#### Poll 1: Describe Current Understanding of Phase 1 Progress



Question 2: Which Source(s) do you most use to stay up to date on IEDR Program Progress?



## Poll 2: Describe Prior Engagement with Phase 2 Survey



Question 2: How clear is your understanding of the scope and progress of Phase 2 and the Phase 2 Proposal?



Very clear - I do not have any questions
Pretty clear - I have more answers than questions
Not very clear - I have more questions than answers
Not yet clear

# Poll 3: Provide Insight on Conflicting Feasibility Results

Question 1. What could account for the utilities stakeholder group reporting a much higher feasibility score for use case 1 than the other stakeholder groups?



"I think there is generally a large gap between what the stakeholders assume is available in utility systems and processes compared to what actually is. I think there is also a larger variation between utilities than expected."



"Because this is the stakeholder group that will be held accountable to deliver results."

"Conflicting feasibility results could account for this. I think interpretation of feasibility may vary significantly based on people's existing knowledge. For example, if someone isn't familiar with how it's possible to create MRTs it is going to sound a lot harder to do it than it actually is from the perspective of someone who is familiar which how it can be done. Similarly with electrification site identification, if you're not familiar with standard algorithms that can help you identify it, you're going to think it's hard. In addition, the official DAC definition still isn't out so depending on what people think it is, that could influence whether they think the topic more difficult to achieve."



"Utility Groups tend to work with disadvantaged customers more, having better utility programs for serving those customers would allow the utility groups to help the DACs better."



"Industry or sector enthusiasm."

# Poll 4: Provide Insight on Conflicting Feasibility Results\*

Question 1. What could account for the local government/ municipality stakeholder group reporting a much higher feasibility score for use case 2 than the other stakeholder groups?



"As a municipal stakeholder, I would suggest that this is part wishful thinking and partly indicative of how municipalities already try to do this work."



"Honestly, I think the biggest contributor to actual feasibility lies with the Utility and NYSERDA development team. Other stakeholders would be making assumptions about level of data maturity, availability, and effort. At the end of the day, it doesn't matter what the stakeholder groups "think" the feasibility is if the utility just doesn't have available data.



"Which municipalities responded? NYC and Mid-Hudson local governments have climate and sustainability imperatives and want to follow electrification roadmaps."



"The use case would help local municipalities identify building improvements in their areas, more clearly allowing them to determine the most successful approach to achieving the Climate Act goals CO2 reductions."





"Improved building stock information and additional information on incentives would be helpful here."

Note\*: We did not receive any responses for Poll 5.

## Poll 6: Provide Additional Prioritization on Low Response Results\*

Question 1: What is the impact of this use case on accelerating and improving New York's CLCPA goals?



Note\*: This poll corresponds to the 'Electricity emissions data for improvement of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions regulation' use case

### Poll 6: Provide Additional Prioritization on Low Response Results\*

Question 2: Considering the use case's data and technical difficulty, business complexity, and required policy changes, how feasible is this use case to implement?



The use case is feasible following resolution of technical, business, and/or policy constraints that are significant but solvable

The use case is feasible following resolution of **moderate to significant** technical, business, and/or policy constraints

The use case is feasible following resolution of *moderate* technical, business, and/or policy constraints

This use case is *nearly feasible* and ready to implement, following resolution of small, technical, business, and/or policy constraints

This use case is feasible and readily able to be implemented

Note\*: This poll corresponds to the 'Electricity emissions data for improvement of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions regulation' use case

#### Poll 7 : Provide Additional Prioritization on Low Response Results\*

Question 1: What is the impact of this use case on accelerating and improving New York's CLCPA goals?



This use case is not explicitly beneficial to these goals, but supports the end user in some capacity

This use case has some impact on the speed, scale, OR cost of achieving at least 1 CLCPA goal

This use case has some impact on the speed, scale, AND cost of achieving at least 1-2 CLCPA goals

This use case significantly impacts the speed, scale, AND cost of achieving at least 1-2 CLCPA goals

This use case significantly impacts the speed, scale, AND cost of achieving at least 3 or more CLCPA goals

Note\*: This poll corresponds to the 'Enhancing the Implementation of Customer Time-of-Use Plans for Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging' use case

### Poll 7 : Provide Additional Prioritization on Low Response Results\*

Question 2: Considering the use case's data and technical difficulty, business complexity, and required policy changes, how feasible is this use case to implement?



