other form of long-term purchase agreement, with NYSEG to guarantee steady future revenue streams from electricity sales. As long as the agreement reliably guarantees fair compensation for generator output over the project lifespan, SPV members must be content with flexible compensation rates and a low amount of risk. Second, revenues from sale of electricity and ancillary services are projected to be insufficient to cover operating expenses. This weakness is partially offset by NY Prize Phase III funding, which is a requirement for project viability. Without further subsidization the project is unlikely to attract investor interest. Finally, revenue from ancillary service sales will likely decline in the future as more participants enter the frequency regulation market and prices decline. SPV members can partially mitigate this threat by switching use of the battery storage units to other services such as energy arbitrage or participation in demand response programs.
- **Organizational Competition** – This business model requires collaboration among groups of stakeholders that may have different motivations for participation in the microgrid project. NYSEG may construct and own non-revenue generating control and switchgear with an expectation of financial support from DER revenues. DER owners may be disinclined to support the non-revenue assets. Open communication and early agreement between NYSEG and private DER investors regarding operational parameters, volumes of electricity to be purchased, and the price per unit of electricity will be paramount to the smooth operation of the microgrid.
- **Regulatory** – Utilities in New York State cannot own generation assets unless they demonstrate why full vertical integration provides value to their customers. The State of New York wishes to avoid situations in which a single entity monopolizes energy generation and distribution resources. Utilities may not purchase DERs, and microgrid investors that purchase distribution infrastructure may be considered utilities. To avoid this regulatory threat, the SPV will only purchase new generation assets, while NYSEG will retain ownership of existing power lines and may purchase and install new distribution infrastructure. The proposed business model will therefore function within the existing regulatory landscape and may provide evidence that privately owned generation assets can successfully sell electricity over a utility-owned power distribution platform.

3.2.2 Replicability and Scalability

The Monticello microgrid is a largely replicable and scalable model, and it is being designed with industry standard equipment and software that can be applied to diverse existing infrastructure. The proposed generation assets qualify for a significant total incentive payment—the NY Sun program may offset around 15% of the solar arrays' capital cost, and the Federal

ITC may offset an additional 30% of capital costs from the solar arrays. However, because operating revenues are relatively low and operating costs are high, the project's commercial viability depends on NYSERDA NY Prize Phase III funding and additional subsidies, which will not be available to most community microgrid projects. This hinders the project's replicability unless the NY PSC issues policy changes incentivizing microgrid development.

Technical Replicability. The proposed microgrid technology does not present a barrier to project replicability. The primary components of the microgrid, including the proposed generation assets, switches, and microgrid control system, are widely available and could be repeated in any given location. All interconnections with the NYSEG grid are industry standard.

Organizational Replicability. Because most municipalities in NYS follow a similar electricity model in which the local IOU distributes power purchased from third-party owned generation assets, the project's power distribution structure is easily replicable. Private DER ownership that contracts the local utility to operate the DERs, coupled with utility infrastructure ownership, is both replicable and desirable as it brings private capital into the energy arena and provides a platform for utilities to realize revenue from the projects. A model in which an IOU has some degree of operational control over the generation assets without any financial stake in them is not one that has been widely implemented. It is the opinion of the Project Team, however, that the proposed model provides a path ahead for grid-integrated microgrids in a fashion that engages utilities, which may otherwise be skeptical of their value proposition. The model may also promote innovations in rate calculations and help change the services that IOUs are expected to provide. Its replicability expands the potential market for resulting innovations to include a larger part of New York State. As such, this project presents a valuable opportunity for NYSERDA to examine the changing role of the investor-owned utility in energy generation and distribution.

Scalability. The Monticello microgrid is scalable on the Maplewood 229 feeder. However, expansion to another feeder would require addition of new isolation switches, expanded generation, and additional power flow studies. It also assumes congruent line voltage, without which the linkage of different feeders would become more electrically complex.

Although the project is technically scalable, adding loads would require additional solar PV arrays and battery storage units. The high capital costs of adding more DERs that do not produce significant revenues will discourage expansion of the Monticello microgrid.

3.2.3 Benefits, Costs, and Value

The microgrid will provide widely distributed benefits, both direct and indirect, to a multitude of stakeholders. The SPV will receive stable revenues for the lifetime of the project, the Village and citizens will benefit from a more resilient electricity system, and the community will reap the positive effects of living in and around the microgrid during times of emergency. These costs and benefits are described in Tables 12 through 17. Moreover, the local community will not bear any of the project's costs. However, without funding from NY Prize Phase III and additional subsidies, the cash flows generated by proposed DERs will not cover operating costs. This

proposal involves a wide group of stakeholders—from local, non-customer residents to the State of New York—and provides value to all involved parties.

Tables 12 through 17 below provide an overview of the benefits and costs to members of the SPV, direct microgrid customers, citizens of Monticello and surrounding municipalities, and the State of New York.

Table 12. Benefits, Costs, and Value Proposition to SPV

SPV shareholders will receive stable revenues from the microgrid project for the lifetime of the project.

Beneficiary	Description of Benefits	Description of Costs	Value Proposition
SPV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Investors will receive annual revenues from sale of electricity and ancillary services - NY Sun incentive may recover 15% of solar arrays’ cost in the project’s first year - Federal ITC recovers 30% of the cost of solar arrays - NY Prize Phase III funding may recover 50% of capital costs - Depending on NYSEG tariff structures and agreements set forth in the project development phase, the Government Center may achieve some savings by deploying the batteries for effective TOU bill management and peak demand charge reduction. These savings would be remitted to the SPV as the owner of the battery storage units. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Initial capital outlay will be high because the SPV must purchase and install generation assets (including three expensive battery storage units) - Forecasted installed capital costs for the solar arrays and battery storage units are \$2.7 MM and \$2.5 MM, respectively - Ongoing maintenance of DERs - Financing costs associated with initial capital outlay will persist for many years 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Long-term purchase contracts make revenues from electricity sales low risk, however the high capital and operating costs cannot be recovered without significant subsidy

Table 13. Benefits, Costs, and Value Proposition to NYSEG

NYSEG will receive new revenues from the operation of the microgrid while bearing only a fraction of initial and ongoing costs.

Beneficiary	Description of Benefits	Description of Costs	Value Proposition
NYSEG	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The utility will continue to sell electricity to direct customers - NYSEG will maintain full control of distribution lines and new infrastructure - Local energy generation may defer transmission infrastructure investments - By discharging batteries during peak demand events, NYSEG can flatten the output curve and may realize overall system savings - Improved reliability provided to customers within the microgrid footprint 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NYSEG will purchase electricity from the solar arrays at a price consistent with its existing electricity supply costs - NYSEG may bear the cost of installing and maintaining microgrid infrastructure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The utility can serve as a market connector, realizing revenue from transmission and distribution and fees from the DERs - Improved grid resilience by integrating local generation assets with local distribution networks - NYSEG will have a new source of zero-emission electricity valued at their average supply charge but will marginally reduce their transmission and distribution costs in the immediate area

Table 14. Benefits, Costs, and Value Proposition to the Village of Monticello

Monticello will become a leader in achieving NY REV goals by providing a local market for DER-generated electricity and catalyzing investment in DER assets.

Beneficiary	Description of Benefits	Description of Costs	Value Proposition
Village of Monticello	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Village Office will receive backup power from proposed DER assets—this will reduce the need for future investments in backup generation capabilities - The microgrid will provide a resilient and redundant energy supply to critical services - Reduced greenhouse gas emissions and progress towards state energy goals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - When the microgrid enters island mode due to a larger grid outage, customers may pay a slightly higher price for electricity than they would for electricity from the larger grid, depending on contract negotiations in Phase II. This cost is offset by enhanced reliability and power quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Critical facilities will have a stable source of electricity during outages, allowing Monticello to serve as a relief point for the local community - The microgrid project will serve as a catalyst for engaging customers in energy service opportunities and will inspire residential investment in DER assets, such as solar PV and battery storage, as citizens see benefits associated with avoiding peak demand hours and producing enough electricity to be independent from the larger grid - Generating electricity with the new solar PV array and firming the output curve with storage will provide reliable, zero-emission electricity

Table 15. Benefits, Costs, and Value Proposition to Connected Facilities

Connected facilities will benefit from a more resilient energy supply and may choose to invest in small DER assets of their own.

Beneficiary	Description of Benefits	Description of Costs	Value Proposition
Connected Facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Resilient and redundant energy supply - Access to a local market for distributed energy resources makes investments in small DERs more attractive to connected facilities - Possible use of battery storage units could allow connected facilities to shift solar production to peak hours and realize more competitive returns 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Connected facilities will bear no costs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Maintain operations during emergency outages and provide valuable critical services to the Monticello community - Local market for excess energy and possible access to battery storage units make investments in small DERs (such as solar panels) profitable for connected facilities

Table 16. Benefits, Costs, and Value Proposition to the Larger Community

The community will have access to critical services during grid outages.

Beneficiary	Description of Benefits	Description of Costs	Value Proposition
Community at Large	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Access to a wide range of critical and important services during grid outages - Reduced greenhouse gas emissions and progress towards state energy goals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Because the larger community will not be connected to the microgrid, this stakeholder group will not bear any costs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Potential for reconnect in outage situations if generation assets are out-producing the demanded critical loads and the footprint of the microgrid is expanded - Future expansion of the microgrid could bring more facilities into the design—however, the Village of Monticello will likely need to install advanced metering infrastructure (AMI) meters for this to be feasible

Table 17. Benefits, Costs, and Value Proposition to New York State

The microgrid provides a tangible example of a Village working towards a significant NY REV goal: to expand the privately-owned DER industry by providing a local, utility-owned power distribution platform.

Beneficiary	Description of Benefits	Description of Costs	Value Proposition
New York State	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Indirect benefits (such as outages averted, transmission upgrade deferral, congestion cost reduction, etc.) will demonstrate the benefits of microgrids paired with DER assets to citizens across the state and reduce load on the larger grid - Each microgrid accelerates NY state’s transition from old macrogrid technology to newer, smarter, smaller technologies - Reduced greenhouse gas emissions and progress towards state energy goals - Proof point for enhanced value of paired solar and storage systems - Meet NY Reforming the Energy Vision goals by encouraging zero emission DER construction and improving energy resiliency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The State may need to compensate the project for the indirect benefits it provides in order to attract sufficient investor interest 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Successful construction and operation of a community microgrid will demonstrate the societal value of microgrid projects - Indirect benefits associated with microgrids will encourage and inspire citizens to strive for DER in their own communities - Success of SPV model aligns with REV goals—this project provides an example of investor-owned generation assets selling electricity over a utility-owned power distribution platform

3.2.4 Demonstration of State Policy

The proposed microgrid represents a major step towards achieving New York State energy goals; it will provide a local platform for excess energy generation throughout the year, help the community adapt to climate change, and significantly expand renewable energy generation in Monticello. The proposed microgrid supports the New York State Energy Plan by providing a power distribution platform for locally-owned DER assets.

By coordinating the microgrid as a local distributed system platform (DSP), the Monticello microgrid will act as a distributed resource and will provide local grid stabilization through injections and withdrawals of power. As more distributed resources are added throughout the Village, the microgrid can be tuned to provide continual support for these assets (e.g., by providing ancillary services) and will diversify and enhance its portfolio of revenue streams. Eventually, as more microgrids arise in New York State, the proposed microgrid can integrate seamlessly into a larger “grid of grids” to promote energy markets, trading, and enhanced consumer choice for preferred power source.

3.3 Commercial Viability – Project Team (Sub Task 3.3)

The Project Team includes NYSEG, the Village of Monticello government, Booz Allen Hamilton, Siemens AG, and Power Analytics. It may expand to include financiers as the project develops. Details on the Project Team can be found in this section.

3.3.1 Stakeholder Engagement

The Project Team has been engaged in constant communication with local stakeholders from the outset. Booz Allen and its Village partners have also communicated with each of the proposed facilities to gauge electricity demand and discuss other aspects of project development.

3.3.2 Project Team

The Monticello microgrid project is a collaboration between the public sector, led by the Village of Monticello, and the private sector, led by Booz Allen Hamilton with significant support from Power Analytics, Siemens, and NYSEG. Each of the private sector partners is well qualified in the energy and project management space, and Monticello has strong interest in improving its energy reliability and expanding its clean energy generation capacity. Tables 18 and 19 provide details on the Project Team.

Table 18. Project Team

Background on Booz Allen Hamilton, Siemens AG, Power Analytics, and NYSEG.

