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Abstract

Mortality of juvenile brook trout and water chemistry were characterized in six western Adirondack streams in northern New York State during spring 2015, 2016, and 2017 and compared with results from comparable tests done between 1980 and 2003 in many of the same streams to assess temporal changes in inorganic monomeric aluminum (Ali) concentrations, Ali-toxicity, and the role of Ali-exposure duration on mortality. Ali concentrations of 2 and 4 micromoles per liter (µmol L⁻¹) corresponded to chronic- and acute-mortality thresholds for brook trout, but prolonged exposure to ≥ 1 µmol Ali L⁻¹ also produced low-to-moderate mortality levels. The variability, mean, and highest Ali concentrations in Buck Creek (BUC) year-round, and in several other streams during spring, decreased significantly over the past 30 years. Predictive models indicate that Ali surpassed highly toxic concentrations at BUC for three to four months annually during 2001–2003 but for only two to three weeks annually during 2015–2017. The current lack of extremely high Ali concentrations indicate toxicity has declined markedly between the 1989–1990, 2001–2003, and 2015–2017 test periods, yet acid-Ali episodes can still cause moderate-to-high levels of brook trout mortality during high springtime flows. Assembled models show how mortality of brook trout in several Adirondack streams likely declined in response to the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments and offer a means to predict how changes in United States regulations that limit the atmospheric emissions of nitrogen (N) and sulfur (S) oxides, and the deposition of N and S, could affect brook trout survival and impaired stream ecosystems in the western Adirondack region.
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Focus

The mortality responses of juvenile brook trout were characterized in six western Adirondack streams each spring during 2015–2017 to better define the effects of inorganic aluminum (Al\textsubscript{i}) concentration and duration of exposure on brook trout mortality and to determine if toxicity in stream waters of this region has declined. The 2015–2017 toxicity tests were repeated in many or all of the same streams where toxicity was assessed during four prior periods (1984–1985, 1988–1990, 1997, and 2001–2003) specifically to determine if: (1) Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations, water toxicity, and brook trout mortality decreased significantly between the 1980s and present; (2) the relations between stream acidity and Al\textsubscript{i} has changed over time, (3) present-day mortality levels reflect previously established Al\textsubscript{i}-toxicity thresholds for brook trout mortality, and (4) the effects of Al\textsubscript{i} concentration and duration of exposure on brook trout mortality can be defined quantitatively.
1 Context

Forested watersheds in the southwestern Adirondack Mountains of New York State received some of the most acidic deposition in North America from at least the 1960s through the 1990s (NADP 2005), which resulted in the acidification of lakes and streams in the region. During the 1980s and early 1990s, many investigations assessed the effects of acidification on survival of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) and found that mortality was generally attributed to elevated concentrations of inorganic monomeric aluminum (Al$_i$) or more simply inorganic aluminum, which were mobilized by acidic conditions (Baldigo and Murdoch 1997; Gagen et al. 1993; Johnson et al. 1987; Van Sickle et al. 1996). During the 1990s, regional studies waned and were limited to a set of trout species and source/strain tests in 1997 (Simonin et al. 2000), and a survey of fish communities in three dozen streams during fall 1999 (Simonin et al. 2005). During a 2001–2005 study of 200 streams in the western Adirondacks, Lawrence et al. (2008b) determined that roughly half of western Adirondack streams became seasonally toxic to biota from Al$_i$ concentrations surpassing 1 µmol L$^{-1}$. Baldigo et al. (2007) also assessed brook trout survival in six western Adirondack streams during 2001–2003 and concluded that Al$_i$ toxicity (defined by Al$_i$ concentration and fish mortality) in these streams differed little among prior studies in the 1980s and 1990s, and that the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) had little or no effect on fish communities in streams of the region. Although Baldigo et al. (2007) identified Al$_i$ exposure thresholds that caused at least low (20%), moderate (50%), and high (90%) levels of brook trout mortality, the effects of exposure duration were not fully incorporated into their models.

The decreases in atmospheric deposition of sulfate (SO$_4^{2-}$) over the last 20–30 years has generally increased acid-neutralizing capacity (ANC) and decreased Al$_i$ concentrations in a group of Adirondack lakes which have been monitored since at least 1992 (Baldigo et al. 2016; Driscoll et al. 2016; Driscoll et al. 2003). While comparable trends in acid-base chemistry of streams in the Catskill Mountains have been linked to the 1990 CAAA (McHale et al. 2017), little temporal information is available to define such trends in Adirondack Mountain streams. In an analysis of 12 western Adirondack streams, however, Lawrence et al. (2011) reported that on average pH only increased by 0.28 and ANC increased by 13 microequivalents per liter (µeq L$^{-1}$) between the early 1980s and 2003–2005. Furthermore, flow-driven acidification episodes (hours to weeks long) were found to create or worsen toxic conditions in 124 out of 189 western Adirondack streams that were assessed during 2003–2005 (Lawrence et al. 2008a).
The increases in pH and ANC that have occurred from reduced acidic deposition have been limited by increases in acidity derived from dissolved organic carbon (DOC). Driscoll et al. (2016) reported that DOC concentrations increased in 29 of 48 (60%) Adirondack Long-Term Monitoring lakes between 1992 and 2013, and an increase of 23 millimoles per liter per year (mmol L\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\)) was reported for the North Tributary of Buck Creek between 1999 and 2009 (Lawrence et al. 2011). Although DOC increases have limited increases in pH and ANC, higher DOC concentrations have also driven a shift in Al speciation from Al\(_{i}\) to organic monomeric Al (Al\(_{o}\)) in Adirondack lakes (Lawrence et al. 2013), which should have reduced Al toxicity to fish. Although notable decreases in toxicity (as indicated by brook trout mortality) were not evident in western Adirondack streams during 2001–2003 (Baldigo et al. 2007), widespread increases in DOC concentrations over the past 10–15 years, along with continued declines in acidic deposition should have reduced Al\(_{i}\) concentrations and toxicity levels in streams across the region, thereby prompting recovery of brook trout and populations of other species. This study assessed the mortality responses of juvenile brook trout in six western Adirondack streams each spring during 2015–2017 to better define the effects of Al\(_{i}\) concentration and duration of exposure on brook trout survival and to determine if toxicity in stream waters in this region has declined.
Figure 1. Toxicity Test (Exposure) Cage at Buck Creek

Buck Creek gaging station and exposure cage at the beginning of the spring 2015 toxicity tests.

