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ABSTRACT AND KEY WORDS

A solar domestic hot water (SDHW) system is designed to save fossil fuels or electricity by reducing the energy used by conventional domestic hot water (DHW) appliances. System efficiency is dependent upon the assumption that the vast majority of incoming cold water will be preheated by the SDHW system and will not enter the DHW system in any other way\(^1\). When incoming water is diverted from the preheat tanks, thermal energy captured by the solar collectors is not distributed to the DHW system, and the conventional appliance has to provide more heat and consume more fuel.

This paper presents an analysis of the internal dynamics of the flow of thermal energy and hot water through a solar domestic hot water (SDHW) system installed in a multifamily high-rise apartment building in the Bronx. The purpose of this study was to: (1) determine why this specific SDHW system’s thermal performance is demonstrably lower than predicted; (2) assess the effects of a recirculation pump and a mixing valve on the output of SDHW systems in general; (3) identify a potentially endemic problem in multifamily high-rise buildings that negatively impacts the performance of SDHW systems and other building systems that employ preheated water in their operation; and (4) identify diagnostic techniques and potential solutions to this problem.

Although the solar thermal system functions correctly and harnesses heat energy during the day by storing it in domestic hot water preheat tanks, it has been observed that the majority of this hot water is not transferred to the building DHW system, resulting in sub-optimal performance of the SDHW system. Monitoring of system flows and temperatures demonstrate that such performance degradation is caused by cold water bypass: cold water enters the DHW system by means that circumvent the solar preheat tanks, reducing the net flow of water through these tanks and impeding the distribution of the stored solar energy.

As defined, cold water bypass has two components; mixing valve bypass, which is intentional to the original design of the system, and rogue bypass, which is unintentional and derives from a source of cold water that is not readily identifiable.

Rogue bypass accounts for 82% of the water entering the DHW system – this means that the water drawn through the preheat tanks is reduced to 18% of what was assumed in its design. This reduction of flow was found to result in a 45% average reduction in savings, both in energy and dollar terms. This means it would take nearly twice the time for the system owner to recoup the initial investment. Mixing valve bypass alone has a negligible effect on system performance, and in the absence of rogue bypass is necessary for safe operation of the system. When combined, rogue bypass and mixing valve bypass represent an even greater percentage of the water not flowing through the

\(^1\) A small portion of the entering cold water is typically designed to flow through a tempering or mixing valve for scald protection.
preheat tanks, and the net resulting system performance degradation increases along with the greater percentage of cold water bypass.

Future studies will investigate the hypothesis that this phenomenon is prevalent among other high-rise buildings and may have significant implications to the design and installation of SDHW systems, cogeneration systems, and other processes using preheat strategy.

Keywords: Solar, Thermal, Hot Water, New York, Bronx, Energy, SDHW, Crossover Flow, Rogue Bypass, Mixing Valve Bypass, Cold Water Bypass
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SUMMARY

A solar domestic hot water (SDHW) system is designed to preheat the domestic hot water for a building. It saves fossil fuels or electricity by reducing the runtime required of the conventional domestic hot water (DHW) appliance, because the conventional appliance is not required to supply as much heat. The proper functioning of the SDHW system is predicated on the fundamental assumption that the vast majority of cold mains water to be heated for DHW will flow through the SDHW system, and will not enter the conventional DHW appliance some other way².

Post-installation monitoring of a 24 collector SDHW system recently installed in the Bronx revealed that the overall performance of the system is far lower than expected. The initial hypothesis for the cause of this reduced performance posited that less water is being drawn through the preheat tanks than designed for, thereby impeding the distribution of the thermal energy collected and reducing the efficiency of the solar thermal system. To investigate this theory, a study was commissioned to analyze the internal dynamics of the system through a joint effort between Bright Power, the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA), the New York City Economic Development Corporation (NYCEDC).

Using a 10-point temperature and 2-point flow sensor setup³ for six months, together with hourly energy simulations⁴, Bright Power analyzed the thermal and fluid dynamics of the domestic hot water (DHW) system. We found that the primary cause of this problem is **cold water bypass**, whereby cold water makeup from the mains plumbing line is circumventing the solar preheat tanks. The theoretical foundation for the effect of cold water bypass is shown in the figure below – as cold water bypass increases, the performance of the system decreases.