Booz Allen Hamilton	Headquarters: McLean, VA	Annual Revenue: \$5.5 B	Employees: 22,700
History and Product Portfolio: Booz Allen was founded in 1914 and in the ten decades since its founding, Booz Allen has assisted a broad spectrum of government, industry, and not-for-profit clients including the American Red Cross, all branches of the Department of Defense, the Chrysler Corporation, NASA, and the Internal Revenue Service. Booz Allen’s energy business includes helping clients analyze and understand their energy use and develop energy strategies, recommending technology solutions to achieve their energy goals, and executing both self- and 3 rd party funded projects including energy efficiency, renewable energy, and smart grids.			
Siemens AG	Headquarters: Munich, Germany; U.S. Headquarters: Washington, DC	Annual Revenue: €71.9 B	Employees: 343,000
History and Product Portfolio: Siemens AG was founded in 1847 and today is one of the world’s largest technology companies. Siemens AG specializes in electronics and electrical engineering, operating in the industry, energy, healthcare, infrastructure, and cities sectors. Siemens AG develops and manufactures products, designs and installs complex systems and projects, and tailors a wide range of solutions for individual requirements. The Siemens Microgrid Team develops comprehensive solutions leveraging the strength of Siemens’ portfolio – from generation sources such as gas, wind, and solar, to transmission & distribution products, to control software solutions and services.			
Power Analytics	Headquarters: San Diego, CA	Annual Revenue: \$10-15 MM	Employees: 50
History and Product Portfolio: Founded 25 years ago, Power Analytics is a privately-held small business that develops and supports electrical power system design, simulation, and analytics software. The Company’s worldwide operations include sales, distribution, and support offices located throughout North America, South America, Europe, Asia, and Africa and Australia.			
NYSEG	Headquarters: Orange, CT	Annual Revenue: \$1.63 B	Employees: 7,000
History and Product Portfolio: A subsidiary of AVANGRID, NYSEG is an electrical and gas company operating in New York State. NYSEG provides electric service to approximately 890,000 customers and gas service to approximately 262,000 customers across more than 40% of upstate New York. AVANGRID receives yearly operating revenues of approximately \$1.63 billion and is headquartered in Orange, CT.			

Table 19. Project Team Roles and Responsibilities

Table outlines roles, responsibilities, and expectations for each member of the Project Team during development, construction, and operation of the microgrid.

Team Member	Roles and Responsibilities		
	Project Development	Construction	Operation
NYSEG	NYSEG will work with the Project Team to develop the concept and provide input. They may further provide the financial support for the purchase of microgrid control systems and infrastructure.	NYSEG may provide a share of the initial capital outlay that corresponds to the microgrid control infrastructure.	NYSEG may provide the necessary domain expertise to operate and maintain the microgrid infrastructure. This includes responsibility for switching to island mode and regulating voltage and frequency across the microgrid’s loads in both grid-connected and island mode. Through the microgrid control system, the utility will have some degree of control over charge and discharge of batteries.
Village of Monticello, Sullivan County Alliance for Sustainable Development (SASD)	SASD will serve as the main conduit to representatives of the critical and important facilities and other interests in the Village.	As the liaison, SASD will coordinate with all local and state parties as needed.	As the liaison, SASD will coordinate with all local, regional, and state parties as required.
Booz Allen	BAH is responsible for the delivery of the Feasibility Study and its component parts. This includes serving as the central clearinghouse of data, design, and proposal development as well as the key POC for NYSERDA on this task.	BAH will serve in an advisory and organizational role, working in a similar prime contractor capacity to provide overall design, costing, and construction management services.	BAH would serve in an outside, advisory capacity upon completion of the microgrid and during its operation.
Siemens	Siemens is the engineering and technology partner of this project. They will develop the technical design and system configuration in concert with BAH engineers and the Power Analytics team.	Siemens may have primary responsibility for the shovel-in-the-ground construction and installation of hardware and generation assets.	Ensuring proper functioning and maintenance of the microgrid technology components throughout.
Power Analytics	Power Analytics is the partner for energy software solutions. The PA team, in conjunction with Siemens and Booz Allen, is responsible for the design of the SCADA and system software components and controls.	Power Analytics may lead the installation of control and energy management software following hardware installation and in concert with Siemens.	Provide IT systems support; may play an active role in system management through the EnergyNet software platform.

Team Member	Roles and Responsibilities		
	Project Development	Construction	Operation
Suppliers	There are no suppliers required during the development phase; however, project partners and suppliers Siemens and Power Analytics are closely involved in feasibility and design portions of the project. BAH is in touch with several additional suppliers of hardware and software including Duke Energy, Enel Green Power, Anbaric Transmission, Bloom, and Energize.	Siemens or another engineering and technology firm will be the hardware supplier, including switches and other physical controls. Power Analytics or another software company will be the EMS and SCADA provider, responsible for software and server components.	The installer of the hardware and software will continue to provide maintenance and advisory services as required to ensure proper and efficient functioning of their components. The software provider will work in cooperation with NYSEG to assess the best approach to daily operations of the software system.
Financiers/Investors	The SPV will be created during the project development phase. Investors for DERs may include any of the entities mentioned in the row above.	Debt and equity investors will supply the cash required to complete the construction and installation of generation assets and microgrid controls.	Generation asset owners will realize revenues from the sale of electricity and ancillary services. NYSEG will realize revenues from payments from DER owners.
Legal/Regulatory Advisors	Regulatory advice is housed within Booz Allen. Further counsel will be retained as necessary to create the SPV and arrange financing.	Legal and regulatory will be a combination of Booz Allen, the Village, NYSEG, and any outside counsel required.	Legal and regulatory will be the responsibility of the Village, the utility, and any investors in the SPV.

3.3.3 Financial Strength

The principal shareholders in the microgrid project are NYSEG and private investors, through the SPV.

Moody’s Investor Service rates NYSEG at a Baa1 credit rating. According to the Moody’s rating scale, “Obligations rated Baa are judged to be medium-grade and subject to moderate credit risk and as such may possess certain speculative characteristics.” NYSEG is a subsidiary of AVANGRID, a U.S. based diversified energy and utility company. AVANGRID is an affiliate of the Spanish energy company Iberdrola and employs nearly 7,000 people across the United States. NYSEG provides electric service to approximately 879,000 customers and gas service to approximately 262,000 customers across more than 40% of upstate New York. AVANGRID receives yearly operating revenues of approximately \$1.63 billion.

Given the high capital costs for large scale energy storage and the relatively low operating revenues from proposed DERs, outside investors will not have interest in the Monticello microgrid project unless NYSEG, NYISO, or the state government compensates the SPV for the non-cash value of battery storage and subsidizes microgrid infrastructure.

3.4 Commercial Viability – Creating and Delivering Value (Sub Task 3.4)

The specific technologies included in the microgrid design will enable rapid and efficient transitions between grid-connected and island mode based on signals from a SCADA control center. The proven efficacy of proposed microgrid components enhances the replicability and scalability of the design. This section will discuss the technical components of the microgrid and why they were chosen.

3.4.1 Microgrid Technologies

The specific technologies included in the microgrid design were chosen to meet the goals of providing reliable and efficient power in both grid-connected and island mode, performing automatic load following, and developing black-start capability.

Because there is no natural gas infrastructure in Monticello, the design relies on battery storage systems for energy resiliency. Solar PV arrays will provide significant zero-emission energy generation throughout the year, moving Monticello and New York State closer to the renewable generation goals set forth in the state energy plan and the Renewable Portfolio Standard. If the battery units exhaust their charges in island mode, the microgrid is equipped with a small diesel generator that will come on-line and provide supplemental power as necessary. The battery storage units will be capable of automatic load following (responding to load fluctuations within cycles, allowing the microgrid to maintain system voltage and frequency) and black starts. The batteries will also greatly reduce the need for diesel generation in emergency outage situations.

The Monticello microgrid includes numerous components that have been previously used and validated. Solar PV and standby diesel generators are widely used technologies, with more than 6 gigawatts of solar PV installed in 2015 in the United States. The switch components are all industry standard and are widely used in utilities worldwide, and the intelligent electronic devices (IEDs), which are robust and safe via embedded electrical protections, are similarly standard across the industry. Siemens microgrid technologies are recognized worldwide for their flexibility, reliability, and expandability—successful examples of Siemens microgrid technology at work include the Parker Ranch and Savona University microgrids.²⁵ Team partner Power Analytics has similarly successful implementations of its Paladin software in microgrid environments, including the 42 MW, 45,000 person UC San Diego microgrid project.²⁶

The proposed battery storage units use an emerging zinc-air cell technology. Because it is so new, this technology has not accumulated much operational data. However, one manufacturer, Eos Energy Storage, predicts each battery will last 5,000 cycles at greater than 75% efficiency per discharge, and plans to sell the batteries at \$160/kWh.²⁷ The batteries' uses include, but are not limited to, peak shaving, long term energy storage, and frequency regulation. The batteries will require inverters to synchronize their DC output with the alternating current electricity

²⁵ Siemens case studies; available from <http://w3.usa.siemens.com/smartgrid/us/en/microgrid/pages/microgrids.aspx>.

²⁶ <http://www.poweranalytics.com/company/pdf/M-12-GE-PPT-X-001-03%202012%20UCSD%20Virtual%20summit.pdf>.

²⁷ Eos Aurora: <http://www.eosenergystorage.com/products/>.

flowing through the larger grid and intelligent controls to synchronize with the microgrid control system.

3.4.2 Operation

SPV investors will direct a portion of revenues to NYSEG, or a third party operator, to support the operation and maintenance of the microgrid. As the project's subject matter expert and owner of the distribution infrastructure, NYSEG may provide advice regarding the logistics of day-to-day operation. Regular maintenance and checks of equipment will be conducted based on manufacturer or installer recommendations and will ensure the proper function of all grid elements.

NYSEG will have final authority on decisions regarding the microgrid that are not automatic elevations to the state or PSC. Decisions regarding the proper level of generation from local assets, load following, N+1 assurance, and other similar issues will be addressed automatically in real-time by the logic controllers and the microgrid control system (MCS). The decision algorithms will be programmed upon installation with input from the utility and with the ability to alter or revise them if operations dictate that to be the appropriate action. Interactions with the NYSEG power grid will be automatically governed by the microgrid controllers.

This analysis assumes NYSEG will purchase electricity from the solar PV arrays and distribute it across its grid. The facilities will continue to be billed for electricity via the regular NYSEG billing mechanism and cycle.

Additional fees may be imposed upon microgrid participants as a percentage of their electricity cost. However, given the extremely limited amount of time forecasted in island operation and the commensurately limited time the customers will need to rely on the microgrid, the fee will be negligible or nonexistent.

3.4.3 Barriers to Completion

The barriers to constructing and operating the microgrid are primarily financial. The lack of natural gas infrastructure forces the Monticello microgrid to rely on large scale energy storage to achieve necessary resiliency. Although there have been significant advancements in battery technology in recent years, batteries still incur high capital costs and produce relatively little revenue. NYSERDA and the New York Battery and Energy Storage Technology Consortium (NY-BEST) have not developed incentive programs outside of Consolidated Edison's service territory in New York City, so project owners will have to rely on cash flows from ancillary services, energy arbitrage, or DR programs in order to recoup investment costs. Assuming the SPV will sell electricity to NYSEG at their current supply charge and will bid 1 MW of capacity into the NYISO frequency regulation market, the microgrid will produce negative operating cash flows from year to year. This means revenues will not cover operating costs and there will be no possibility of recovering capital expenditures.

3.4.4 Permitting

The Monticello microgrid may require certain permits and permissions depending on the ultimate design choices. The SPV must ensure that battery placement does not violate local code 280-9 (Application of Regulations). New structures may not exceed the local regulations for percentage of lot area or encroach on front, rear, or side yards.

The proposed DERs will likely need permits under local code 280-51 (Zoning Permits) as structures larger than 40 square feet. The SPV must submit an application to the local Zoning Officer prior to construction that includes a statement of use, detailed plans and blueprints, and a written endorsement from a qualified engineer. Monticello is not in any EPA Criteria Pollutant Non-Attainment zones.

3.5 Financial Viability (Sub Task 3.5)

The distributed energy resource assets included in the microgrid design will produce revenue streams from electricity sales to NYSEG and sale of ancillary services to NYISO. These assets will require significant initial capital outlay as well as annual operation and maintenance costs. The microgrid project qualifies for the NY Sun incentive and the Federal ITC, which may partially offset the initial investment costs. Private investors will use a mix of debt and equity to finance their shares. This section will discuss the revenues, costs, and financing options associated with the microgrid project in more detail.

3.5.1 Revenue, Cost, and Profitability

The microgrid has a number of savings and revenue streams, as outlined in Table 20. The revenues will sum to approximately \$115,000 per year, while operation and maintenance for generation assets and microgrid infrastructure will cost around \$140,000 per year. However, SPV members may be able to unlock other revenue streams by engaging in TOU bill management or obtaining appropriate subsidies for non-cash values from NYSERDA or NYSEG (see Table 21 for potential value streams). In the conservative base case, yearly cash flows will be negative and will not recover initial investment costs. The commercial viability of the Monticello microgrid project is therefore dependent on Phase III NY Prize funding and operating subsidies.

Table 20. Savings and Revenues

Expected revenues and savings directly associated with operation of the microgrid and its DER assets.

Description of Savings and Revenues	Savings or Revenue	Relative Magnitude	Fixed or variable
Electricity sales from new solar PV arrays ²⁸	Revenue	~\$75,000/yr	Variable
Revenues from frequency regulation services	Revenue	~\$40,000/yr	Variable
Total Yearly Revenue and Savings		~\$115,000/yr	Variable

²⁸ The Booz Allen Team calculated NYSEG’s supply charge for electricity to be approximately \$0.0605/kWh in WEST zone. This is the assumed price for grid-connected sales from the solar PV arrays.

The Project Team assumed the battery storage units can bid 1 MW into the New York frequency regulation market throughout the year. In 2015, the average payment per MW per service hour was \$9.23. Assuming a conservative capacity factor, the battery units will be available for frequency regulation services for approximately 4,380 hours per year.²⁹ This translates to yearly revenues of approximately \$40,000 per year, or a value of around \$40.41 per kW per year. The Project Team has assumed the energy used for these frequency regulation services has a net-zero dollar value. This is a conservative assumption, as the battery will likely be required to charge (down regulate) when NYISO wholesale prices are low and discharge (up regulate) when NYISO wholesale prices are high.