The use case is feasible following resolution of technical, business, and/or policy constraints that are significant but solvable

- The use case is feasible following resolution of **moderate to significant** technical, business, and/or policy constraints
- The use case is feasible following resolution of *moderate* technical, business, and/or policy constraints
- This use case is *nearly feasible* and ready to implement, following resolution of small, technical, business, and/or policy constraints
- This use case is feasible and readily able to be implemented

Note\*: This poll corresponds to the 'Enhancing the Implementation of Customer Time-of-Use Plans for Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging' use case

## Poll 8: Provide Additional Prioritization on Low Response Results

Question 1: What is the impact of this use case on accelerating and improving New York's CLCPA goals?



Note\*: This poll corresponds to the 'Developing and Implementing More Effective Clean Energy Strategies and Programs' use case

## Poll 8: Provide Additional Prioritization on Low Response Results

Question 2: Considering the use case's data and technical difficulty, business complexity, and required policy changes, how feasible is this use case to implement?



The use case is feasible following resolution of technical, business, and/or policy **constraints that are significant but solvable** 

The use case is feasible following resolution of **moderate to significant** technical, business, and/or policy constraints

The use case is feasible following resolution of *moderate* technical, business, and/or policy constraints

This use case is *nearly feasible* and ready to implement, following resolution of small, technical, business, and/or policy constraints

This use case is feasible and readily able to be implemented

Note\*: This poll corresponds to the 'Developing and Implementing More Effective Clean Energy Strategies and Programs' use case

#### Poll 9: Discuss Timeline Considerations for High Priority Use Cases

Question 1. After reviewing the 8 highest priority use cases, select the 2 that are most dependent on each other and explain your reasoning.



Accelerated Distributed Energy Resource (DER) Siting & Accessible Distributed Energy Resources (DER) Interconnection (Hosting Capacity) Information

- Accessible Distributed Energy Resources (DER) Interconnection (Hosting Capacity) Information & Determine Customer Site Hosting Capacity
- State of Distributed Energy Resources (DER) Siting & Accessible Distributed Energy Resources (DER) Interconnection (Hosting Capacity) Information
- Accelerated Distributed Energy Resource (DER) Siting & State of Distributed Energy Resources (DER) Dashboard

Accelerated Distributed Energy Resource (DER) Siting & Determine Customer Site Hosting Capacity

#### Poll 10: Discuss timeline considerations for high priority use cases

Question 1. Of the 8 highest priority use cases listed below: choose the 4 that you would group together for the first themed release of high priority use cases in Phase 2 Question 2. Please explain your reasoning for the theme and grouping selected in question 1

- A. Accelerated Distributed Energy Resource (DER) Siting
- B. State of Distributed Energy Resources (DER) Dashboard
- C. Accelerating Electric Vehicle (EV) Siting and Program Opportunities
- D. Determine Customer Site Hosting Capacity
- E. Enhanced Community Distributed Generation (CDG) Customer Data Coordination
- F. Accelerate Distributed Energy Resources (DER)/Commodity Installation Implementations
- G. Facilitate IEDR Wholesale Services
- H. Accessible Distributed Energy Resources (DER) Interconnection (Hosting Capacity) Information

#### The following groupings were submitted:

- ADBH
- ABCE
- ABEF
- GDEF "It is key to model, plan, and site DERs not only at individual homes but also for whole communities. Wholesale services are necessary to achieve CLCPA goals and the implementation of this particular use case will take years to create.
- HFAD "These are all related to facilitating DER siting"
- ADHE "I think it's fairly self-evident that it's around promoting the acceleration of DER and making it easier to scale projects and programs."
- ADBE "Hosting capacity updates will assist with accelerating the siting of resources"