---

² A small portion of the entering cold water is typically designed to flow through a tempering or mixing valve for scald protection.

³ These sensors are in addition to the temperature and flow sensors installed prior to this study on the solar collector and heat transfer portion of the system.

⁴ Hourly Energy Simulations were conducted in TRNSYS.
As defined, cold water bypass has two components: mixing valve bypass and rogue bypass. Mixing valve bypass, whereby cold water enters the DHW system at the cold side of the mixing valve rather than through the solar preheat tanks, was intentional to the original design as a safety feature for summer months when the system is delivering higher temperature water than is safe for occupants. Rogue bypass is a phenomenon in which cold water bypasses a preheat system by entering the DHW system elsewhere in the building via a pathway that was not intended and is difficult to pinpoint. It was determined in this study that for this system the majority of the performance degradation is the result of rogue bypass. The negative impact of mixing valve bypass is a secondary effect that becomes significant only when rogue bypass is present. During the period of measurement, rogue bypass accounted for on average 82% of cold water entering the DHW system. This reduction of flow was found to result in a 45% average reduction in savings, both in energy and dollar terms. This means it would take nearly twice the time for the system owner to recoup the initial investment.

When large amounts of cold water bypass the solar preheat tanks, SDHW stored in the tanks is prevented from circulating to the DHW system. This causes heat produced by the solar thermal system to build up in the preheat tanks instead of being drawn out in response to occupant demand, so much so that the preheat tank temperatures

---

5 Note: this chart is specifically prepared for this particular solar thermal system, which has a projected annual solar fraction (percent of DHW provided by the solar system) of 11-12%. This chart would be different for other systems with other solar fractions.
remain high even at 7:30 AM. As a result, in addition to not being used by the building, the SDHW is impairing the efficiency of the solar thermal system; higher SDHW tank temperatures cause lower thermal transfer, which degrades solar thermal system performance. As stated above, the percent degradation of SDHW system performance was 45% on average during the period of measurement. The correlation of morning solar preheat tank temperature to percent system performance degradation is shown in the figure below. Hotter morning tank temperatures indicate that less water has been drawn through the preheat tanks, corresponding to greater degradation of SDHW system performance. Observing tank temperature is therefore an effective way to begin to monitor the system’s performance.

Figure S-2: Monthly average morning tank temperature and monthly total percent system degradation

---

6 Percent degradation of SDHW system performance is defined as the percent difference between modeled and measured energy production. Hourly energy simulations were completed using TRNSYS software. Measured energy production is taken from the Heliodyne Delta T Pro controller output.

7 The correlation is imperfect in part because the % degradation is based on both measured and simulated performance. Simulated performance is based on “Typical Meteorological Year” weather data, but measured performance and temperatures are dependent on the weather during the measurement period. Hence, because measured and simulated data are shown on the same graph, we would expect an imperfect correlation.

8 The reduction in average SDHW tank bottom temperature in March can be explained by the fact that the recirculation pump was downsized on February 28, which helped to mitigate the impact of rogue bypass (see Section 4.7). During April, May, and June, the system is operating with increasing levels of insolation, and therefore more potential energy generation, so the effects of cold water bypass are more pronounced. We would expect the percent degradation to be even higher than those shown had the recirculation pump been the same size as that installed during December, January, and February.
Rogue bypass presents a compelling question: how could so much water be circumventing the domestic hot water system? With temperature and flow sensors on site taking readings every minute, we measured the flow rate of water entering the SDHW system; using the principles of conservation of mass and energy, we calculated rogue bypass flow and total DHW usage every minute for a three day period in March and then sorted the data from lowest DHW usage to highest DHW usage\(^9\). The figure below displays the trend of the contributions to DHW consumption from the two possible sources: (1) rogue bypass flow and (2) water flowing through the solar preheat tanks. The total usage at any given time is shown by the top of the area (black), with the amount of rogue bypass flow (green), and SDHW flow (blue). The data clearly show that rogue bypass flow satisfies all of the usage below a threshold of 6 GPM. Once flow exceeds that threshold, then water begins to flow through the conventional cold water inlet to the domestic hot water system. Remarkably, rogue bypass manages to account for 82% of total DHW usage even though rogue bypass flow never exceeds 10 gallons per minute (GPM). This effect is shown in the figure below.