Table 21. Possible Values from Batteries

Potential cash and non-cash value streams associated with battery storage units.

Description of Savings and Revenues	Cash or non-cash	Relative Magnitude	Fixed or variable
TOU bill management ³⁰	Cash (savings)	~\$36,000/yr	Variable
Peak demand charge reduction ³¹	Cash (savings)	~\$18,000/yr	Variable
Resource adequacy (use storage as an alternative to building new power plants to meet peak demand) ³²	Non-cash	~\$20,000/yr	Fixed
Transmission congestion relief (use storage downstream of congested corridors to minimize congestion costs during peak demand events) ³³	Cash (savings)	~\$2,000/yr	Variable
Utility transmission deferral (delay, reduce, or remove the need for utility investments in the transmission system) ³⁴	Non-cash	~\$100,000/yr	Fixed
Total Potential Values		~\$175,000/yr	Variable

²⁹ Method adapted from Sandia National Laboratories, “Energy Storage for the Electricity Grid: Benefits and Market Potential Assessment Guide.”

³⁰ Based on 200 kW available for TOU bill management (average Government Center electricity demand) and a value of \$180/kW-year (Sandia National Labs through the Rocky Mountain Institute, “The Economics of Battery Energy Storage”).

³¹ Based on 200 kW available for TOU bill management (average Government Center electricity demand) and a value of \$90/kW-year (Sandia National Labs through the Rocky Mountain Institute, “The Economics of Battery Energy Storage”).

³² Based on 200 kW available for discharging during peak demand events (average Government Center electricity demand) and a value of \$100/kW-year (NYSERDA through the Rocky Mountain Institute, “The Economics of Battery Energy Storage”).

³³ Based on 200 kW available for discharging during peak demand events (average Government Center electricity demand) and a value of \$10/kW-year (NYSERDA through the Rocky Mountain Institute, “The Economics of Battery Energy Storage”).

³⁴ Based on 200 kW available for discharging during peak demand events (average microgrid electricity demand) and a value of \$500/kW-year (NYSERDA through the Rocky Mountain Institute, “The Economics of Battery Energy Storage”).

Table 22. Capital and Operating Costs

Expected costs from construction and operation of the microgrid.

Description of Costs ³⁵	CapEx or OpEx	Relative Magnitude	Fixed or Variable
500 kW Solar PV array (carport)	Capital	\$1,350,000	Fixed
500 kW Solar PV array (carport)	Capital	\$1,350,000	Fixed
12 MWh Battery storage ³⁶	Capital	\$2,500,000	Fixed
200 kW diesel	Capital	\$200,000	Fixed
Distributed Equipment	Capital	\$140,000	Fixed
Microgrid Control System	Capital	\$450,000	Fixed
IT costs (wireless and cables)	Capital	\$50,000	Fixed
Express cable (overhead)	Capital	\$20,000	Fixed
Total CapEx		\$6.06 MM	Fixed
Design considerations and simulation analysis	Planning and Design	\$575,000	Fixed
Project valuation and investment planning	Planning and Design	\$75,000	Fixed
Assessment of regulatory, legal, and financial viability	Planning and Design	\$50,000	Fixed
Development of contractual relationships	Planning and Design	\$50,000	Fixed
Total Planning and Design		\$750,000	Fixed
Battery Maintenance	Operating	\$50,000/yr	Variable
Solar PV Maintenance	Operating	\$20,000/yr	Variable
Diesel fuel and O&M	Operating	\$1,300/yr	Variable
Microgrid Control O&M	Operating	\$70,000/yr	Fixed
Total OpEx		\$140,000/yr	Variable

The proposed microgrid should qualify for two existing incentive programs: the NY Sun program and the Federal ITC for solar PV arrays. NY Sun may cover 15% of each solar array's capital cost, and the Federal ITC may recover an additional 30%. The Federal ITC also applies to battery storage units that charge at least 75% of their energy from renewable sources, but the Project Team does not expect the proposed storage units will consistently meet this criterion. Other possible sources of incentive payments include NYSERDA Phase II NY Prize funding (up

³⁵ Solar and other non-battery capital and O&M costs provided by Siemens based on industry standard figures.

³⁶ Capital estimate includes \$160/kWh plus 30% for installation costs for a total of approximately \$210/kWh. Zinc air battery storage is a new technology that is significantly cheaper than other storage technologies because half of the electrolyte (Oxygen) is free. Companies such as Fluidic Energy and Eos Energy Storage, both members of the New York Battery and Energy Storage Technology Consortium (NY BEST), have pioneered this technology in recent years with successful results.

to \$1 million with a 25% cost share burden on the project) and NYSERDA Phase III NY Prize funding (up to \$5 million with a 50% cost share burden on the project).

Table 23. Available Incentive Programs

State and utility incentive programs that were included in the commercial/financial feasibility analysis and whether the incentive is required or preferred for the microgrid project to be feasible.

Incentive Program	Value	Required or Preferred
NYSERDA NY Prize Phase III	\$3,030,000	Required
NYSERDA NY Prize Phase II	\$750,000	Required
NY Sun	~\$400,000	Required
Federal Solar ITC	~\$810,000	Required

3.5.2 Financing Structure

The development phase is characterized by the negotiation and execution of the construction financing and debt structure and agreements with any equity partners. Awards from Phase II of the NY Prize Community Microgrid Competition would supply most of the funding for project design and development, with the SPV providing capital for any costs that exceed available NYSERDA funding. The Project Team anticipates NYSERDA to supply 75% of the required funds for Phase II with the balance coming from a cost-share. This is based on the Phase II cost structure as described in NYSERDA RFP-3044. Monticello and their Project Team would provide cash support or needed in-kind services consisting primarily of system expertise and support. Development will conclude with formal contract relationships between the utility and the customers of the microgrid, available and relevant rate and tariff information from the PSC, and firm financing for the construction of the project (described below).

The project would also need to leverage Phase III funding from NYSERDA to complete the construction phase. Phase III NY Prize funding would cover half of the capital cost of the project (estimated to be approximately \$3.03 MM in total), and private and utility funding would represent the balance of the financing.

The Project Team assumes the Sullivan County Government Center will grant the physical space to site the DERs at no cost because they will be one of the primary beneficiaries of the proposed microgrid, and the carports do not decrease the value of the parking lots in any way. The SPV will maintain ownership over all generation assets and NYSEG will own the control infrastructure.

3.6 Legal Viability (Sub Task 3.6)

Like any infrastructure project that involves development of public and private land, the Monticello microgrid project will require legal and regulatory agreements for ownership, access, zoning, permitting, and regulation/oversight. This section considers the various legal aspects of

the microgrid project and discusses the likelihood of each becoming an obstacle to the project's success.

3.6.1 Regulatory Considerations

State and Utility Regulation

The new DERs will be regulated under relevant State code, however the process for constructing small distributed energy resources in New York is well established. The microgrid will comply with all rules governing the interconnection of generation assets to the grid, and given NYSEG's close participation in the project the Project Team does not envision any onerous requirements.

Local Regulation

All entities that require the use of public ways (i.e., for transmission or distribution facilities) must be granted permission by the presiding municipal authority in the form of a franchise or some lesser consent, depending on the scope of the usage. Villages in New York have specific statutory authority to grant franchises. As provided by N.Y. Vil. Law § 4-412, every Village Board of Trustees is empowered to grant franchises or rights to use the streets, waters, waterfront, public ways, and public places of the city.³⁷ "Use" encompasses occupying public rights-of-way and operation of the provider's built infrastructure to provide the public service.³⁸ As the distribution infrastructure already exists in Monticello, new permissions for the running of lines should not be a concern. As outlined in Village zoning documents, a zoning permit is required for the modification in use of any property and this may apply to the accessory addition of distributed energy resources. Given the relatively small scale of the proposed generating assets and the municipal support for the project, the Project Team does not foresee this condition as prohibitive.

Air Quality

Diesel generators may be subject to a variety of federal permits and emission standards depending on the type of engine, the electrical output of the system, how much electricity is delivered to the grid versus used onsite, and the date of construction. The specific details associated with the proposed standby diesel generator in Monticello will determine the applicability of the regulations below. CAA regulations applicable to Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine systems, specifically for compression ignition emergency/limited use diesel generators, will apply. These regulations include:

- National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (RICE): 40 CFR part 63 subpart ZZZZ
- New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Stationary Compression Ignition (CI) Internal Combustion Engines (ICE): 40 CFR part 60 subpart IIII

³⁷ N.Y. Vil. Law § 4-412.

³⁸ See, e.g., "Contract of April 7, 1887 between Hess et al. Commissioners & Consolidated Telegraph & Electrical Subway Co." (Con Tel and Electrical Subway Company Agreements 1886-1891.pdf).

Per EPA guidance, these regulations apply to all engine sizes, regardless of the end use of the power generated. Compliance requirements for emergency/limited use diesel generators include initial notification of construction, regular reporting of operation levels, and use of ultra-low sulfur diesel (ULSD) fuel. However, further review and analysis must be conducted when details of the type and size of the generation system are confirmed.

New York state has enacted amendments to Environmental Conservation Law Articles 19 (Air Pollution Control) and 70 (Uniform Procedures) as well as DEC amended regulations 6NYCRR Parts, per the 1990 Amendments to the Clean Air Act. With this demonstration of authority, DEC received delegation of the Title V operating permit program from the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Title V Permits are required for all facilities with air emissions greater than major stationary source thresholds. New York's air pollution control permitting program combines the federal air operating permitting program with long-standing features of the state program. The primary rules for applications are found in 6NYCRR:

- [200](#) (General Provisions),
- [201](#) (Permits and Certificates),
- [621](#) (Uniform Procedures) and
- [231](#) (New Source Review in Non-attainment Areas and Ozone Transport Regions).

Final application of these rules will depend on the size and technology of the selected diesel unit.

3.7 Project Commercial and Financial Viability Conclusions

The proposed microgrid's commercial feasibility depends on NY Prize Phase III funding and additional subsidies. The Project Team forecasts yearly revenues of approximately \$115,000 and yearly operation and maintenance costs of approximately \$140,000, plus capital expenditures of \$6.06 million. The project will produce negative annual operating cash flows, and it will require subsidies to fully recover operating costs and initial investment costs.

These estimates and value propositions are predicated on several assumptions.

- Private investors will own the DERs, and NYSEG may own the distribution infrastructure. Although NYSEG has neither confirmed nor denied interest in owning and/or operating microgrid infrastructure, the Project Team views hybrid ownership as a simple, effective model that provides benefits to both NYSEG and the SPV.
- Energy produced by the solar arrays will be valued at NYSEG's average supply charge (the utility's cost of electricity, minus transmission and distribution charges). The solar arrays' capacity vastly exceeds the Government Center's, so net metering will not be possible.
- The battery storage units will produce revenues from participation in the NYISO frequency regulation market. However, this market is relatively thin and prices are far from stable. For that reason, the Project Team has used conservative assumptions for estimating the value of frequency regulation.

- NYSEG, as the local expert in energy distribution and the current owner and operator of the Village’s distribution infrastructure, may operate the microgrid. NYSEG’s existing infrastructure is used extensively in the preliminary microgrid design, so operational participation from the utility is vital to the project’s success.
- The current regulatory, legal, and policy environment will stay consistent. The proposal outlined in this report falls within the existing frameworks.

The microgrid cannot enter island mode to participate in DR programs because doing so would disconnect downstream NYSEG customers. However, if prices in the frequency regulation market decline in future years, SPV owners may bid up to 1 MW of capacity into NYSEG DR programs. The Project Team did not have sufficient data to accurately forecast savings from TOU bill management, but by intelligently deploying the battery units behind the Government Center’s meter, the SPV could realize significant revenue through energy savings and concomitant payments from the Government Center. These savings are not included as part of the conservative base case, but could represent an important value stream to SPV investors. The value of savings through TOU bill management and peak demand charge reduction at the Government Center will be explored further in Phase II of the NY Prize competition.

In addition to revenues from electricity sales, the microgrid will provide indirect financial and non-financial benefits to Monticello citizens, SPV shareholders, NYSEG, NYISO and the larger Sullivan County community. Improved energy resilience enhances the local population’s safety and quality of life during emergency outages, and local energy generation reduces the strain on the larger energy transmission and distribution infrastructure. If SPV members are appropriately compensated for these benefits, the microgrid project will attract considerably more investor interest.

Permitting and regulatory challenges should be reasonably straightforward. The primary regulatory consideration will be the Clean Air Act permitting of the new diesel generator. The SPV will also need to apply for a zoning permit through the Village of Monticello’s zoning process for the installation of the proposed DERs.

4. Cost Benefit Analysis

This section is made up of seven sections in addition to the introduction:

- **Section 1** analyzes the *facilities connected to the microgrid* and their energy needs.
- **Section 2** discusses the *attributes of existing and proposed distributed energy resources (DERs)*, including factors such as nameplate capacity and expected annual energy production.
- **Section 3** analyzes *potential ancillary services sales and the value of deferring transmission capacity investments*.
- **Section 4** reviews the *overall costs* associated with construction and installation of the microgrid as well as the fuel, operation, and maintenance costs required over the lifetime of the microgrid.
- **Sections 5 and 6** discuss the *community benefits* of maintaining power during a grid-wide outage and outline the costs associated with operating the microgrid in island mode.
- **Section 7** presents the Industrial Economics *benefit-cost analysis report and associated Project Team commentary*.