*Source photo credit Barry Baldigo.*
2 Goals and Objectives

The primary objective of the study was to determine if the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment (CAAA), related regulations, and changes in other climatic factors have reduced contemporary concentrations of Al$_i$ and toxicity below a threshold that would now permit native brook trout to survive for extended periods in previously acidified streams of the western Adirondacks. During 2015–2017, caged-fish exposures (Figure 1); i.e., toxicity tests, were repeated in many or all of the same streams where toxicity was assessed during four prior periods (1984–1985, 1988–1990, 1997, and 2001–2003) specifically to determine if: (1) Al$_i$ concentrations, water toxicity, and brook trout mortality decreased significantly between the 1980s and present; (2) the relations between stream acidity and Al$_i$ has changed over time, (3) present-day mortality levels reflect previously established Al$_i$-toxicity thresholds for brook trout mortality, and (4) the effects of Al$_i$ concentration and duration of exposure on brook trout mortality can be quantitatively defined.
3 Study Area and Methods

The six study streams are in the western Adirondack Mountains of northern New York State and are tributaries to the Moose River, which feeds into the Black River, and empties into Lake Ontario. The region is characterized by shallow soils and underlying geology that has low acid-buffering capacity, which make surface waters highly vulnerable to acidification. Discharge (flow), water chemistry, and brook trout mortality were assessed using 30 day (d) in-situ toxicity tests in Buck Creek (BUC), Pancake Hall Creek (PAN), Moss Lake Inlet (MLI), Bald Mountain Brook (BMB), Fly Pond Outlet (FPO), and Wheeler Creek (WHE) from April 9 to May 8, 2015; April 5 to May 5, 2016; and April 4 to May 4, 2017 (Figures 2, 3). Corresponding United States Geological Survey (USGS) station identification numbers are provided in Table 1. Detailed information about the region, study streams, test-site locations, field methods, test fish, and basic analysis of toxicity results for the present study duplicate those used in the 2001–2003 assessment (Baldigo et al. 2007), and thus, are only summarized herein.

Figure 2. Map of Study Streams where Toxicity Tests were Conducted During Spring 2015–2017

Location of study sites in streams of the southwestern Adirondack Mountains where toxicity tests were conducted during spring 2001–2003 and spring 2015–2017; modified from Baldigo et al. (2007).
Table 1. Stream Codes, Station ID, Exposure Dates, Mortality, and Number of Water (Chemistry) Samples

Includes pH and inorganic aluminum (Al\textsubscript{i}) concentrations from various 30-d toxicity tests completed in six Adirondack streams using young-of-year (YOY) brook trout during spring 2015–2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stream code</th>
<th>USGS Station ID</th>
<th>Mortality (percent)</th>
<th>Median pH</th>
<th>Median Al\textsubscript{i} (µmol L\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Min/Max Al\textsubscript{i} (µmol L\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Number of samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>April 9 to May 8, 2015</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPO</td>
<td>04253775</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.00/1.51</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMB</td>
<td>04253770</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.40/2.79</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLI</td>
<td>04253715</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.57/3.43</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>434945074515901</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>0.83/7.15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHE</td>
<td>434414074445701</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>3.00/8.10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUC</td>
<td>04253296</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>1.84/7.14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>April 5 to May 5, 2016</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPO</td>
<td>04253775</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.37/0.85</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMB</td>
<td>04253770</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.54/1.42</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLI</td>
<td>04253715</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.52/1.84</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>434945074515901</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.78/3.31</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHE</td>
<td>434414074445701</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.01/4.28</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUC</td>
<td>04253296</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.29/3.96</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>April 4 to May 4, 2017</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPO</td>
<td>04253775</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.32/0.74</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMB</td>
<td>04253770</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.88/2.15</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLI</td>
<td>04253715</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.90/2.37</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>434945074515901</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>1.62/5.34</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHE</td>
<td>434414074445701</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>2.96/7.51</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUC</td>
<td>04253296</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>2.11/4.93</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. Cages and Plastic Bottles Used for Brook Trout Toxicity Tests

Cages and screen-sided plastic bottles used for 30-d exposures of young-of-year (YOY) Brook Trout *Salvelinus fontinalis* to waters of six Adirondack streams during spring 2015–2017.

*Source photo credit Barry Baldigo.*

Stream stage at BUC during the three-year study was measured at 15-minute intervals using a submersible pressure transducer and recorded with an electronic data logger. Continuous discharge records for BUC were determined using standard USGS methods (Turnipseed and Sauer 2010). Discharge was not recorded continuously at the other five study sites, but it was measured four to five times during the 30-d test periods each year at each site.
Water chemistry was determined from grab samples collected at each site every one to three days during all toxicity test periods. Chemistry at BUC was supplemented by biweekly grab samples collected year-round and by automated samples collected during high-runoff events from April through November. All stream-water samples collected from October 1, 2013 to September 30, 2017 were used to define the relations between discharge and Al$_i$ concentrations at BUC using nonlinear regression analyses.

All water samples collected from the study streams during test periods in 2001–2003 and 2015–2017 were analyzed at the USGS New York Water Science Center Soil and Low-Ionic-Strength Water Quality Laboratory (USGS Troy Laboratory) for pH, ANC, calcium (Ca$^{2+}$), magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$), sodium (Na$^+$), potassium (K$^+$), SO$_4^{2-}$, nitrate (NO$_3^-$), chloride (Cl$^-$), DOC, silicon (Si), ammonium (NH$_4^+$), total monomeric aluminum (Al$_i$), and Al$_o$ according to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approved methods.$^2$ Concentrations of Al$_i$ in each sample were calculated as the difference between Al$_i$ and Al$_o$. Sample-handling procedures, quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures, and QA/QC data are summarized in Lincoln et al. (2009). Concentrations of Al$_i$ from other water samples collected at BUC, outside of the toxicity-test periods between 1997 and 2017, were derived from measurements of Al$_i$ and Al$_o$ done at either the USGS Troy Laboratory or the Adirondack Lakes Survey Corporation laboratory in Ray Brook, NY (ALSC laboratory) following the same EPA approved method. Additionally, concentrations of Al$_i$ and Al$_o$ measured in samples from BUC, FPO, and BMB during the period 1989 through 1992 were analyzed at the ALSC laboratory using the method described in Wigington et al. (1996).