![Flow Rate (gallons per minute)](image)

**Figure S-3:** One minute measurements of DHW usage, rogue bypass flow \((F_{RB})\), and solar preheat tank flow \((F_{CW})\), sorted from lowest usage to highest usage and presented to display contributions at given times \(^9\)

We believe that rogue bypass is caused by cold water entering the DHW system elsewhere in the building via **crossover flow** from the cold water line to the hot water line. **Crossover flow** is recognized in the plumbing community as the unintentional flow of water between the hot and cold water lines in a building, typically via faulty check valves or mixing valves, single-spout faucets or showers, dishwashers, washing machine hook-ups, and tenant

\(^9\) A 30 data point moving average was also applied to smooth the data. The unaltered graph is presented in the appendix.
modifications undetected by building management\textsuperscript{10}. Because crossover flow is enhanced by pressure differences between the DHW and cold water lines, the numerous multifamily and commercial buildings that use a DHW recirculation pump are particularly susceptible.

If crossover flow is the cause of rogue bypass, how many points of crossover would be required to reach the magnitude seen in this building? A conservative estimate of crossover flow is 2 GPM per fixture\textsuperscript{16}. Therefore, it is likely that a maximum of five fixtures with crossover flow are needed to reach the 10 GPM of rogue bypass flow seen in this building. A detailed survey of hot water temperatures at 75\% of the over 300 fixtures in the building was conducted with the hope of pinpointing the source(s) of rogue bypass flow. Some problem fixtures were located and repaired, and more than five additional fixtures have been identified but not yet inspected. To date, corrections have not resulted in a significant reduction of rogue bypass flow, and it remains to be seen whether the problem can be eliminated by servicing the remaining fixtures. Further work to locate and repair the exact source of crossover flow is ongoing.

The proliferation of solar thermal and other preheat systems like cogeneration requires the formulation of a methodology for diagnosing the presence of rogue bypass and the development of design changes to minimize its impact. This study presents a series of tests used to identify the presence of rogue bypass and determine the degree to which it reduces the performance of a SDHW system. Initial analysis indicates that it may be possible to diagnose the existence of rogue bypass with two temperature sensors and one pump status sensor – a fairly simple apparatus to deploy prior to solar thermal installation. This methodology should be honed by researching additional buildings so it can become a bona fide method for contractors and consultants to evaluate buildings for rogue bypass before preheat systems are installed.

While this report uncovers rogue bypass as an effect that can drastically reduce system performance for this building, further research is needed to establish the prevalence of rogue bypass in other buildings and over a longer period of time. With the simple conditions (conceivably one mis-plumbed faucet) under which rogue bypass can occur, there is a strong possibility that it is widespread. \textit{Given the ubiquity of DHW systems with recirculation pumps in larger multifamily and commercial buildings, including thousands of buildings in New York City and State, it is believed that rogue bypass may be an endemic problem with many solar domestic hot water and cogeneration systems, as well as other heat recovery processes. This presents a significant risk to system owners as well as utility and government incentive programs that depend on the energy savings of these systems: further research on additional buildings is highly recommended so that rogue bypass can be better understood and mitigation strategies can be more fully developed.}

Specifically, we recommend studying buildings both with and without preheat systems as follows:

1. Instrumenting 20 or more buildings with a simpler three-point monitoring system to establish a more easily deployable methodology for diagnosing crossover flow and the potential for rogue bypass
2. Instrumenting 10 or more buildings with suspected crossover flow with the 10-point temperature and two-point flow sensor setup used in our initial study to collect detailed data on the internal dynamics of the system
3. Collecting data under multiple design conditions and pump operation schedules to investigate the correlation between recirculation pumping rates and magnitude of crossover flow
4. Analyzing data to quantify the crossover flow and its impact on water, energy, and operation costs
5. In buildings with solar thermal or cogeneration systems, verifying the existence of rogue bypass or mixing valve bypass and quantifying its impact on system performance
6. Presenting methodology for identifying and verifying the existence of crossover flow
7. Developing and testing the means to prevent crossover flow and rogue bypass and to mitigate their impacts to water and energy efficiency.
BACKGROUND

Bright Power designed and installed a 24 collector solar thermal domestic hot water (SDHW) system in a Bronx multifamily apartment building in March 2009. This system was designed following ASHRAE Solar Design Manual recommendations, as shown in Figure 1. Solar collectors were arranged in four sub-arrays of six collectors and plumbed to a boiler room to be integrated with the building’s domestic hot water (DHW) system and continuously monitored with a Helodyne Delta T Pro Controller.

![Figure 1: ASHRAE recommended system design for building with domestic hot water recirculation]

Since its installation the system has been demonstrably underperforming. If the system were performing efficiently, all of the hot water collected in the solar preheat tanks would be drawn out throughout the day and distributed to the DHW system. At night when the system is no longer collecting thermal energy, the last of the hot water would be drawn out and replaced by water from cold mains, leaving the tanks cold. Data from temperature probes installed on the preheat tanks revealed that the tank temperatures remain high, and do not drop as much as expected during the night, indicating that not all of the thermal energy is being used.

Prior to this research, Bright Power conducted a number of in-house studies in an effort to determine the cause for this reduced efficiency without success. The following steps were taken to verify that the system is installed and programmed to perform as designed:

---

11 The manual has two recommended designs for solar preheat systems interconnecting with buildings with DHW recirculation. This system is a version of the “Solar Hot Water System Interface without Solar Assist to Building Recirculation” diagram with one difference: the recirculation return line is connected to the cold side of the mixing valve. Due to the low projected solar fraction of this system, the “Solar Hot Water System Interface with Solar Assist to Building Recirculation” was not chosen.

• Temperature probes were installed across the solar collector and heat transfer portion of the system to analyze the mass and energy flow over time
• Proper operation of the glycol side of the system was confirmed
• A specified check-valve was found to be missing and subsequently installed
• Aquastat and monitoring system setpoints were adjusted and fine-tuned
• Numerous site visits and discussions with on-site personnel and product manufacturers were conducted to verify proper design implementation.

Having verified the proper design and installation of the SDHW system and monitoring equipment, it was determined that a more thorough analysis was warranted to understand the complex interactions contributing to this problem. A study was commissioned through a joint effort among Bright Power, the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA), and the New York City Economic Development Corporation (NYCEDC) to investigate the performance of the system through a remote monitoring system. The existing SDHW system was instrumented with temperature, flow, and current transducer sensors, and monitored over time under a variety of operating conditions.

At the outset, it was recognized that cold water bypassing the solar preheat tanks was negatively affecting the system. Our initial hypothesis was that it was solely due to water entering the DHW system via the cold side of the mixing valve, a process we termed mixing valve bypass. Still, initial experiments identified another more dominant means of circumvention, which we termed rogue bypass. Further experimentation indicates that rogue bypass may be due to crossover flow in other parts of the building; cold water enters the DHW by crossing from the cold water line to the hot water line through mis-plumbed fixtures or faulty check valves, and bypasses the solar preheat tanks via the recirculation line. A theory was arrived at to explain the sub-optimal performance of the SDHW system.