4.1 Facility and Customer Description (Sub Task 4.1)

The Monticello microgrid will include three facilities from multiple rate classes and economic sectors. There are three primary rate classes based on type of facility and annual electricity consumption: residential, small commercial (less than 50 MWh per year), and large commercial (greater than 50 MWh per year). See Table 24 for basic statistics on each facility's energy usage. Two of the proposed microgrid facilities belong to the large commercial rate class rate class requiring a total of 1,969 MWh of electricity per year. The remaining facility is a part of the small commercial rate class and consumes 48 MWh of electricity per year. Additionally, the average aggregate demand in 2014 was 0.23 MW and rose as high as 0.704 MW.

There are two kinds of facilities: public and commercial. Facilities belonging to the public sector represent the largest projected electricity loads, comprising approximately 98% of the microgrid's total annual electricity usage. The commercial sector facility makes up about 2% of the total electricity usage.

During a major power outage, the generation assets included in the microgrid design will be capable of meeting 100% of average aggregate facility energy usage, but may approach their generation limits if the facilities simultaneously reach peak energy use. In these situations, the backup diesel generator may need to come online to supply additional electricity. Some of the facilities do not operate at full capacity for 24 hours a day. For example, facilities such as the Sullivan County Government Center and Quality Gas and Convenience Store, will only operate 12 hours per day during island mode. For information on each facility's average daily operation during a major power outage, see Table 24.

Table 24. Facility and Customer Detail Benefit³⁹

Table provides details about each facility and customer served by the microgrid, including average annual electricity usage, 2014 peak electricity demand, and hours of electricity required during a major power outage.

REDACTED PER NDA WITH NYSEG

³⁹ Load data was provided to Booz Allen by NYSEG.

4.2 Characterization of Distributed Energy Resource (Sub Task 4.2)

The microgrid design incorporates distributed energy resources, including one proposed diesel generator, two proposed solar PV arrays, and three proposed zinc air batteries. The proposed solar PV arrays will produce an average of 0.14 MW of electricity throughout the year (including projected capacity factors), and the diesel generator at Sullivan County Government Center will provide up to 0.2 MW of backup generation capacity during emergencies.

Limited by weather conditions and the availability of sunlight, the combined solar PV arrays are expected to produce a total of 1,226 MWh per year (assuming a capacity factor of 14%).⁴⁰ Because many outages are caused by severe weather events, solar panels cannot be relied upon to provide energy during emergency outages without supplementary battery storage. However, on average the solar arrays will produce 3.36 MWh of electricity per day, which represents 61% of average daily electricity demand from microgrid-connected facilities. Maintenance costs for the solar arrays will be around \$20,000 per year,⁴¹ which means the marginal cost of producing solar electricity will be about 34/MWh.⁴²

The proposed zinc air batteries will not generate any electricity but will be able to act as a backup source of electricity during emergency situations. Each battery unit is capable of providing up to 1 MW of load supports, well over 100% of the microgrid's average daily demand, for up to 4 hours.

The proposed backup diesel generator will be used only in islanded situations when the proposed solar arrays or zinc air batteries are not producing sufficient electricity to meet aggregate demand or when the solar arrays go offline for maintenance. The proposed generator has a nameplate capacity of 0.2 MW. The Booz Allen team forecasts around 1.93 hours of larger grid outage based on NYSEG's CAIDI from 2013,⁴³ and therefore predicts that annual output from backup diesel generators will be insignificant. The 0.2 MW generator requires around 14.2 gallons of fuel per hour of operation.⁴⁴ In the event of a major power outage, the generator could produce up to 4.8 MWh/day—however, assuming that the solar PV arrays will require backup power during 60% of emergency outage hours,⁴⁵ this figure drops to 2.88 MWh/day. See Table 25 for a detailed list of all proposed and existing distributed energy resources in Monticello.

⁴⁰ Solar array capacity factor: 14% (NREL PV Watts Calculator).

⁴¹ Annual fixed O&M cost: \$20/kW per year (NREL, http://www.nrel.gov/analysis/tech_lcoe_re_cost_est.html).

⁴² Capital cost: \$2,700 (Siemens estimate for solar array), Variable cost: 20 years of production at a cost of \$20/kW per year (Siemens lifecycle estimate, NREL), Discount rate: 7% (industry standard discount rate; NREL <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy13osti/58315.pdf>).

⁴³ Grid outage data from DPS 2013 Electric Reliability Performance Report (NYSEG average CAIDI).

⁴⁴ Backup Diesel Generator fuel consumption rate – 14.2 gallons/hour (Cummins Power Generation).

⁴⁵ The Booz Allen team forecasts a 60% level of operation from the backup generator based on historical loads and expected generator output. In 2014, the average load in Monticello was 0.23 MW. Load is expected to exceed the solar PV arrays' maximum output for approximately 60% of time spent in island mode. Solar output is unreliable, but it should provide significant support on the most irradiated days of the year when peak demand is highest.

Table 25. Distributed Energy Resources

Table lists DERs incorporated in the microgrid, including their energy/fuel source, nameplate capacity, estimated average annual production under normal operating conditions, average daily production in the event of a major power outage, and fuel consumption per MWh generated (for fuel-based DERs). “Normal operating conditions” assumes approximately 1.16 effective hours of operation per year for the diesel backup generator.

Distributed Energy Resource Name	Location	Energy Source	Nameplate Capacity (MW)	Average Annual Production Under Normal Conditions (MWh)	Expected Daily Production During Major Power Outage (MWh)	Potential Daily Production During Major Power Outage (MWh)	Fuel Consumption per MWh	
							System fuel	Units of MMBTUs
DER1 - Carport solar PV array	Sullivan County Government Center	Sunlight	0.5	613.2	1.68	4.0 ⁴⁶	N/A	N/A
DER2 - Carport solar PV array	Sullivan County Government Center	Sunlight	0.5	613.2	1.68	4.0 ⁴⁷	N/A	N/A
DER3 - Backup generator	Sullivan County Government Center	Diesel	0.2	0.23	0.0006	4.8	71 Gallons	9.86 MMBTUs
DER4 - Zinc air battery unit	Sullivan County Government Center	N/A	1 MW/4 MWh	N/A	4.0	4.0	N/A	N/A
DER5 - Zinc air battery unit	Sullivan County Government Center	N/A	1 MW/4 MWh	N/A	4.0	4.0	N/A	N/A
DER6 - Zinc air battery unit	Sullivan County Government Center	N/A	1 MW/4 MWh	N/A	4.0	4.0	N/A	N/A

⁴⁶ Assumes 10 hours of production (daylight) at 80% of capacity.

⁴⁷ Assumes 10 hours of production (daylight) at 80% of capacity.

4.3 Capacity Impacts and Ancillary Services (Sub Task 4.3)

4.3.1 Peak Load Support

The microgrid’s proposed generation assets will operate nearly continuously throughout the year, providing a constant level of load support.

The proposed solar arrays will be at their most productive on the most irradiated days of year when peak demand events are common, thus providing peak load support when it is most needed. The solar PV arrays will provide around 0.14 MW of load support on average over the course of a year. However, because its generation depends on weather conditions and time of day, the solar arrays are not a reliable source of peak load support.

The proposed zinc air batteries will also be able to provide peak load support. Each battery unit will have the ability to provide up to 1 MW of load support for 4 hours at full charge.

See Table 26 for the maximum generation capacities of the proposed and existing DERs.

Table 26. Distributed Energy Resource Peak Load Support

Table shows the available capacity and impact of the expected provision of peak load support from each DER. Existing generator was not included because it is not expected to generate electricity outside of emergency island mode situations (diesel generator).

Distributed Energy Resource Name	Location	Available Capacity (MW)	Does distributed energy resource currently provide peak load support?
DER1 - Carport solar PV array	Sullivan County Government Center	Maximum of 0.5	No
DER2 - Carport solar PV array	Sullivan County Government Center	Maximum of 0.5	No
DER4 - Zinc air battery unit	Sullivan County Government Center	1.0	No
DER5 - Zinc air battery unit	Sullivan County Government Center	1.0	No
DER6 - Zinc air battery unit	Sullivan County Government Center	1.0	No

4.3.2 Demand Response

DR programs require facilities to curtail load or expand generation using generators or battery storage in response to forecasted or real-time peak demand events on the larger grid. Entering island mode is the primary method for a microgrid to reduce load on the larger grid and thus participate in DR programs.

Monticello’s microgrid design incorporates a new express line that connects the DERs to the microgrid facilities and a system of breakers that disconnects the facilities from their respective feeder lines in order to achieve island mode. Therefore the microgrid-connected facilities and

loads will be able to disconnect from the larger grid as a single point which will allow the microgrid to participate in NYISO and NYSEG DR programs. However, secondary effect of entering island mode is that facilities downstream from the microgrid will most likely be disconnected from the grid as well. This is a high price to pay for islanding; thus, the microgrid will only island when there is a grid-wide outage. Due to the high societal cost of entering island mode, the microgrid will not participate in DR programs. The microgrid's ability to participate in DR programs is limited to reducing energy usage or expanding energy generation on the level of individual battery units or loads. Additionally the solar arrays' variable production prevents the microgrid's reliable participation in DR programs and therefore participation in DR programs will be negligible.

4.3.3 Deferral of Transmission/Distribution Requirements

NYSEG has regional transmission challenges in Sullivan County, however it is unclear if the microgrid will directly impact the congestion, therefore the 0.14 MW of average local generation produced by the DERs will only marginally defer the need to invest in new or upgraded power lines. Although these power lines will last up to one hundred years if well maintained,⁴⁸ they can only transmit a limited amount of power. As demand for electricity in Monticello increases, the lines might need to be supplemented to handle additional load.

The same is true for distribution capacity investments on a local, feeder-by-feeder basis. However, constructing DERs could actually increase the distribution capacity investment cost in certain cases (e.g., if the assets are placed in remote locations and thus expensive to connect to the local grid). Although Monticello has ample capacity within the town, approximately 400 feet of a new express line will be need to be built to properly connect the microgrid facilities and will require a significant distribution capacity investment.

4.3.4 Ancillary Service

The proposed zinc air batteries in the Monticello microgrid will participate in ancillary services markets. Each zinc air battery unit will bid 1 MW of capacity into the NYISO frequency regulation market throughout the year. By continuously discharging or charging according to signals from the NYISO, the batteries will produce revenues for owners and improve power stability on the larger grid.

4.3.5 Development of a Combined Heat and Power System

Due to lack of natural gas pipelines in the area and lack of thermal off-takers within a technically feasible distance of the generation site, the Project Team decided to propose solar PV arrays and zinc air batteries instead of a CHP unit. Therefore, there is no proposed CHP unit for the Monticello microgrid.

⁴⁸ Professor John Kassakian, MIT: <http://engineering.mit.edu/ask/how-do-electricity-transmission-lines-withstand-lifetime-exposure-elements>.

4.3.6 Environmental Regulation for Emission

The microgrid’s generation assets will drive a net 444 MTCO₂e (metric tons CO₂ equivalent) decrease in GHG emissions in Monticello as compared to the New York State energy asset mix. The proposed generation assets will produce around 1227 MWh of electricity per year. The backup diesel generators will emit approximately 1 MTCO₂e per year, while the solar arrays will emit none. The current New York State energy asset mix would emit approximately 445 MTCO₂e to produce the same amount of electricity.⁴⁹ The microgrid’s generation assets will therefore result in a net decrease in emissions by 444 MTCO₂e.

The microgrid’s generation assets will not need to purchase emissions permits to operate and will not exceed current New York State emissions limits for generators of their size. The New York State overall emissions limit was 64.3 MMTCO₂e in 2014, and will begin decreasing in the near future. The state sells an “allowance” for each ton of CO₂e emitted in excess of the limit at allowance auctions, but does not require assets under 25 MW to purchase allowances.

Table 27 catalogs the CO₂, SO₂, NO_x, and Particulate Matter (PM) emissions rates for the diesel generators.

Table 27. Emission Rates

Table shows the emission rates for each DER per MWh and per year. Notice the rates vary drastically for each emissions type (CO₂, SO₂, NO_x).

Distributed Energy Resource Name	Location	Emissions Type	Emissions Per MWh (Metric Tons/MWh)
DER3 – Backup Diesel Generator	Sullivan County Government Center	CO ₂	0.7196 ⁵⁰
		SO ₂	0.1911 ⁵¹
		NO _x	2.9074 ⁵²
		PM	0.2046 ⁵³

⁴⁹ Assuming an asset mix of 15% coal, 31% natural gas, 6% oil, 17% hydro, 29% nuclear, 1 % wind, 1% sustainably managed biomass, and 1% “other fuel”. This adds up to around 0.36 MTCO₂e/MWh. Info from EPA (http://www3.epa.gov/statelocalclimate/documents/pdf/background_paper_3-31-2011.pdf).

⁵⁰ Diesel Generator Emissions rate: 0.72 MTCO₂e/MWh (assuming 161 lb CO₂e per MMBTU; EIA, <http://www.eia.gov/tools/faqs/faq.cfm?id=73&t=11>).

⁵¹ Michigan Department of Environmental Quality; Environmental Science and Services Division. “Potential to Emit, Diesel Fired Generator Calculation Worksheet.”

⁵² Ibid.

⁵³ Ibid.