Young-of-year (YOY) brook trout from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) hatchery in Rome, NY were exposed (in cages) to stream waters for approximately 30 days each spring following the same procedures used by Johnson et al. (1987). Trout were transported to the control stream (FPO) in submerged 4-liter plastic, screen-sided jars (five fish per jar) and placed into larger holding chambers to acclimate for 24 hours prior to the start of tests. The next day, 20 trout (four jars with five fish each) were transported to each study stream and placed into the screen sided holding chamber (Figure 3). Trout mortality was checked and recorded daily for the first four days, then every two to three days during exposures.
Study objectives and goals were addressed through four analyses. First, the 2015–2017 trout mortality and water chemistry data from all streams were summarized to characterize present-day toxicity levels (mortality responses) and to determine if the results reflect previously established Al₃-toxicity thresholds for brook trout survival/mortality. Second, the relations between brook trout mortality and Al₃ concentration were compared across the five toxicity-test periods to determine if the apparent relations differed among periods and (or) changed through time. The concentrations of Al₃ that were measured during the last two periods, and since 1989 at BUC, were also evaluated to determine if significant temporal declines were evident. Third, results from the 2001–2003 and 2015–2017 toxicity tests were merged and used in logistic regressions to quantify brook trout mortality responses to differing pH, ANC, and Al₃ concentrations, as well as to various Al₃ concentrations and exposure durations. Fourth, to better understand the significance of elevated Al₃ concentrations to brook trout survival and their populations, the duration of toxic conditions during 2015, 2016, and 2017 was estimated using the daily discharge record, flow-duration curves, and the relations between discharge and Al₃ levels at BUC. The specific steps for each of these analyses are described more thoroughly in Baldigo et al. (2020).
4 Project Findings

4.1 Stream Discharge and Chemistry

Mean daily discharge at BUC during the 2015, 2016, and 2017 toxicity-test periods averaged 0.159, 0.082, and 0.158 cubic meters per second (m$^3$ s$^{-1}$; 5.60, 2.88, and 5.57 cubic feet per second [ft$^3$ s$^{-1}$]), respectively (Baldigo et al. 2020). All discharge measurements made at each ungauged site (FPO, MLI, BMB, PAN, and WHE) during the spring 2015–2017 tests averaged 32%, 63%, 40%, 31%, and 229%, respectively, of the corresponding average of all discharge values logged at the gaged site (BUC) on the same dates and times. The relations between discharge at BUC and discharge at each of the other five streams were relatively strong ($R^2$ values ranged from 0.80 to 0.97). The daily discharge record at BUC (Figure 4) suggested that rainfall and (or) snowmelt events frequently elevated flows at all study streams during the 2015 and 2017 toxicity tests, but that only one moderate event occurred during the 2016 test period. Discharge data for BUC (USGS station ID, 04253296) are available through the USGS National Water Information System (NWIS) (USGS 2018).

Figure 4. Daily Discharge at Buck Creek (BUC) During 2015, 2016, and 2017

Daily discharge (in cubic meters per second) measured or estimated at Buck Creek (BUC) during the 30-d toxicity test periods (identified by shaded columns) in calendar years 2015, 2016, and 2017.
Stream chemistry varied considerably among streams and years. Median Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations were near or less than 2 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} at FPO, BMB, and MLI during all three years; whereas, they were ≥ 4 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} at PAN, BUC, and WHE during 2015; < 3.0 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} at the same three sites during 2016; and ≥ 4 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} only at PAN and WHE during 2017 (Table 1). Measured and extrapolated Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations did not exceed 2 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} at FPO during any test year; however, they surpassed 2 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} at BMB and MLI for one to three days during 2017 and for 16 to 17 days during 2015 (Figures 5A, 6A, and 7A). The Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations at PAN, WHE, and BUC surpassed 2 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} for three to 30 days during each of the 30-d exposure periods in 2015–2017. Concentrations of Al\textsubscript{i} at FPO, BMB, and MLI did not exceed 4 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} anytime during 2015–2017. Although Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations at PAN, WHE, and BUC generally did not surpass 4 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} during 2016, it was exceeded at the other three streams for three to 20 days during 2015 and 2017 (Figures 5A, 6A, and 7A). Original chemistry data from all samples collected during 2015–2017 (and 2001–2003) at each study site are available by USGS station ID (see Table 1) and sample dates through the USGS National Water Information System (NWIS) (USGS 2018).

**Figure 5. Daily Al\textsubscript{i} Concentrations and Brook Trout Mortality During 2015 Toxicity Tests**

Daily measurements or estimates of Al\textsubscript{i} concentration (A) and brook trout mortality (B) at each of six western Adirondack streams during toxicity tests done in the spring of 2015.
Figure 6. Daily Al\textsubscript{i} Concentrations and Brook Trout Mortality During 2016 Toxicity Tests

Daily measurements or estimates of Al\textsubscript{i} concentration (A) and brook trout mortality (B) at each of six western Adirondack streams during toxicity tests done in the spring of 2016.
Figure 7. Daily Al\textsubscript{i} Concentrations and Brook Trout Mortality During 2017 Toxicity Tests

Daily measurements or estimates of Al\textsubscript{i} concentration (A) and brook trout mortality (B) at each of six western Adirondack streams during toxicity tests done in the spring of 2017.