A theory was developed proposing that cold water bypass is the source of system underperformance and has two components: mixing valve bypass and rogue bypass. Mixing valve bypass, whereby cold water enters the DHW system at the cold side of the mixing valve rather than through the solar preheat tanks, is intentional to the original design as a safety feature for summer months when the system is delivering higher temperature water than is safe for occupants. Rogue bypass is a phenomenon in which cold water bypasses a preheat system by entering the DHW system elsewhere in the building in a way that was not intended and difficult to pinpoint. An active recirculation pump is a necessary condition for the existence of rogue bypass, and it was further theorized that overpressurization in the recirculation line may contribute to cold water bypass. A schematic showing the two possible paths for cold water bypass is provided in Figure 2.
The rationale for this theory is predicated on the idea that cold water bypass prevents SDHW from entering the DHW storage tanks. Therefore, the heat produced by the solar thermal system builds up in the solar preheat tanks—enough so that solar preheat tank temperatures remain high even in the morning, after most of the evening and morning occupant usage, but before the solar thermal system has turned on for the day. This compounds the performance degradation, as higher SDHW tank temperatures cause lower thermal transfer, which degrades solar thermal system performance.

The effect of the recirculation pump flow rate upon the magnitude of rogue bypass is also investigated in this study. An interesting area for further research would be on whether the pressure imbalances created by an oversized recirculation pump are sufficient in the absence of rogue bypass to degrade system performance. The effects of recirculation pump pressure are identified as an area for future research.

**Figure 2: Two paths for cold water bypass**
EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

In addition to the sensors installed on the solar collector and heat transfer portion of the system prior to this study, temperature, flow, and current transducer sensors were installed on the boiler room piping of the domestic hot water side of the SDHW system. This experimental setup was developed through consultation with several staff internal to Bright Power as well as Thermal Energy System Specialists (TESS), the developer of TRNSYS software, who was a consultant on this study. Specifically, TESS verified that positioning the sensors shown in the diagram below and monitoring the system at a logging interval of one minute would be sufficient to calibrate the TRNSYS model.

A schematic of the system with sensor locations is shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Schematic of solar system with sensor locations
1.1 SENSOR INSTALLATION, CALIBRATION, AND DATA COLLECTION

Descriptions of the purpose of each sensor on the SDHW system is provided in Table 1 and Table 2. Flows of cold water bypass are described in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Location Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T_{CMX}</td>
<td>Cold Side of Mixing Valve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{RCR}</td>
<td>Recirculation Return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{STO}</td>
<td>Solar Tank Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{CW}</td>
<td>Cold Mains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{DHW}</td>
<td>Domestic Hot Water Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{HTO}</td>
<td>Domestic Hot Water Tank Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{HTI}</td>
<td>Domestic Hot Water Tank Input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{HXI}</td>
<td>Solar Water Loop Heat Exchanger Input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{HXO}</td>
<td>Solar Water Loop Heat Exchanger Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{BR}</td>
<td>Boiler Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{S_{RCR}}</td>
<td>Recirculation Loop Pump Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{S_{SHW}}</td>
<td>Solar Water Loop Pump Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_{RCR}</td>
<td>Recirculation Return Flow Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_{CW}</td>
<td>Cold Mains Flow Rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Water Circulation Loop Sensors (via HOBO U30 datalogger)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Location Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Temperature of glycol at the outlet from the solar panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Temperature at the outlet from the solar domestic preheat tank returning to the heat exchanger.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Temperature of water at the inlet to the solar domestic preheat tank.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>Temperature of glycol at inlet to heat exchanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>Temperature of glycol at outlet from heat exchanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{F6}</td>
<td>Flow rate of glycol at outlet from heat exchanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{P7}</td>
<td>Pressure of glycol at outlet from heat exchanger</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Solar collector loop sensors (via solar thermal controller datalogger)**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\text{CWMX}}$</td>
<td>Cold Water Mains to Mixing Valve</td>
<td>Flow rate of cold mains water to cold side of the mixing valve; also known as mixing valve bypass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\text{RB}}$</td>
<td>Rogue Bypass Flow Rate</td>
<td>Flow rate of cold water entering the DHW system elsewhere in the building; also known as rogue bypass.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Flows of cold water bypass

The ten 12-Bit Onset temperature sensors were attached directly against the exposed pipe beneath the existing insulation to ensure minimal contact with the air in the room. A small amount of thermal heat transfer grease was applied to the sensors before placement to facilitate heat transfer. Before installation the temperature sensors were calibrated with one another relative to hot and cold temperature sinks. The sensors were determined to be in good and working order when all sensors read within ±0.5°F of each other.