4.4 Project Costs (Sub Task 4.4)

4.4.1 Project Capital Cost

The microgrid design requires the following new pieces of equipment at the substation and across the rest of the microgrid:

- A control system to provide one point of control for operating the microgrid and synthesizing real-time electricity data from the connected facilities.
- Intelligent Electronic Devices (IEDs) to interface with the 44 kV utility breaker at the substation as well as the smaller 12.5 kV distribution feeders.
- Automated breakers installed throughout Monticello to allow the microgrid to isolate and maintain power to the microgrid connected facilities.
- Grid-paralleling switchgear to synchronize each generator's output to the system's frequency.

The total installed capital cost of the distributed equipment is estimated to be \$537,000 and \$11,000 for the IT infrastructure. The Project Team estimates the two 0.5 MW solar PV arrays, one 0.2 MW diesel generator, and three zinc air battery units carry an installed cost of \$2.7 million, \$200,000 and \$2.5 million, respectively.⁵⁴ Additionally the cost of building new power lines is \$20,000 for an overhead installation and \$216,000 for an underground installation. This brings the total installed capital cost to approximately \$5.95 million for an overhead installation and 6.15 million for an underground installation, not including interconnection fees and site surveys. Additionally the estimated capital cost does not account for any financial incentives or tax credits that may lower the overall cost of the microgrid. See Tables 28 and 29 below for estimated installed costs for each microgrid component.

The Project Team estimates nearly every piece of microgrid equipment has a useful lifespan of 20 years. The only component with a shorter lifespan will be the microgrid control system (Siemens SICAM PAS or equivalent), which will be replaced by more advanced software after 7-8 years.

Table 28 details capital cost of the distributed equipment includes the microgrid control system and centralized generation controls that will allow the operator and electronic controllers to manage the entire microgrid.

⁵⁴ Diesel Generator Capital Cost: \$1,000/kW (Siemens Diesel estimate), Solar PV Capital Cost: \$2,700/kw (Siemens Solar PV estimate).

Table 28. Distributed Equipment Capital Cost

Table displays the estimated costs and lifespan of the distributed equipment associated with the microgrid.

Distributed Equipment Capital Costs				
Capital Component	Quantity	Installed Cost (\$ +/- 30%)	Component Lifespan (Years)	Purpose/Functionality
Microgrid Control System	1 Primary	\$50,000 (total)	7 - 8	Control system responsible for operating the microgrid sequencing and data concentration under all operating modes.
(Siemens SICAM PAS or equivalent)	1 Back-up			
Microgrid Control Center (Siemens MGMS or equivalent)	1	\$300,000	20	Provides data trending, forecasting, and advanced control of generation, loads and AMI/SCADA interface, interface to NYISO for potential economic dispatch.
Automated Pole Mount Circuit Breaker/Switch (Siemens 7SC80 relay)	3 new	\$75,000	20	Upgraded breakers/switches at overhead distribution switches. These switches isolate downstream loads from the microgrid.
	3 upgrade	\$15,000		
Generation Controls (OEM CAT, Cummins, etc.)	1	\$4,000	20	Serves as the primary resource for coordinating the paralleling load matching and load sharing of the reciprocating generator.
PV Inverter Controller (OEM Fronius, etc.)	2	\$8,000	20	Controls PV output and sends data to MCS for forecasting.
Storage Inverter Controller (OEM Fronius or equivalent)	3	\$12,000	20	These components control battery storage input/output and send live power data to SCADA and EMS for forecasting. They receive charge/discharge commands from SCADA Microgrid control.
WiMax Base Station	1	\$8,000	20	Located near microgrid control cabinet. Communicates wirelessly with WiMax subscriber units for remote control and monitoring of breakers and switches. Should be installed at high location.
WiMax Subscriber Units	4	\$8,000	20	Each subscriber unit can communicate back to the WiMax base station for SCADA monitoring and control or remote relay to relay GOOSE messaging.

Distributed Equipment Capital Costs				
Capital Component	Quantity	Installed Cost (\$) (+/- 30%)	Component Lifespan (Years)	Purpose/Functionality
WiMax configuration and testing	1	\$23,000	-	The configuration and testing of the WiMax hardware
Installation Costs	1	\$34,000	-	Installation of capital components in the microgrid

Table 29. Capital Cost of Proposed Generation Units

Table displays the estimated costs and lifespan of the equipment associated with the generation units of the microgrid.

Proposed Generation Units				
Capital Component	Quantity	Installed Cost (\$) (+/- 30%)	Component Lifespan (Years)	Purpose/Functionality
0.5 MW PV System	1	\$1,350,000	30	Generation of electricity
0.5 MW PV System	1	\$1,350,000	30	Generation of electricity
1 MW / 4 MWh Zinc air battery unit	1	\$832,000	20	Storage of electricity
1 MW / 4 MWh Zinc air battery unit	1	\$832,000	20	Storage of electricity
1 MW / 4 MWh Zinc air battery unit	1	\$832,000	20	Storage of electricity
0.2 MW Backup Diesel Generator	1	\$200,000	20	Generation of electricity

The microgrid IT infrastructure will also require Cat-5e Ethernet cables for communication between distribution switches, generation switchgear, PV inverters, and network switches. The design uses Cat-5e cabling, including RJ-45 connectors at \$0.61 per cable.⁵⁵ The total installation cost of cabling is approximately \$5.65 per foot.⁵⁶ The Project Team will use the existing cabling infrastructure to install the communications cables, thereby avoiding the high

⁵⁵ Commercially available RJ-45 connectors, \$0.30 per connector.

⁵⁶ Installation costs for Cat5e: \$5.45/ft. Component cost for Cat5e: \$0.14/ft (commercially available).

costs of trenching the proposed lines. The estimated total cost for the microgrid IT infrastructure is around \$11,000.⁵⁷

In addition to the microgrid IT infrastructure, the microgrid will need new distribution lines in order to connect the DERs to the microgrid supported facilities. The Project Team has determined the approximate cost of building these new lines is \$20,000 for an overhead installation and \$216,000 for an underground installation.⁵⁸

4.4.2 Initial Planning and Design Cost

The initial planning and design of the microgrid includes four preparation activities and total to approximately \$750,000.

1. The first set of activities are the design considerations and simulation analysis which will cost approximately \$575,000 to complete.
2. The second activity focuses on the financial aspects of the project including project valuation and investment planning which will cost approximately \$75,000.
3. The third activity focuses on the legal aspects of the project including an assessment of regulatory issues and legal viability which will cost approximately \$50,000.
4. The fourth activity focuses on the development of contractual relationships with key partners will cost approximately \$50,000.

A breakout of the initial planning and design costs are illustrated in Table 30 below.

Table 30. Initial Planning and Design Cost

Table displays estimates and descriptions for engineering, legal, and financing costs involved in initial planning and design of the microgrid.

Initial Planning and Design Costs (\$) ⁵⁹	Cost Components
\$575,000	Design considerations and simulation analysis
\$75,000	Project valuation and investment planning
\$50,000	Assessment of regulatory, legal, and financial viability
\$50,000	Development of contractual relationships
\$750,000	Total Planning and Design Costs

4.4.3 Operations and Maintenance Cost

The proposed DERs will incur fixed operation and maintenance costs, including fixed annual service contracts.

⁵⁷ The Project Team estimated ~1,900 feet of Cat5e will be necessary.

⁵⁸ The Project Team has determined that approximately 400 feet of new line is required at the cost of \$50/ft for overhead installation and \$540/ft for underground installation according to Travers Dennis at Con Ed.

⁵⁹ Estimates developed by Booz Allen Project Team and independent consultant.

The microgrid owner will also incur \$75,000 per year in total costs for annual fixed system service agreements for the zinc air battery unit. Additionally the total costs for annual fixed system service agreements for the solar PV arrays and backup diesel generator will be approximately \$20,920 per year.⁶⁰

The diesel fuel usage of the backup diesel generators is difficult to predict because they will be used only during some emergency outage situations. The average price of diesel fuel in New York State from 2013-2015 was \$3.91 per gallon, which translates to an average fuel cost of approximately \$25/MWh. The high price of diesel fuel, along with increased GHG emissions, discourages extended use of the diesel generators.

The solar PV arrays will not require fuel to operate, and should not require service outside of the normally scheduled downtime. Normally scheduled downtime should cost approximately \$20/kW per year.⁶¹

Annual service for all non-DER microgrid components will cost approximately \$70,000 per year.⁶²

Table 31 outlines all fixed operations and maintenance (O&M) costs associated with normal operation of the DERs.

Table 31. Fixed Operating and Maintenance Cost

Table displays estimated values and descriptions of the fixed O&M costs associated with operating and maintaining the microgrid’s DERs.

Fixed O&M Costs (\$/year)	Cost Components
~ \$75,000	Zinc Air Unit Service Agreement – Annual costs of maintenance and servicing of units
~ \$20,000	Solar PV System Service Agreements – Annual costs of maintenance and servicing of units
~ \$920	Diesel Generator Service Agreements – Annual costs of maintenance and servicing of unit
\$70,000	Non-DER Microgrid Components Service Agreement - Annual costs of maintenance and servicing of components

⁶⁰ \$75,000 for zinc air battery unit (\$25/kw per year), \$20,000 for solar PV arrays (\$20/kW per year) and \$4.60/kW per year for backup diesel generators (Electric Power Research Institute, “Costs of Utility Distributed Generators, 1-10 MW”).

⁶¹ NREL (projects \$0/kWh variable maintenance costs): http://www.nrel.gov/analysis/tech_lcoe_re_cost_est.html.

⁶² O&M for non-DER microgrid components: \$70,000/year (Siemens).

4.4.4 Distributed Energy Resource Replenishing Fuel Time

At full operation, the proposed 0.2 MW diesel generator will require 14.2 gallons of diesel fuel per hour at full load. The proposed diesel generator has a 340 gallon diesel storage tank installed, so at a 100% level of output this generator can operate for 24 hours without replenishing its fuel supply. Cutting output to 50% increases the maximum operation time to 48 hours.

The solar PV arrays do not require fuel for operation, but their output depends on weather and time of day. The zinc air batteries does not require fuel for operation, but are limited by a maximum output of 1 MW for a total of 4 hours each.

Table 32 shows the fuel consumption and operating times for all of the microgrid DERs.

Table 32. Maximum Fuel Operating Time for Distributed Energy Resource

Table displays the potential maximum operating times in Islanded Mode for each DER. The corresponding fuel consumption for each DER is also detailed.

Distributed Energy Resource	Location	Energy Source	Maximum Operating Time in Islanded Mode without Replenishing Fuel (hours)	Fuel Consumption During this Period	
				Quantity	Unit
DER1 - Carport solar PV array	Sullivan County Government Center	Sunlight	N/A	N/A	N/A
DER2 - Carport solar PV array	Sullivan County Government Center	Sunlight	N/A	N/A	N/A
DER3 - Backup generator	Sullivan County Government Center	Diesel	24	340	Gallons
DER4 - Zinc air battery unit	Sullivan County Government Center	N/A	4 (at 1 MW load)	N/A	N/A
DER5 - Zinc air battery unit	Sullivan County Government Center	N/A	4 (at 1 MW load)	N/A	N/A
DER6 - Zinc air battery unit	Sullivan County Government Center	N/A	4 (at 1 MW load)	N/A	N/A

4.5 Costs to Maintain Service during a Power Outage (Sub Task 4.5)

4.5.1 Backup Generation Cost during a Power Outage

All microgrid generation assets will serve as backup generators in the event of an extended power outage. The solar arrays will be available for generation during a power outage, but their production is too inconsistent for it to qualify as a true backup generator. Extreme weather is responsible for many emergency outages in New York State, and such weather will greatly reduce the output of the solar panels. However, when high state-wide electricity demand on the most irradiated days of summer causes outages, the solar panels will be at their most productive and could provide up to 0.14 MW of load support to the Monticello microgrid.

The zinc air batteries will be able to provide backup load support to the microgrid in the case of a grid wide outage. Each zinc air battery can provide up to 1 MW for 4 hours at full charge. This level of energy storage should provide sufficient power to the microgrid connected facilities during an outage.

The backup diesel generators will only come online when the solar arrays and zinc air batteries do not provide sufficient power to the islanded microgrid. Because the solar arrays and zinc air can produce 2.14 MW of power at full capacity and the microgrid's loads had an average power demand of 0.23 MW during 2014, the zinc air batteries and solar arrays should be capable of satisfying the microgrid's power demand in most situations. The diesel generator will only be necessary for about 60% of total outage time. At 60% operation the 0.2 MW diesel generator would produce an average of 2.88 MWh per day. The backup generator will require around 205 gallons of fuel per day at this level of generation. One-time startup costs or daily non-fuel maintenance costs for either of the diesel generators are not anticipated.

Table 33 shows all of the costs associated with operating the DERs during a power outage, including fuel and variable O&M costs.

Table 33. Cost of Generation during a Power Outage

Table lists each generation unit and its respective energy source. Additionally, nameplate capacity, expected power outage operating capacity, and daily average production of power (in MWh) is detailed. Lastly quantity and units of daily fuel and operating costs (both one-time and ongoing) are described.