Baldigo et al. (2020) found that discharge was strongly related to Al\textsubscript{i} concentration and could account for 55\% of the variability in year-round Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations at BUC. These authors showed in Supplemental Figure S2 of Baldigo et al. (2020) that discharge levels of 0.02, 0.04, and 0.26 m\textsuperscript{3} s\textsuperscript{-1} corresponded to key Al\textsubscript{i} concentration thresholds of 1, 2, and 4 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. Baldigo et al. (2020) also defined the cumulative daily flow-duration curve for calendar years 2015–2017 at BUC in Supplemental Figure S3 of Baldigo et al. (2020) which indicates that the 4 µmol Al\textsubscript{i} L\textsuperscript{-1} threshold (0.26 m\textsuperscript{3} s\textsuperscript{-1}) was exceeded 4.4\% of the time (1.6 months), 2 µmol Al\textsubscript{i} L\textsuperscript{-1} (0.04 m\textsuperscript{3} s\textsuperscript{-1}) was exceeded 49\% of the time (17.9 months), and 1 µmol Al\textsubscript{i} L\textsuperscript{-1} (0.02 m\textsuperscript{3} s\textsuperscript{-1}) was surpassed 73\% of the time (26.7 months) during the 36-month study period. The durations for which Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations exceeded these three thresholds were highly variable among years. For example, the flow associated with the 2 µmol Al\textsubscript{i} L\textsuperscript{-1} threshold (0.04 m\textsuperscript{3} s\textsuperscript{-1}) at BUC was exceeded 37.3\% (4.5 months), 48.4\% (5.9 months), and 61.9\% (7.5 months) of the time during calendar years 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively.
4.2 Brook Trout Mortality and Relation to Al\textsubscript{i} Concentration

Like most prior toxicity-test results, mortality of YOY brook trout during the 2015–2017 exposures differed among streams and years (Table 1). During the 2015 tests, no brook trout died at FPO and BMB, 10% died at MLI, and 90 to 100% of trout died at PAN, WHE, and BUC (Figure 5B). During the 2016 tests, no brook trout died at FPO, BMB, and MLI; and only 18 to 60% of trout died at PAN, WHE, and BUC (Figure 6B). During the 2017 tests, no trout died at FPO, BMB, and MLI; and 95 to 100% of trout died at PAN, WHE, and BUC (Figure 7B). Daily observations (and extrapolations) of the numbers of brook trout that were alive and dead during all toxicity tests done during 2001–2003 and 2015–2017 are available in Baldigo and George (2019).

The relation between brook trout mortality and median Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations during the 2015–2017 toxicity tests was as strong and significant ($R^2 = 0.76; \text{P} < 0.0001$) and generally comparable to those from the four earlier test periods; yet several important differences were evident. First, the slope of the 2015–2017 mortality-Al\textsubscript{i} relation was greater than, and significantly different from, the slopes of the relations observed during all other test periods (Figure 8). Second, median Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations were much lower than those from most earlier test periods as reported in Baldigo et al. (2007); they were frequently in the 1 to 2 µmol L$^{-1}$ range and did not exceed 8 µmol L$^{-1}$ during any 2015–2017 test (Table 1). While extremely high Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations were not evident during most 2015–2017 tests, data in Figure 8 and Table 1 show that high and complete (90 to 100%) mortality still occurred at several sites during two of the three years.

4.3 Temporal Trends in Al₃⁺ Concentrations and Toxicity

Declining Al₃⁺ concentrations and reduced brook trout mortality levels indicate that the toxicity of local stream waters decreased markedly over the past 10 or 15 years. Toxicity trends were evaluated by assessing (a) mean mortality responses during the five test periods (1984–1985, 1988–1990, 1997, 2001–2003, and 2015–2017), (b) Al₃⁺ concentrations from spring toxicity tests during the last three test periods (1989–1990, 2001–2003, and 2015–2017), and (c) temporal trends in Al₃⁺ concentrations from water samples collected at BUC between 1989 and 2017. One-way ANOVA results indicate that mean mortality levels for all (pooled) sites during each of the five test periods ranged from 30 to 60% and did not differ significantly among periods ($P = 0.328$), but that the mean mortality levels ranged from 0 to 62% at individual sites and differed among years ($P = 0.061$). While year-to-year differences in brook trout mortality levels at several sites were only significant at $\alpha = 0.061$, there was no consistent decreasing trend in pooled mortality results from all study streams over the five test periods.
Additional one-way ANOVA results indicate that temporal declines in Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations during springtime test periods were significant within individual streams. While Al\textsubscript{i} data are only available from several samples collected at study streams during the first and third test periods, extensive chemistry sampling during the second and two most-recent test periods indicate that the maximum, 75th percentile, mean, and median Al\textsubscript{i} measurements from all samples collected at five of the six streams were lower during 2015–2017 than during 2001–2003 and 1989–1990 as shown in Supplemental Figure S4 of Baldigo et al. (2020). Data from BUC collected since 1997 also show that mean Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations from routine (weekly, biweekly, and monthly) water samples decreased significantly (ANOVA; $P < 0.0001$) by approximately 0.00043 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} per day between 1997 and 2017, and by a total of 5.5 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} between 1989 and 2017 (Figure 9). Direct comparisons between Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations measured during the 1989–1990 tests and the two recent test periods may not be entirely accurate because the method used to analyze Al\textsubscript{i} during 1989–1990 (Wigington et al. 1996) differed from that used during 1997 to 2017. The 1989–1990 data suggest, however, that extremely high Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations (> 15 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1}) were common during this period, and that Al\textsubscript{i} levels above 10 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} have not occurred since 2002 (Figure 9). While Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations from at least three study streams continued to reach acutely toxic levels during the 2015–2017 tests, the recent absence of extremely high Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations in most study streams, and the steady decline in Al\textsubscript{i} levels in BUC between at least 1997 and 2017, suggest that Al\textsubscript{i} toxicity has declined substantially in these and other acid-sensitive streams throughout the western Adirondacks.
4.4 Probability of Brook Trout Death