Location	Distributed Energy Resource	Energy Source	Nameplate Capacity (MW)	Expected Operating Capacity (%)	Avg. Daily Production During Power Outage (MWh/ Day)	Fuel Consumption per Day		One-Time Operating Costs (\$)	Ongoing Operating Costs per day – Fuel and variable O&M
						Quantity	Unit		
DER1 - Carport solar PV array	Sullivan County Government Center	Sunlight	0.5	14%	1.68 ⁶³	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$27.40
DER2 - Carport solar PV array	Sullivan County Government Center	Sunlight	0.5	14%	1.68 ⁶⁴	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$27.40
DER3 - Backup generator	Sullivan County Government Center	Diesel	0.2	100%	4.8	340	Gallons	N/A	\$1,195
DER4 - Zinc air battery unit	Sullivan County Government Center	N/A	1 MW/4 MWh	N/A	4.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$68.50
DER5 - Zinc air battery unit	Sullivan County Government Center	N/A	1 MW/4 MWh	N/A	4.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$68.50
DER6 - Zinc air battery unit	Sullivan County Government Center	N/A	1 MW/4 MWh	N/A	4.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$68.50

⁶³ This output assumes that the PV array is still operational after an emergency event.

⁶⁴ This output assumes that the PV array is still operational after an emergency event.

4.5.2 Cost to Maintain Service during a Power Outage

There are no costs associated with switching the microgrid to island mode during a power outage other than the operational costs already accounted for Table 33Table. Please refer to Table 33 for one-time and ongoing costs of microgrid generation per day. The proposed microgrid has the capacity to support all the connected facilities. Facilities not connected to the microgrid will experience power outages and may need emergency services depending on the severity of the emergency event. Any other cost incurred during a wide spread power outage will be related to the emergency power (i.e. portable generators) rather than electricity generation costs.

4.6 Services Supported by the Microgrid (Sub Task 4.6)

Most of the facilities to be connected to the microgrid are municipally owned buildings that serve the entirety of the population in Monticello (such as the Sullivan County Government Center). For estimates of the population served by each critical facility, see Table 34.

Backup power supplied by the microgrid should provide 100% of each facility’s electricity demand during outage situations. However, if backup power from the microgrid is not available, the critical services provided by these facilities will be severely hampered. Some critical services do not require electricity (e.g. driving a police car to the scene of a crime), while others are completely dependent on a stable power supply (e.g. receiving a 911 call or local water sanitizing operations). Based on the portfolio of services that each facility provides and the electricity dependency of each service, Table 34 provides an estimate of how effectively each facility can perform its normal services without electricity.

Table 34. Critical Services Supported

Table details critical services supported by the microgrid during an outage. The table also shows the percentage of services lost for each facility when backup power is not available during an outage.

Facility Name	Population Served by This Facility	Percentage Loss in Service During a Power Outage ⁶⁵	
		When Backup Power is Available	When Backup Power is Not Available
Sullivan County Government Center	~ 6,650	0%	50%
Monticello Village Office and Senior Center	~ 6,650	0%	> 75%
Quality Gas and Convenience Store	~ 6,650	0%	> 75%

⁶⁵ Booz Allen estimated % loss based on energy demands and services provided for Emergency Services, Municipal Services, Health Services, and Education Services based on previous research by NIH and CDC (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1497795/>; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15898487>; <http://emergency.cdc.gov/disasters/poweroutage/needtoknow.asp>).

4.7 Industrial Economics Benefit-Cost Analysis Report

As follows is a direct cost-benefit analysis deliverable from Industrial Economics. IEc was hired by NYSERDA to conduct a benefit-cost analysis of each feasibility study. The benefit-cost analysis of the Monticello microgrid was delivered to the Project Team on March 23, 2016.

4.7.1 Project Overview

As part of NYSERDA's NY Prize community microgrid competition, the Village of Monticello has proposed development of a microgrid that would serve three facilities within the Village, including:

- The Sullivan County Government Center
- Monticello Village Office and Senior Center
- Quality Gas and Convenience Store

The microgrid would be powered by six new distributed energy resources: two 0.5 MW photovoltaic arrays; one 0.2 MW backup diesel generator; and three Eos Aurora battery units, each with 1.0 MW output and 4.0 MWh of storage. All six distributed energy resources would be located at the Sullivan County Government Center. The village anticipates that the photovoltaic systems would produce electricity for the grid during periods of normal operation. In contrast, the diesel generator and battery units would produce power only during an outage, when the microgrid would operate in islanded mode. The system as designed would have sufficient generating capacity to meet average demand for electricity from the three facilities during a major outage.

To assist with completion of the project's NY Prize Phase I feasibility study, IEc conducted a screening-level analysis of the project's potential costs and benefits. This report describes the results of that analysis, which is based on the methodology outlined below.

4.7.2 Methodology and Assumptions

In discussing the economic viability of microgrids, a common understanding of the basic concepts of benefit-cost analysis is essential. Chief among these are the following:

- Costs represent the value of resources consumed (or benefits forgone) in the production of a good or service.
- Benefits are impacts that have value to a firm, a household, or society in general.
- Net benefits are the difference between a project's benefits and costs.
- Both costs and benefits must be measured relative to a common baseline - for a microgrid, the "without project" scenario - that describes the conditions that would prevail absent a project's development. The benefit cost analysis (BCA) considers only those costs and benefits that are incremental to the baseline.

This analysis relies on an Excel-based spreadsheet model developed for NYSERDA to analyze the costs and benefits of developing microgrids in New York State. The model evaluates the economic viability of a microgrid based on the user's specification of project costs, the project's

design and operating characteristics, and the facilities and services the project is designed to support. Of note, the model analyzes a discrete operating scenario specified by the user; it does not identify an optimal project design or operating strategy.

The BCA model is structured to analyze a project's costs and benefits over a 20-year operating period. The model applies conventional discounting techniques to calculate the present value of costs and benefits, employing an annual discount rate that the user specifies – in this case, seven percent.⁶⁶ It also calculates an annualized estimate of costs and benefits based on the anticipated engineering lifespan of the system's equipment. Once a project's cumulative benefits and costs have been adjusted to present values, the model calculates both the project's net benefits and the ratio of project benefits to project costs. The model also calculates the project's internal rate of return, which indicates the discount rate at which the project's costs and benefits would be equal. All monetized results are adjusted for inflation and expressed in 2014 dollars.

With respect to public expenditures, the model's purpose is to ensure that decisions to invest resources in a particular project are cost-effective; i.e., that the benefits of the investment to society will exceed its costs. Accordingly, the model examines impacts from the perspective of society as a whole and does not identify the distribution of costs and benefits among individual stakeholders (e.g., customers, utilities). When facing a choice among investments in multiple projects, the "societal cost test" guides the decision toward the investment that produces the greatest net benefit.

The BCA considers costs and benefits for two scenarios:

- Scenario 1: No major power outages over the assumed 20-year operating period (i.e., normal operating conditions only).
- Scenario 2: The average annual duration of major power outages required for project benefits to equal costs, if benefits do not exceed costs under Scenario 1.⁶⁷

⁶⁶ The seven percent discount rate is consistent with the U.S. Office of Management and Budget's current estimate of the opportunity cost of capital for private investments. One exception to the use of this rate is the calculation of environmental damages. Following the New York Public Service Commission's (PSC) guidance for benefit-cost analysis, the model relies on temporal projections of the social cost of carbon (SCC), which were developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency using a three percent discount rate, to value CO₂ emissions. As the PSC notes, "The SCC is distinguishable from other measures because it operates over a very long time frame, justifying use of a low discount rate specific to its long term effects." The model also uses EPA's temporal projections of social damage values for SO₂, NO_x, and PM_{2.5}, and therefore also applies a three percent discount rate to the calculation of damages associated with each of those pollutants. [See: State of New York Public Service Commission. Case 14-M-0101, Proceeding on Motion of the Commission in Regard to Reforming the Energy Vision. Order Establishing the Benefit Cost Analysis Framework. January 21, 2016.]

⁶⁷ The New York State Department of Public Service (DPS) requires utilities delivering electricity in New York State to collect and regularly submit information regarding electric service interruptions. The reporting system specifies 10 cause categories: major storms; tree contacts; overloads; operating errors; equipment failures; accidents; prearranged interruptions; customers equipment; lightning; and unknown (there are an additional seven cause codes used exclusively for Consolidated Edison's underground network system). Reliability metrics can be calculated in two ways: including all outages, which indicates the actual experience of a utility's customers; and excluding outages caused by major storms, which is more indicative of the frequency and duration of outages within the utility's control. In estimating the reliability benefits of a microgrid, the BCA employs metrics that exclude outages caused by major storms. The BCA classifies outages caused by major storms or other events beyond a utility's control as "major power outages," and evaluates the benefits of avoiding such outages separately.

4.7.3 Results

Table 35 summarizes the estimated net benefits, benefit-cost ratios, and internal rates of return for the scenarios described above. The results indicate that if there were no major power outages over the 20-year period analyzed (Scenario 1), the project’s costs would exceed its benefits. In order for the project’s benefits to outweigh its costs, the average duration of major outages would need to equal or exceed 28.7 days per year (Scenario 2). The discussion that follows provides additional detail on these findings.

Table 35. BCA Results (Assuming 7 Percent Discount Rate)

Economic Measure	Expected Duration of Major Power Outages	
	Scenario 1: 0 Days/Year	Scenario 2: 28.7 Days/Year
Net Benefits - Present Value	-\$4,370,000	\$13,900
Benefit-Cost Ratio	0.5	1.0
Internal Rate of Return	-4.3%	6.7%

Scenario 1

Figure 6 and Table 36 present the detailed results of the Scenario 1 analysis.

Figure 6. Present Value Results, Scenario 1

(No Major Power Outages; 7 Percent Discount Rate)




Table 36. Detailed BCA Results, Scenario 1
(No Major Power Outages; 7 Percent Discount Rate)

Cost or Benefit Category	Present Value Over 20 Years (2014\$)	Annualized Value (2014\$)
Costs		
Initial Design and Planning	\$750,000	\$66,200
Capital Investments	\$5,990,000	\$493,000
Fixed O&M	\$1,880,000	\$166,000
Variable O&M (Grid-Connected Mode)	\$0	\$0
Fuel (Grid-Connected Mode)	\$0	\$0
Emission Control	\$0	\$0
Emissions Allowances	\$0	\$0
Emissions Damages (Grid-Connected Mode)	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$8,620,000	
Benefits		
Reduction in Generating Costs	\$795,000	\$70,100
Fuel Savings from CHP	\$0	\$0
Generation Capacity Cost Savings	\$2,670,000	\$236,000
Distribution Capacity Cost Savings	\$0	\$0
Reliability Improvements	\$166,000	\$14,700
Power Quality Improvements	\$0	\$0
Avoided Emissions Allowance Costs	\$416	\$37
Avoided Emissions Damages	\$618,000	\$40,300
Major Power Outage Benefits	\$0	\$0
Total Benefits	\$4,250,000	
Net Benefits	-\$4,370,000	
Benefit/Cost Ratio	0.5	
Internal Rate of Return	-4.3%	

Fixed Costs

The BCA relies on information provided by the project team to estimate the fixed costs of developing the microgrid. The project team's best estimate of initial design and planning costs is approximately \$750,000. The present value of the project's capital costs is estimated at approximately \$6.0 million, including costs associated with installing a microgrid control system; equipment for the substation that would be used to manage the microgrid; the IT infrastructure (communication cabling) for the microgrid; new powerlines to distribute electricity; and the new distributed energy resources (two 0.5 MW photovoltaic arrays, one 0.2 MW backup diesel generator, and three Eos Aurora battery units, each with 1.0 MW output and 4.0 MWh of storage). Operation and maintenance (O&M) of the entire system would be provided under fixed price service agreements, at an estimated annual cost of \$166,000. The present value of these O&M costs over a 20-year operating period is approximately \$1.9 million.

Variable Costs

The Project Team does not anticipate any variable costs associated with Monticello’s proposed microgrid project during periods of normal operation. Any variable costs would be limited to those associated with the operation of the diesel generator during an outage.

Avoided Costs

The development and operation of a microgrid may avoid or reduce a number of costs that otherwise would be incurred. In the case of the Village of Monticello’s proposed microgrid, the BCA estimates a reduction in demand for electricity from bulk energy suppliers, with a resulting reduction in generating costs of approximately \$0.8 million over a 20-year operating period; this estimate assumes the microgrid provides base load power, consistent with the operating profile upon which the analysis is based. The reduction in demand for electricity from bulk energy suppliers, would also avoid emissions of CO₂, SO₂, NO_x, and particulate matter, yielding emissions allowance cost savings with a present value of approximately \$400 and avoided emissions damages with a present value of approximately \$0.6 million.⁶⁸

In addition to the savings noted above, development of a microgrid could yield cost savings by avoiding or deferring the need to invest in expansion of the conventional grid’s energy generation or distribution capacity.⁶⁹ Based primarily on the capacity of the battery units, as well as standard capacity factors for solar power, the Project Team estimates the project’s impact on demand for generating capacity to be approximately 3.14 MW per year (the team estimates no impact on distribution capacity). On the basis of this figure, the BCA estimates the present value of the project’s generating capacity benefits to be approximately \$2.7 million over a 20-year operating period.⁷⁰

Reliability Benefits

An additional benefit of the proposed microgrid would be to reduce customers’ susceptibility to power outages by enabling a seamless transition from grid-connected mode to islanded mode. The analysis estimates that development of a microgrid would yield reliability benefits of approximately \$15,000 per year, with a present value of approximately \$170,000 over a 20-year operating period. This estimate is calculated using the U.S. Department of Energy’s Interruption

⁶⁸ Following the New York Public Service Commission’s (PSC) guidance for benefit cost analysis, the model values emissions of CO₂ using the social cost of carbon (SCC) developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. [See: State of New York Public Service Commission. Case 14-M-0101, Proceeding on Motion of the Commission in Regard to Reforming the Energy Vision. Order Establishing the Benefit Cost Analysis Framework. January 21, 2016.] Because emissions of SO₂ and NO_x from bulk energy suppliers are capped and subject to emissions allowance requirements in New York, the model values these emissions based on projected allowance prices for each pollutant.

⁶⁹ Impacts on transmission capacity are implicitly incorporated into the model’s estimates of avoided generation costs and generation capacity cost savings. As estimated by NYISO, generation costs and generating capacity costs vary by location to reflect costs imposed by location-specific transmission constraints.

⁷⁰ The estimate of generating capacity benefits assumes utilization of the batteries in grid-connected mode to produce power during periods of peak demand. If the batteries are used solely as a source of power during outages, the project’s impact on generating capacity requirements – and any associated cost savings – would be negligible.

Cost Estimate (ICE) Calculator, and is based on the following indicators of the likelihood and average duration of outages in the service area:⁷¹

- System Average Interruption Frequency Index – 1.03 events per year.
- Customer Average Interruption Duration Index – 118.2 minutes.⁷²

The estimate takes into account the number of small and large commercial or industrial customers the project would serve; the distribution of these customers by economic sector; average annual electricity usage per customer, as provided by the Project Team; and the prevalence of backup generation among these customers. It also takes into account the variable costs of operating existing backup generators, both in the baseline and as an integrated component of a microgrid. Under baseline conditions, the analysis assumes a 15 percent failure rate for backup generators.⁷³ It assumes that establishment of a microgrid would reduce the rate of failure to near zero.

It is important to note that the analysis of reliability benefits assumes that development of a microgrid would insulate the facilities the project would serve from outages of the type captured in SAIFI and CAIDI values. The distribution network within the microgrid is unlikely to be wholly invulnerable to such interruptions in service. All else equal, this assumption will lead the BCA to overstate the reliability benefits the project would provide.

Summary

The analysis of Scenario 1 yields a benefit/cost ratio of 0.5; i.e., the estimate of project benefits is approximately half of project costs. Accordingly, the analysis moves to Scenario 2, taking into account the potential benefits of a microgrid in mitigating the impact of major power outages.

Scenario 2

Benefits in the Event of a Major Power Outage

As previously noted, the estimate of reliability benefits presented in Scenario 1 does not include the benefits of maintaining service during outages caused by major storm events or other factors generally considered beyond the control of the local utility. These types of outages can affect a broad area and may require an extended period of time to rectify. To estimate the benefits of a microgrid in the event of such outages, the BCA methodology is designed to assess the impact of a total loss of power – including plausible assumptions about the failure of backup generation – on the facilities the microgrid would serve. It calculates the economic damages that development of a microgrid would avoid based on (1) the incremental cost of potential

⁷¹ www.icecalculator.com.

⁷² The analysis is based on DPS's reported 2014 SAIFI and CAIDI values for New York State Electric & Gas.

⁷³ <http://www.businessweek.com/articles/2012-12-04/how-to-keep-a-generator-running-when-you-lose-power#p1>.

emergency measures that would be required in the event of a prolonged outage, and (2) the value of the services that would be lost.^{74,75}

As noted above, the Village of Monticello’s microgrid project would serve three facilities: the Sullivan County Government Center; the Monticello Village Office and Senior Center; and a Quality Gas and Convenience Store. The project’s consultants indicate that at present, none of the facilities are equipped with backup generators. In the event of an outage, each facility could maintain 100 percent of service capabilities by bringing in portable generators. At the Sullivan County Government Center the costs associated with renting and running a portable diesel generator are about \$5,800 per day; without this generator, the facility would experience a loss in service capabilities of greater than 50 percent. For the Monticello Village Office and Senior Center, operating a portable diesel generator would cost approximately \$1,300 per day; without this backup generator, the facility would lose more than 75 percent of service capabilities. Lastly, for the Quality Gas and Convenience Store, operating a portable backup generator would cost just over \$300 per day; without this generator, the facility would experience a loss of over 75 percent of service capabilities.

The information provided above serves as a baseline for evaluating the benefits of developing a microgrid. Specifically, the assessment of Scenario 2 makes the following assumptions to characterize the impacts of a major power outage in the absence of a microgrid:

- The three facilities would rely on portable generators, experiencing no loss in service capabilities while the units are in operation. If the portable generators fail, the facilities would experience a loss in service capabilities of between 50 and 75 percent.
- In all cases, the supply of fuel necessary to operate backup generators would be maintained indefinitely.
- At each facility, there is a 15 percent chance that the backup generator would fail.

The economic consequences of a major power outage also depend on the services the facilities of interest provide. The analysis for each facility is based on the U.S. Department of Energy’s Interruption Cost Estimate (ICE) Calculator, and an assumed 12 hours of microgrid demand per day during an outage.⁷⁶

⁷⁴ The methodology used to estimate the value of lost services was developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for use in administering its Hazard Mitigation Grant Program. See: FEMA Benefit-Cost Analysis Re-Engineering (BCAR): Development of Standard Economic Values, Version 4.0. May 2011.

⁷⁵ As with the analysis of reliability benefits, the analysis of major power outage benefits assumes that development of a microgrid would insulate the facilities the project would serve from all outages. The distribution network within the microgrid is unlikely to be wholly invulnerable to service interruptions. All else equal, this will lead the BCA to overstate the benefits the project would provide.

⁷⁶ <http://icecalculator.com/>

- For the Sullivan County Government Center, the value of service is estimated at approximately \$60,000 per day;
- For the Monticello Village Office and Senior Center, the value of service is estimated at approximately \$23,000 per day; and
- For the Quality Gas and Convenience Store, the value of service is estimated at approximately \$7,000 per day.

Based on these values, the analysis estimates that in the absence of a microgrid, the average cost of an outage for all facilities is approximately \$13,500 per day.

Summary

Figure 7 and Table 37 present the results of the BCA for Scenario 2. The results indicate that the benefits of the proposed project would equal or exceed its costs if the project enabled the facilities it would serve to avoid an average of 28.7 days per year without power. If the average annual duration of the outages the microgrid prevents is less than this figure, its costs are projected to exceed its benefits.

Figure 7. Present Value Results, Scenario 2

(Major Power Outages Averaging 28.7 Days/Year; 7 Percent Discount Rate)




Table 37. Detailed BCA Results, Scenario 2
(Major Power Outages Averaging 30.3 Days/Year; 7 Percent Discount Rate)

Cost or Benefit Category	Present Value Over 20 Years (2014\$)	Annualized Value (2014\$)
Costs		
Initial Design and Planning	\$750,000	\$66,200
Capital Investments	\$5,990,000	\$493,000
Fixed O&M	\$1,880,000	\$166,000
Variable O&M (Grid-Connected Mode)	\$0	\$0
Fuel (Grid-Connected Mode)	\$0	\$0
Emission Control	\$0	\$0
Emissions Allowances	\$0	\$0
Emissions Damages (Grid-Connected Mode)	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$8,620,000	
Benefits		
Reduction in Generating Costs	\$795,000	\$70,100
Fuel Savings from CHP	\$0	\$0
Generation Capacity Cost Savings	\$2,670,000	\$236,000
Distribution Capacity Cost Savings	\$0	\$0
Reliability Improvements	\$166,000	\$14,700
Power Quality Improvements	\$0	\$0
Avoided Emissions Allowance Costs	\$416	\$37
Avoided Emissions Damages	\$618,000	\$40,300
Major Power Outage Benefits	\$4,390,000	\$387,000
Total Benefits	\$8,640,000	
Net Benefits	\$13,900	
Benefit/Cost Ratio	1.0	
Internal Rate of Return	6.7%	

The Project Team assumed an electricity sales price of \$0.061 per kWh in Monticello. This is the supply cost for NYSEG, the average amount spent by NYSEG to import electricity into their distribution system. On a long term, fixed volume PPA, the Project Team believes this to be the most accurate pricing model. Industrial Economics (IEc) modeled the location-based marginal price (LBMP) for the local NYISO zone to price electricity sales. The LBMP is effectively the average spot market price, peaking on summer afternoons and dropping to nearly zero in low demand hours. While the LBMP would be an appropriate price for intermittent and unreliable grid sales, the proposal herein supports reliable, continuous electricity injections into the NYSEG grid. In Monticello, the Mohawk Valley LBMP is \$33.63 per MWh,⁷⁷ or \$0.034 per kWh, a more than 44% reduction in price from the supply cost. The benefits allowed for capacity cost reductions do not bring the electricity prices to parity. This has a predictable influence on the economics of the projects and is the driving force behind the divergent cost benefit analyses developed by the Project Team and by IEc. The Project Team is unaware of any community microgrid business model or generation set that is financially self-sufficient at the LBMP.

⁷⁷ Average according to IEc cost-benefit model.

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1 Lessons Learned and Areas for Improvement

The lessons learned from the Monticello microgrid feasibility study are divided into two parts. The first part in Section 5.1.1 highlights Monticello-specific issues to be addressed moving forward. The second part in Sections 5.1.2 and 5.1.3 addresses statewide issues, replicability, and the perspectives of many stakeholder groups. These lessons learned may be generalized and applied across the State and NY Prize communities.

5.1.1 Monticello Lessons Learned

Through the Monticello microgrid feasibility study, the Project Team learned site-specific lessons applicable to other communities in its portfolio and around the state.

The Monticello microgrid proposal is constrained by three factors: the electric distribution network relative to critical facilities, the lack of natural gas, and the limited loads. The electrical feeder layout in Monticello is fairly complex, with several feeders crisscrossing the initial microgrid survey area. This restricted the number and mix of critical facilities on any given proposal layout. For instance, the courthouse and the Sullivan County Government Center are on separate feeders and therefore cannot be easily connected. Similarly, the area around the fire department and elementary school is on a third feeder, separate from each of the other two. The proposed footprint includes the most significant facility uses and loads that NYSEG and the Project Team could contain in a reasonable footprint. The second electric constraint is the proposed footprint is in the middle of a feeder, which means the microgrid cannot island for economic purposes as doing so would adversely impact downstream loads.

The lack of natural gas restricts the available energy options to solar, storage, and diesel. Solar is an excellent resource that reduces demand on the grid and brings down energy costs for many, however it is poor baseload for a microgrid given the intermittency and unpredictability. With a capacity factor of approximately 15% in Monticello, any solar array would have to be an order of magnitude larger than the aggregate loads to approach sufficient size to support an islanded grid. No matter the size of the solar, it must be paired with storage, as the Project Team has proposed. Solar does not produce electricity in the dark, overnight hours and storage is the only way to retain daytime generation for night time use. Unfortunately, though there are technically efficient storage solutions, they are rarely cost efficient. Electricity is simply not costly enough in Monticello to justify the investment in battery storage, and alternative revenue streams to support batteries, such as demand response and frequency regulation, do not offer sufficient payments to recover battery costs.

In comparison to working with a municipal utility, working with the investor-owned utilities was a more time-intensive process. As a utility with a large footprint, customer base, and transmission and distribution network, NYSEG has many issues to manage that require its attention, among which microgrids and NY Prize were just one. NYSEG ultimately allowed the inclusion of their feeder and distribution lines in the microgrid design. The result is a technically

feasible microgrid that meets NYSEERDAs critical facility requirements. A NY Prize Phase II award would require more extensive conversations with NYSEG about their role in a future microgrid on the proposed footprint and how a microgrid might utilize existing infrastructure absent direct involvement of the utility.

5.1.2 Statewide Replicability and Lessons Learned

Through the process of developing deliverables for multiple communities over several months, the Team has discovered and considered new questions surrounding microgrid development. These questions address technical viability, financial structures, policy considerations, and other constraints that could inhibit the development or expansion of microgrids in New York State.

Technical. The existing electrical and natural gas infrastructure in a community is the chief determinant of what is possible. All of the proposed loads in Monticello are on a single feeder. The microgrid facilities are in close proximity to each other requiring only one new distribution line to connect them to the microgrid. The new electrical distribution line will be necessary to isolate microgrid facilities on their own network.

There is no natural gas infrastructure in Monticello, which forces the microgrid to rely on the limited solar PV arrays, battery storage, and intermittent use of a diesel backup generator for its energy supply in island mode. The availability of natural gas infrastructure is a major contributor to positive project feasibility. In communities without natural gas, generation is typically limited to solar PV and the tie in of existing backup generation, given the high costs of battery storage and biomass and the larger footprints required for wind. Given the intermittency of solar, and the low capacity factor in New York State (approximately 15%), solar installations of a few hundred kW do not provide reliable generation for an islanded microgrid. In contrast, natural gas-fired generation provides a high reliability baseload, is relatively clean and efficient, and allows for cogenerated thermal sales if there is a proximate off-taker.

Financial. Across the portfolio of communities managed by the Project Team, natural gas availability and thermal off-takers are the leading elements of financially viable projects. Simply, natural gas generation is more cost efficient and provides highly reliable revenue streams through electricity sales, and offers thermal sales as an added revenue stream that is unavailable to a PV driven system. Given the currently high cost of battery storage options, it is difficult to make a compelling financial case for a small solar PV-battery system as a reliable baseload option. Unfortunately, there is no natural gas infrastructure in Monticello, and the project financial feasibility reflects this significantly higher cost and lower revenue.