All logistic equations describing the probability of brook trout death (essentially 100% mortality) based on median Al₃, pH, and ANC concentrations during the 2001–2003 and 2015–2017 tests were significant, yet only explained from 13.8 to 20.5% of the deviances in probabilities as noted in Table 2 of Baldigo et al. (2020). The two equations using mean and cumulative Al₃ concentrations were also significant; mean Al₃ explained 26.6% of the deviance, whereas, cumulative Al₃ explained 35.4% of the deviances as noted in Table 2 of Baldigo et al. (2020). The simple curve depicting the probability of death versus mean Al₃ concentration as noted in Supplemental Figure S5 of Baldigo et al. (2020) is useful in that it predicts low (20%), moderate (50%), and high (90%) mortality levels when mean Al₃ concentrations are in the 3–4, 5–6, and 9 µmol L⁻¹ ranges, respectively, over 30-d exposure periods. However, no temporal element is factored into this model; that is, it is fixed at the final exposure duration of 30 d.
The effects of exposure duration on survival can be defined by logistic equations that predict the probability of brook trout death based on the total number of days in which Al\textsubscript{i}-concentrations exceed 0 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} and are ≥ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} during all 30-d exposures during 2001–2003 and 2015–2017. All such equations were significant and those using the total number of days that Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations were ≥ 2, 3, or 4 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} explained 29.2 to 33.1% of the deviances as noted in Table 2 of Baldigo et al. (2020). The curves in Figure 10A indicate that death is highly probable (≥ 0.90) if Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations equal or exceed 8 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} for 8 d or more, 4 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} for 21 d or more, or 2 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} for 30 d or more. Although the predictions are limited to the length of our toxicity tests, extrapolating the curves beyond 30 d suggests that death is also likely if Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations surpass 1 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} for about 40 d or more. These equations predict the probability of death for an individual fish, as well as the percentage of individual fish from a larger group which can be expected to succumb when exposed to the same Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations and durations.
Figure 10. Probability of Brook Trout Mortality at Different Al₃ Concentrations and Durations

Logistic equations and 95% confidence intervals that define the probabilities for observing (A) death, (B) 90% mortality, (C) 50% mortality, and (D) 20% mortality in YOY brook trout when Al₃ concentrations in Adirondack streams exceed 0 µmol L⁻¹, 1 µmol L⁻¹, 2 µmol L⁻¹, 4 µmol L⁻¹, and 8 µmol L⁻¹ for exposure durations ranging from 0 to 30 days during spring 2001–2003 and 2015–2017.
4.5 Probabilities for Different Levels of Brook Trout Mortality

A separate set of logistic equations, that predict the probability for low (≥ 20%), moderate (≥ 50%), and high (≥ 90%) levels of brook trout mortality, also quantify the shifting risk of past, present, and future Al₃⁺ conditions in the study streams and in other streams across the region. The probabilities for observing at least 90% (Figure 10B), 50% (Figure 10C), and 20% (Figure 10D) mortality in YOY brook trout when exposed to waters of differing median Al₃⁺ concentrations are defined by equations in Table 3 of Baldigo et al. (2020). These curves depict archetypical mortality responses to toxic conditions; that is, little or no mortality at low levels (regardless of exposure time) and high mortality at elevated levels (even after short exposure periods) (Breck 1988). For several examples, the equations for at least 90% mortality (Figure 10B) indicate that the probability for high levels of mortality in an individual stream or group of streams could reach (a) 0.44 in 30 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were > 0 and < 1 µmol L⁻¹, (b) 0.60 in 30 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were ≥ 1 and < 2 µmol L⁻¹, (c) 0.87 in 30 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were ≥ 2 and < 4 µmol L⁻¹, (d) 1.00 in 25 to 30 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were ≥ 4 and < 8 µmol L⁻¹, and (e) 1.00 in 7 to 8 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were ≥ 8 µmol L⁻¹. The equations for at least 50% mortality (Figure 10C) show that the probability for moderate levels of mortality would reach (a) 0.45 in 30 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were > 0 and < 1 µmol L⁻¹, (b) 0.65 in 30 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were ≥ 1 and < 2 µmol L⁻¹, (c) 0.90 in 30 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were ≥ 2 and < 4 µmol L⁻¹, (d) 1.00 in 25 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were ≥ 4 and < 8 µmol L⁻¹, and (e) 1.00 in 5-6 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were ≥ 8 µmol L⁻¹. The equations for at least 20% mortality (Figure 10D) indicate that the probability for low levels of mortality would reach (a) 0.60 in 30 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were > 0 and < 1 µmol L⁻¹, (b) 0.80 in 30 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were ≥ 1 and < 2 µmol L⁻¹, (c) 1.00 in 30 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were ≥ 2 and < 4 µmol L⁻¹, (d) 1.00 in 14 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were ≥ 4 and < 8 µmol L⁻¹, and (e) 1.00 in 4 to 5 d if median Al₃⁺ levels were ≥ 8 µmol L⁻¹.
4.6 Implications of Results

Our results generally support the prior findings from Baldigo et al. (2007) but also improve our quantitative understanding of the role of Al₃ concentration and exposure duration as a control of brook trout mortality and resident populations. If model probabilities are assumed to correspond directly to percent mortality, then the equations developed in this study indicate that (1) any measurable Al₃ in stream waters put YOY brook trout at risk of death and (2) exposure to median Al₃ concentrations ≥ 1 µmol L⁻¹ could lead to substantial (≥ 60%) mortality if durations approach 30 d. These equations also confirm that a median Al₃ concentration of 2 µmol L⁻¹ is an appropriate threshold for low-to-moderate mortality levels (≥ 20% or ≥ 50%) and that 4 µmol L⁻¹ is an appropriate threshold for high mortality levels (≥ 90%) for YOY brook trout in streams of the region. Our models (Figure 10A) predict that ≥ 20% of brook trout will die if median Al₃ concentrations surpasses 2 µmol L⁻¹ for 9 d and that ≥ 50% of brook trout will die if median Al₃ surpass 2 µmol L⁻¹ for 17–18 d. Similarly, ≥ 50% of brook trout will succumb if median Al₃ concentrations surpasses 4 µmol L⁻¹ for 10–11 d, and ≥ 90% of brook trout will die if median Al₃ surpasses 4 µmol L⁻¹ for 21 d. Median Al₃ concentrations ≥ 8 µmol L⁻¹ are severely toxic to YOY brook trout and can produce at least 50% mortality after 3 d and at least 90% mortality levels after 8–9 d of exposure. Furthermore, the probability of moderate (≥ 50%) mortality reaches 0.90 after 29 d at 2 µmol L⁻¹ and after 16 d at 4 µmol L⁻¹; whereas, the probability of high (≥ 90%) mortality reaches 0.90 after 19 d at 4 µmol L⁻¹ and after four to five days at 8 µmol L⁻¹ (Figure 10B, 10C). Although the various Al₃ exposure concentrations and durations likely interact to yield more of a continuum in effects (mortality), several Al₃ thresholds and mortality levels noted herein appear to reflect gradients in the condition of local brook trout populations.

Results for brook trout mortality in some of the most recent toxicity tests would initially appear to indicate that toxicity of stream waters in the region has not changed markedly since the 2001–2003 tests were done; that is, over the past 14–16 years. While brook trout mortality levels were usually less than 25% at FPO, BMB, and MLI during the 2015–2017 and 2001–2003 tests, mortality levels often reached 100% at PAN, BUC, and WHE during both periods. Since Baldigo et al. (2007) previously determined that brook trout mortality levels did not change significantly from levels observed during comparable tests performed prior to the 2001–2003 tests, it could logically be inferred that toxicity of local stream waters has not changed in a biologically relevant way between 1984 and 2017 despite large decreases in acidic deposition and Al₃ concentrations. Although Al₃ concentrations have decreased between the two test periods, their low-to-moderate levels still exceed critical survival thresholds for long-enough durations to cause high mortality in several study streams. Thus, changes in the duration that Al₃ concentrations surpass important survival thresholds may be the best approach to gaging changes
in toxicity (and recovery) of acidified streams across the region. The changes in water chemistry at BUC, and presumably other study streams since the late 1980s and early 1990s, however, suggest that biologically meaningful decreases in Al₃ concentrations occurred in response to regional declines in acidic deposition. Figure 9 shows that concentrations of Al₃ at BUC decreased steadily from a mean of about 5 µmol L⁻¹ in 1997 to a mean of less than 2 µmol L⁻¹ in 2017, and that mean and peak concentrations at BUC (and other study sites) were probably much greater in 1989–1990 than in 2001–2003 and 2015–2017 as shown in Supplemental Figure S4 from Baldigo et al. (2020). Data in this figure also show that the interquartile ranges and mean Al₃ concentrations were significantly lower during the 2015–2017 tests than during the 2001–2003 tests (at most study streams) and during the 1989–1990 tests (at BUC and BMB).

Despite the large decreases in both mean and peak Al₃ concentrations at BUC and other study streams, expected decreases in toxicity levels were not evident during spring 2015–2017 tests. This result may be explained by examining the relations between median Al₃ concentrations and brook trout mortality (at the end of 30-d exposures) during the five test periods (Figure 8). Except for 1988–1990, the slopes of all prior relations were not significantly different from each other (Baldigo et al. 2007), but the slope for 2015–2017 was significantly steeper than during all other test periods. This shift implies that either the sensitivity of brook trout to Al₃ changed over time, which is unlikely (because the source and strain of most test fish had not changed), or that the underlying range of Al₃ concentrations has changed, and brook trout die at high rates above a relatively low Al₃ concentration (effect) threshold. The large declines in Al₃ concentrations in waters from several study streams support the latter implication. In effect, extremely high Al₃ concentrations no longer occur, but they still surpass thresholds of 2, 3, or 4 µmol Al₃ L⁻¹ for sufficiently long-enough durations to cause high levels of brook trout mortality in some streams of the region.

The effects of concentration and exposure duration on fish mortality (or survival) should be considered together to determine the toxicity of Al₃ to brook trout that experience the dynamic chemical regimes of streams in the western Adirondacks. Our probabilistic models (Figure 10) essentially quantify the relations between mortality levels and Al₃-concentration durations. They provide a means to postulate population responses to changing rates of acid deposition and to predict the presence (or absence) and the condition of brook trout populations in streams with well-defined or predicted Al₃ concentration and duration regimes. For example, the likelihood of extant brook trout populations in un-surveyed streams within the region may be inferred using models in Figure 10A. If present-day chemistry data show that median Al₃ concentrations do not exceed 2 µmol L⁻¹ for more than 10 days each year, then the probability...
of brook trout mortality is ≤ 0.21 and the probability of finding at least some brook trout at such a site would be ≥ 0.79. As another example, the models can predict how potential changes in U.S. secondary standards for target loads of nitrogen (N) and sulfur (S) deposition and stream ANC levels (EPA 2009) would likely affect brook trout mortality and possibly their populations in one or more streams of the region. Given that Al₃ concentrations are strongly related to ANC at BUC, as per Supplemental Figure S4 in Baldigo et al. (2020), we can predict that median Al₃ concentrations in two hypothetical streams with present-day mean or median ANC levels of 0 and 30 µeq L⁻¹ would be about 5 and 1.4 µmol L⁻¹, respectively. Presuming these Al₃ concentrations occur for at least 30 d each year, then the probabilities for brook trout mortality in both case streams would be > 0.99 and > 0.65 (Figure 10A), respectively, and the probability for extant brook trout would be low (< 0.01) and moderate (< 0.35), respectively. Emission reduction scenarios that increase ANC of streams in the region by 20 µeq L⁻¹ (from 0 to 20 µeq L⁻¹ and from 30 to 50 µeq L⁻¹) would decrease median Al₃ concentrations in the two case streams to about 2.5 and 0.5 µmol L⁻¹, respectively, and reduce the probabilities for mortality to > 0.90 and > 0.45, respectively. These changes could increase the probabilities for extant brook trout from < 0.01 to < 0.10 and from < 0.35 to < 0.55, respectively, and likely increase the density of resident populations in both case streams.