Project financial structures are also important to consider. Revenue from these projects is driven almost exclusively by the sale of electricity and, if available, thermal sales; however, the microgrid control components may require a million dollars or more of capital investment. Ownership structures that separate cost drivers from the revenue streams may be difficult propositions, as the microgrid controls owners would have little opportunity to recoup their investment. This is especially true for privately owned microgrids in locations with reliable power supplies where islanding would be infrequent. In these cases, municipal ownership of the

generation and infrastructure would be the most effective. The exception is if the entire microgrid can be developed “behind the meter.” While it remains to be seen if utilities will allow this to transpire, a fully behind-the-meter solution in an area with moderate to high electricity prices would likely be a more advantageous financial proposition for connected facilities, as well as for generation and controls owners. Moreover, battery unit provided ancillary services have the potential to provide positive revenue for community microgrids.

Policy. State policy does not currently address community microgrids in a cohesive or holistic manner, nor have utility programs adequately recognized microgrid operations in their policies. DR is a potentially lucrative revenue stream in New York; however, current policies do not address microgrid DR participation. For instance, interpretations of the existing NYISO DR programs suggest that microgrids could take payments for islanding in times of high demand on the macrogrid. This scenario, while advantageous from a load shedding perspective, would also remove the microgrid connected generation simultaneously, leaving the macrogrid in a net-neutral position vis-a-vis the microgrid. While the nature of DR payments in such situations is not clear, the Project Team suggests explicit guidance from the Public Service Commission (PSC) and the various utilities regarding their respective policies. Due to this lack of clarity, DR revenue has generally been excluded from the Project Team’s revenue analysis.

Lastly, local community involvement is an important contributor to microgrid design success. Though even the most robust community engagement may not overcome unfavorable infrastructure, it is nonetheless imperative for steady forward progress. In Monticello, support from the utility for this effort has been strong and the community has been helpfully engaged. In other communities, as in Monticello, the Project Team has been in contact with administrators, elected officials, and non-governmental community representatives; this type of engagement is necessary to not only build support among prospective facilities but also to engage on ownership models, generation options, and other considerations that will directly affect the feasibility of the proposal. The engagement and commitment from the community is instrumental to the Project Team’s ability to make recommendations that are acceptable and reasonable to the community. In those communities that are more removed from the process it is difficult to make firm recommendations, and the Project Team runs the risk of suggesting solutions that are, for whatever reason, unpalatable to the community.

Scalability. Scalability is governed by three factors. The structure of the electrical infrastructure, defined in the technical lessons learned section above, is a key factor to expansion of the microgrid. At some point of expansion, it becomes necessary to link multiple feeders, and having proximate feeders of the same voltage and connected to desirable facilities is an important criteria. Second, widespread AMI infrastructure makes expansion far less complicated and allows for the selective disconnect of facilities that are not microgrid participants. Monticello’s microgrid is not an AMI remote disconnect based design; however, the utility of AMI is evident in other projects in the Project Team’s portfolio. Lastly, the larger the microgrid grows, the more switches and controls are need to be installed, connected, and maintained for smooth islanding

and grid-reconnect processes. In the aggregate, such infrastructure is costly and does not provide many direct returns. Utilities are also likely to push back if the microgrid grows to occupy significant portions of their infrastructure. To that end, the Project Team has worked diligently with the local utilities to find acceptable footprints that meet the goals of NYSERDA and respect the operational concerns of the utilities.

5.1.3 Stakeholder Lessons Learned

Developers. Many of the NY Prize project proposals require the Phase III award to achieve positive economics, and several more will remain in the red even with the grant. At this time there is no incentive for developers to participate in the build-out or operation of proposed microgrids that demonstrate negative returns. The potential for developer involvement is highest in communities with relatively high electricity prices and the presence of thermal off-takers; these conditions drive project profitability. Moreover, many of the municipalities are interested in part or full ownership of the projects, but either do not have available funds or lose the project economics without the available tax credits and incentives. In these situations, there may be opportunities for developers to leverage the tax benefits through design-build-own-operate arrangements.

Utilities. The Project Team often experienced problems with information flow. The Project Team would request information about feeders, switches, and other infrastructure from the utilities to inform the best possible microgrid design. However, the utilities were often guarded about providing the full data request in the absence of a design proposal, leading to something of a catch-22 in that neither party was able to adequately answer the request of the other without the desired information. These holdups were incrementally resolved to the satisfaction of both the Project Team and the utilities, but gathering data required significantly more time and dialogue than expected. The utilities may have been unprepared for the volume and detail of data requests from the Project Team, and the expected detail of the overall feasibility study may not have been fully communicated to each party.

Investor-owned-utilities in the Project Team's portfolio, including NYSEG in Monticello, were uniformly against allowing a third-party operational control of utility-owned infrastructure. This view is understandable, however it engenders a particularly difficult situation if the utility does not support the microgrid development. In such situations, the microgrid will generally be forced to construct duplicate infrastructure, with is both prohibitively expensive and against the spirit of the NY Prize. In general, utilities which support the integration of their infrastructure to the extent technically possible allow for more expansive microgrid possibilities.

Academics. Academic considerations in microgrid development may center around three areas. First, research into a relatively small grid systems with multiple generators (some spinning, some inverter-based), temporally and spatially variable loads, and multidirectional power flows may inform better designs and more efficient placement of generation and controls relative to loads. The second is optimizing financial structures for collections of distributed energy resources and control infrastructure. To-date, most microgrids in the United States have been campus-style

developments in which the grid serves a single institution and can be easily segregated from the macrogrid. Community microgrids consisting of multi-party owned facilities and generation are a new concept, and literature on how best to own and operate such developments is not yet robust. Lastly, and related to financial structures, is the idea of how a “grid of grids” would be managed and structured to provide optimal operational support and the right mix of incentives to encourage customer and utility buy-in.

Communities. Engaged communities are important, but so too are realistic expectations of what a microgrid might include. Many communities expected dozens of facilities, or entire Villages, to be included in the microgrid without understanding the limitations of the electrical and gas systems, the utility’s operation requirements, or cost feasibility. While the Project Team worked with each community to assess and incrementally refine the facilities for inclusion, there is still much work to be done communicating the infrastructural realities of microgrid development. Setting expectations ahead of future microgrid initiatives will help communities begin with more concise and actionable goals for their community microgrids.

NYSERDA. NYSERDA awarded 83 Phase I feasibility studies, providing a wide canvas for jumpstarting microgrid development in the state but also placing administrative burdens on the utilities and on NYSERDA itself. As NYSERDA is aware, the timelines for receiving information from utilities were significantly delayed compared to what was originally intended, and this has impacted the ability of the Project Team to provide deliverables to NYSERDA on the original schedule. As mentioned in the Utilities Lessons Learned above, better communication between the State and the utilities may have preemptively alleviated this bottleneck.

Second, microgrid control infrastructure is expensive, and distributed energy resources require some scale to become revenue positive enough to subsidize the controls. Therefore, some NY Prize project proposals are not financially feasible without the NY Prize and myriad other rebate and incentive programs. In practical terms, this means that, while the NY Prize will create a body of knowledge around the development of community microgrids that did not previously exist, it is unlikely to spur unbridled growth of community microgrids in the State without policy changes. This is especially true in regions with relatively low electricity costs as well as power supply and reliability problems. Additionally, many communities that require improvements to the grid for reliability and resiliency and are lower income communities, which creates the added challenge of making them harder to pencil out financially as the community cannot afford to pay extra to ensure reliability. The projects with the least advantageous financials are often those needed most by the community. This gap is not easily bridged without further subsidization from the State.

5.2 Benefits Analysis

This section describes the benefits to stakeholders associated with the project. The microgrid will provide more resilient energy service, lower peaking emissions, ensure critical and important facilities remain operational during grid outages, and support the goals of New York’s REV.

5.2.1 Environmental Benefits

New York State’s normal energy portfolio is very clean, with primary energy sources being hydropower and nuclear. However, the Monticello microgrid is powered by a group of solar PV arrays and will decrease the overall emissions per kilowatt hour (kWh). Additionally, the solar PV arrays are cleaner than all peaking assets, which come online when statewide demand is high. The microgrid’s generation assets will not exceed current New York State emissions limits for generators of their size and will not need to purchase emissions permits to operate.

5.2.2 Benefits to the Village of Monticello

Critical and important facilities in the Village of Monticello will receive resilient backup power from the proposed generation assets, ensuring they are available in outage situations and reducing the need for further investments in backup generation. The electricity generated with the solar PV arrays will also offset higher-emission peaking assets during peak demand events and lessen local diesel backup requirements. The Project Team met by phone with the community on March 3, 2016 to summarize the project analyses and provide a recommended path ahead.

5.2.3 Benefits to Residents in and around Monticello

Residents of Monticello and the surrounding community stand to gain from access to a broad range of critical services anytime the microgrid is forced into islanded operation by an outage on the grid. Even if they are not formally connected to the microgrid, all residents of Monticello and nearby surrounding communities will have access to critical facilities and other important services in the event of an outage. In the future, the microgrid could be expanded to connect more facilities.

5.2.4 Benefits to New York State

New York State will benefit from the continued localization of energy resources, reducing load and congestion on the grid. Moreover, the expansion of distributed energy resources will further the goals of REV and provide a more resilient overall grid. A successful implementation of the Monticello microgrid will provide a proof of concept for the ownership and operation of a hybrid microgrid with local utility support. It will also demonstrate the utility of a large battery storage installation in support of a community microgrid, thus far only seen in more contained, campus microgrid settings. In addition, the lessons learned described in Section 5.1 are widely applicable to the further development of REV and future NY Prize efforts into Phase II and III.

5.3 Conclusion and Recommendations

The Project Team has concluded the proposed Monticello microgrid is technically feasible and financially infeasible absent significant subsidies. The microgrid meets all of the NYSERDA required capabilities and most of its preferred capabilities.

The main barriers to completion will be obtaining funding for the project's capital costs and constructing new distribution lines needed to isolate microgrid facilities. NYSEG must also agree to the new interconnection and electrical distribution network because it will incorporate NYSEG lines and switches. The Sullivan County Government Center needs to agree to host the proposed solar arrays, battery units and backup diesel generator. Existing and proposed generation assets and microgrid components must be available for maintenance at all times. The Team is still working with the Sullivan County Government Center to ensure that they will allow a third party to service the generation assets and microgrid components located on their land. The Project Team expects these operational challenges to be resolved by the time of construction—these facilities have considerable incentive to support the project, as construction and interconnection will guarantee a reliable power supply and possibly provide distributed energy resource asset owners with new sources of revenue.

If successful, the proposed Monticello microgrid will be a source of new operational information gleaned in operating a true community microgrid within the context of a hybrid ownership model. Improved energy resilience enhances the local population's safety and quality of life during emergency outages, and local energy generation reduces the strain on the larger energy transmission and distribution infrastructure.

This microgrid project will also help accelerate New York State's transition from traditional utility models to newer and smarter distributed technologies, and it will help achieve the REV goals of creating an overall more resilient grid, reducing load and congestion, expanding distributed energy resources, reducing GHG emissions, and constructing more renewable resources. It will also encourage citizens within the community to invest and get involved in local energy generation and distribution and will foster greater awareness of these issues.

Finally, the project will demonstrate the widely distributed benefits of microgrids paired with distributed energy resources. The utility will see increased revenues and grid performance, the community will reap the positive benefits of living in and around the microgrid, and commercial customers will benefit from the value of avoided outages.

Path Ahead

Absent NY Prize Phase III, and additional support, the project will not be financially feasible. However, the Village and the utility may use the Feasibility Study as a basis for improving energy resilience and reliability in the community absent a full microgrid implementation. Expanded distributed energy resources within the footprint, even without smart controls and dedicated switches, will add more support for the grid and provide a positive value stream for the owner of the asset. If new assets are small and numerous enough, the net effect may be a

footprint that has a wide mix of facilities that can remain online and functioning through an indefinite outage. The expansion of solar in the community need not be tied to a microgrid and if the specific roof orientation and size is advantageous, numerous firms will install PV arrays at no cost to the customer or facility. While this does not create a microgrid, it does stabilize, and often reduce, electricity bills and the benefits of these savings would be magnified in a relatively lower income community such as Monticello. The expansion of solar, particularly when 3rd party owned and financed, offers a no-regrets option to help close the energy affordability gap and should be looked upon favorably.

Beyond new DERs in the community, energy efficiency is the most cost effective way to bring down energy costs and in the event that a full microgrid is implemented in the future, decreases the required generation associated with it. NYSEG and NYSERDA have numerous programs available to customers, ranging from LED upgrade rebates to full energy audits and thousands of dollars of support. These programs are not restricted to the microgrid footprint and a wide push across the Village could materially reduce energy costs and increase the financial security and discretionary spending power of Village residents. Within the microgrid footprint alone, the Project Team estimates approximately 20 kW of available efficiency savings, saving a potential \$15,000/year in electricity costs.

Appendix A

The Project Team obtained monthly metering data for the Monticello Village Office and Senior Center from NYSEG and estimated monthly data for other facilities. The following 24 hour load curves represent simulations based on facility type and estimated monthly load factor (ratio of peak to average demand). They are included in this feasibility study to show which facilities have highest and lowest load demands at different times of the day. Analyzing these load demand curves has allowed the team to develop a better overall understanding of the generation capacity needed to sustain the microgrid.

REDACTED PER NDA WITH NYSEG