When combined with continuous Al₃ and discharge records, the brook trout response models illustrate how long-term declines in Al₃ concentrations may have affected brook trout survival and their wild populations over the past three decades. The continuous (15 minute, hourly, or daily) discharge data at BUC can be used to develop continuous Al₃ records because they are moderately related on an annual basis, as per Supplemental Figure S2 in Baldigo et al. (2020), and more strongly related on a seasonal basis ($R²$ values as high as 0.73) (Baldigo et al. 2007). This relation indicates that a discharge of 0.04 m³ s⁻¹ corresponds to 2 µmol Al₃ L⁻¹ and a discharge of 0.26 m³ s⁻¹ corresponds to 4 µmol Al₃ L⁻¹ at BUC. The 2015–2017 daily discharge-duration curve for BUC, as per Supplemental Figure S2 in Baldigo et al. (2020), shows that Al₃ effect thresholds of 2 and 4 µmol L⁻¹ were exceeded 50% and 5% of the time, respectively. This means that Al₃ concentrations at BUC currently exceed 2 µmol L⁻¹ for roughly six months each year and they exceed 4 µmol L⁻¹ for two to three weeks each year. The logistic models in Figure 10A indicate that the present-day probabilities for brook trout mortality at BUC, based on exceedance times for 2 and 4 µmol L⁻¹, is 1.00 and 0.67-0.91, respectively. Analogous analyses at BUC during 2001–2003 found that Al₃ concentrations exceeded 4 µmol L⁻¹ for three to four months each year (Baldigo et al. 2007). Although the probabilities for brook trout mortality at BUC reached 1.00 for part of each year in 2001–2003 and in 2015–2017, the duration that Al₃ concentrations were highly toxic (≥ 4 µmol L⁻¹) declined radically between the two test periods.
The results from recent fish surveys done in 48 western Adirondack streams help demonstrate how various Al concentration thresholds and associated probabilities for brook trout mortality correspond to the condition of resident populations in local streams, and in other streams across the region. Although many physical, chemical, and biological factors act in concert to regulate density and biomass of natural populations, these models can predict the potential or probable effects on their populations—due to acid-base chemistry alone—if it is assumed that population-level responses correspond roughly to predicted mortality levels. Baldigo et al. (2019b) showed that brook trout were entirely absent from sites with summer Al concentrations greater than 2 µmol L⁻¹, present generally in low densities and biomass at sites with Al concentrations between 1 and 2 µmol L⁻¹, and present in moderate to high densities and biomass at sites with concentrations < 1 µmol L⁻¹. Thus, it is not surprising that the probabilities for existing brook trout populations decreased from 0.96 at streams with summer Al concentrations of 0 µmol L⁻¹, to 0.75 at 1 µmol L⁻¹, to 0.25 at 2 µmol L⁻¹, and to 0.04 at 3 µmol L⁻¹; and that the probabilities for > 100 brook trout/0.1 hectare (ha) decreased from about 0.33 at 1 µmol L⁻¹ to 0.02 at 2 µmol L⁻¹ in these same streams (Baldigo et al. 2019a). Because streams are normally at baseflow and water chemistry is typically least acidic (and have their lowest Al concentrations) during summer, these apparent Al thresholds for local brook trout populations may not always represent the most toxic acid-Al periods or even annual mean or median Al levels, but simply the least toxic/stressful conditions which occur each year in each stream. Except for BUC, annual mean or median Al values for the fish-survey sites considered in this study are unavailable. Yet, data from 55 nearby western Adirondack streams, sampled as part of a long-term chemistry monitoring program (G. Lawrence personal communication), provide a way to formulate an adjustment factor that can make Al thresholds for brook trout populations more broadly relevant. The mean summer 2014 Al concentration (0.57 µmol L⁻¹) was 0.45 µmol L⁻¹ lower than the mean of spring, summer, and fall 2014 samples (1.02 µmol L⁻¹) at the same 55 sites. Thus, the Al-effect thresholds for brook trout population density and biomass identified by Baldigo et al. (2019b) could justifiably increase by roughly 0.5 µmol L⁻¹ to make natural population and Al relations (and effect thresholds) representative of year-round (mean or median) Al conditions in streams of the region. Consequently, Al concentrations of 2.0 to 2.5 µmol L⁻¹ are suitable upper thresholds for the occurrence of any brook trout, and 1.0 to 1.5 µmol L⁻¹ are appropriate thresholds for the occurrence of healthy (normal) brook trout populations depending if concentrations represented summer (baseflow) or annual mean or median conditions. While (Baker et al. 1996) indicates that an upper Al threshold for brook trout populations in streams of the Northeast may be closer to 100 to 200 µg L⁻¹ (3.7–7.5 µmol L⁻¹), Simonin et al. (2005) found that densities of brook trout populations were low and YOY were absent from Adirondack streams with springtime (high flow) pH < 5.0. Current (2014–2015) chemistry relations from Baldigo et al. (2019b) show that a pH of 5.0 corresponds to
an Al\textsubscript{i} concentration of 2.2 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1}, which supports our thesis that springtime concentrations of 2 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1}, or mean (representative year-round) concentrations of 2.5 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} are upper limits or thresholds for the occurrence of any resident brook trout. Consequently, the probability model for brook trout mortality and duration of Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations ≥ 2 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} is most appropriate for predicting the occurrence of brook trout at low densities, whereas, the model for duration of Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations ≥ 1 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} is most appropriate for predicting the occurrence of YOY brook trout and healthy populations in streams of the western Adirondacks.

The presence or absence of resident brook trout have some important implications concerning the health of local fish communities. Identifying an upper Al\textsubscript{i} threshold that impairs entire fish communities is challenging because different species have variable tolerances to acid-Al\textsubscript{i} conditions. The task is not too complicated in the western Adirondacks, however, because brook trout are one of the most (if not the most) acid-tolerant fish species in surface waters of New York State (Baker and Christensen 1991; Baker et al. 1996; Baldigo and Lawrence 2001). This means that most other fish species (and community richness) may be limited by the same threshold for the presence of healthy brook trout populations (summer Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations < 1 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1}). In fact, Baldigo et al. (2019b) found that community richness rarely surpassed one species in Adirondack streams where summer Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations were > 1 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1}. More important, the probabilities for one or more fish species are similar to those for brook trout presence; the probabilities for ≥ 2 fish species decreases from 0.75 at 0 µmol Al\textsubscript{i} L\textsuperscript{-1}, to 0.34 at 1 µmol Al\textsubscript{i} L\textsuperscript{-1}, and to 0.12 at 2 µmol Al\textsubscript{i} L\textsuperscript{-1} in the same streams (Baldigo et al. 2019a). Thus, some variation of the probability model for brook trout mortality and duration ≥ 1 µmol Al\textsubscript{i} L\textsuperscript{-1} may be appropriate for predicting total community richness in local streams. Additionally, because total community density and biomass only reach moderate and high levels in streams with summer Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations < 1 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1}, such a model may also be appropriate for predicting community density and biomass. Although probabilities for low-to-moderate levels of community biomass approximate those for brook trout presence, the decrease in probability for community density > 100 fish/0.1 ha from 0.96 at 0 µmol Al\textsubscript{i} L\textsuperscript{-1} to 0.50 at 1 µmol Al\textsubscript{i} L\textsuperscript{-1}, and the loss of all other fish species at Al\textsubscript{i} concentrations > 1 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} (Baldigo et al. 2019a), supports 1 µmol Al\textsubscript{i} L\textsuperscript{-1} as an upper limit or threshold for evading community impacts.
5 Conclusions

Our probabilistic models quantify the interconnected effects of Al₄ concentration and duration of exposure on mortality of YOY brook trout and help to define or confirm important thresholds for biological impacts. Depending on exposure duration, the models indicate that Al₄ concentrations, well below previously established thresholds, can lessen the survival of YOY brook trout. An Al₄ concentration of 1 µmol L⁻¹ serves as a chronic-toxicity threshold; 2 µmol Al₄ L⁻¹ can be considered an acute-toxicity threshold; and 4 µmol Al₄ L⁻¹ represents a threshold for high or complete toxicity. The first two Al₄-effect thresholds correspond to limits for specific brook trout population and community characteristics. Thus, several toxicity models explicitly categorize the present-day spatial extent and magnitude of ecosystem impairment and provide a yardstick to quantify the potential for biological recovery in local streams where acidity and Al₄ concentrations are declining. Accordingly, the models combined with water chemistry measurements can help resource managers and policy makers better understand the present-day status of fish assemblages in acid-sensitive streams across the region.

At a national level, these mortality models and refined Al₄-effect thresholds can help U.S. policymakers to base decisions on more accurate predictions of the ecological responses to future changes in federal air-quality standards. The current process projected to revise U.S. secondary standards that limit atmospheric emissions of nitrous (NOₓ) and sulfur oxides (SOₓ) to achieve target N and S deposition loads is based mainly on surrogate indicators such as ANC to protect aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems within receiving watersheds (EPA 2009). Though a number of studies model how various N and S deposition loads affect ANC levels in streams and lakes across the eastern U.S. (Fakhraei et al. 2016; Fakhraei et al. 2014; Sullivan et al. 2012a; Sullivan et al. 2012b; Zhou et al. 2015a; Zhou et al. 2015b), these mortality models, fish-assemblage models from Baldigo et al. (2019a), and various Al₄-effect thresholds provide useful predictions of the biological responses that may be expected from altered national emission standards and regional N and S deposition loads.

The mortality models can also help demonstrate how regulations imposed by the Clean Air Act of 1970 and 1990 CAAA reduced Al₄ concentrations and toxicity in streams of the western Adirondacks over the past three decades. The variability, mean, and highest Al₄ concentrations observed in BUC year-round, and in other study streams during spring tests have decreased significantly between the late 1980s or early 1990s and present (2015–2017). The length of time or duration that estimated concentrations of Al₄ surpass highly toxic thresholds (4 µmol L⁻¹) at BUC decreased from about three to four months each year during 2001–2003 to about two to three weeks each year during 2015–2017. Although Al₄
concentrations in waters still surpass toxic thresholds for periods sufficiently long to cause high levels of mortality in YOY brook trout at BUC and several other study sites during 2015–2017, the absence of extremely high Ali levels confirm that toxicity levels have declined substantially since the 1989–1990 and 2001–2003 test periods. Whether declines in acidity and Ali concentrations in western Adirondack streams are caused directly or indirectly by the 1990 CAAA is arguable. The decreasing trend in Ali concentrations, however, suggests that tangible changes in the acid-base chemistry of the system can be expected to continue for acid-sensitive watersheds across the region. Based on the results of this study, brook trout populations in previously acidified streams should experience significant recovery as Ali concentrations continue to decline below critical survival thresholds and sustain those levels for longer and longer durations.

Although decreases in acidity, Al, concentrations, and the duration that Al, levels are elevated suggest toxicity levels have recently declined noticeably, high mortality levels at several streams during the 2015–2017 tests indicate that acid-Al, episodes remain a serious threat to brook trout survival, and to the sustainability of their populations and native fish communities in streams of the western Adirondacks. This means that the 1990 CAAA may have had only negligible effects on the biological recovery in streams across the region. At a minimum, the timing and degree of biological recovery may simply be lagging chemical recovery in local streams for various reasons. Though quantitative fish surveys in 2014–2016 (Baldigo et al. 2019b) recently provided limited evidence for shifting fish assemblages at a few sites, more current chemistry and fisheries information is needed from a broader range (and more) of previously surveyed streams to fully ascertain how much biological recovery has actually taken place, what factors drive biological recovery (or the lack of recovery), and what acid-mitigation or resource-management strategies might be employed to accelerate biological recovery in streams of the western Adirondacks.
6 References


Baldigo, B. P., G. Lawrence, and H. A. Simonin. 2007. Persistent mortality of Brook Trout in episodically acidified streams of the southwestern Adirondack Mountains, New York. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 136:121-134. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1577/T06-043.1


Endnotes


2 Standard operating procedures for these analyses are available at the USGS New York Water Science Center - Soil and Low-Ionic-Strength Water Quality Laboratory web site: https://www.sciencebase.gov/catalog/item/55ca2f6e4b08400b1f6b88f
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