Flow rates at two locations were continuously monitored using an external ultrasonic flow meter: 1) at the cold mains input in the boiler room and 2) at the recirculation return inlet to the mixing valve. The flow meter used was the GE AquaTrans AT868 Panametrics Liquid Flow Ultrasonic Transmitter (GE Measurement and Control Solutions 2010), which consists of a central unit with two sets of clamp-on transducers. The flow transducers were installed according to the product instructions on areas of cleaned, bare pipe with 10 pipe-diameters of straight pipe upstream and five pipe-diameters of straight pipe downstream.

The flow sensors, though calibrated independently by the manufacturer, were also field calibrated on site; flow was induced across a length of pipe, on which the sensors had been installed, by draining water from the DHW storage tank into a bucket of known volume and comparing the flow meter readings to the flow rate measured manually. After a satisfactory phase of testing and monitoring they were determined to be capable of providing sufficiently accurate readings. Further details on the calibration process are included in the appendix to this report.

The sensor data were output to a HOBO U30 datalogger at a logging interval of one minute, with each data point representing the average of six individual readings over the course of the logging interval in order to achieve more accurate data collection, as no “spikes” in usage were missed. This level of resolution was specified by TESS to be adequate to accurately calibrate the TRYNSYS model.

Onset HOBO current transducer sensors were installed on the recirculation pump and the solar hot water pump and used to monitor the current flowing through the pump circuits in order to determine when the pumps operate.
OBSERVATIONS AND THEORY

1.2 SYSTEM UNDERPERFORMANCE

The solar thermal system in this study was designed to displace 11-12% of the energy required to supply the building’s domestic hot water\textsuperscript{13}. If the hypothesis of cold water bypass is correct, we should expect to see a substantial impact to the amount of energy supplied by the solar thermal system. When cold water bypasses the preheat tanks it prevents SDHW in the tanks from being drawn out and circulated to the DHW system; the thermal energy is collected and stored, but not used by the building. Additionally, by remaining in the tanks, the hot water prevents more thermal energy from being collected because the storage tanks remain full and the new thermal energy has nowhere to go. We can therefore assess how effectively the thermal energy is supplied as a function of how much of the SDHW stored in the tanks is distributed to the DHW system. This can be achieved by observing how the tank temperatures change between day and night, as demonstrated below.

The following figure shows the correlation between morning solar preheat tank temperature and percent system performance degradation.

\textbf{Figure 4: Negative impact to system performance correlated with reduced flow through solar preheat tanks}

\textsuperscript{13} RET Screen v3 predicted a solar fraction of 13.2\% with no shading. With the shading at the site, we estimate the solar fraction to be 11-12\%. 
If the heat produced by the solar thermal system is building up in the preheat tanks instead of being drawn out in response to occupant demand, it follows that the temperature in the preheat tank temperatures would remain high even at 7:30 am, by which time we would expect all of the hot water to have been used and replaced by water from cold mains. The less the temperature drops during the night, the less water is being drawn out of the tanks, and the higher the percent performance degradation of the SDHW system\textsuperscript{14}. Over this time period, the percent degradation of SDHW system performance was 45\%, on average.

1.3 COLD WATER BYPASSING THE SOLAR THERMAL SYSTEM

Part 1 of the initial hypothesis was that the system is underperforming in part due to mixing valve bypass; cold water bypass occurring at the cold side of the mixing valve. When the ball valve \( BV_{W_{CWMX}} \) (see Figure 3) is open, the cold side of the mixing valve is supplied by both cold mains water and recirculation return, and cold water flows directly to the mixing valve rather than passing through the solar preheat tanks. This theory was confirmed through experimental analysis (see Section 4.3). Nevertheless, when ball valve \( BV_{W_{CWMX}} \) was closed, cold water bypass remained present; further analysis revealed that the total flow rate of cold water entering the boiler room was consistently one-quarter to one-fifth of expected levels for a building with 315 occupants. We theorize the missing source of water is “rogue bypass” as defined earlier. Subsequent experiments were performed to verify the existence of rogue bypass, quantify its impact, and attempt to locate its source. These experiments are described in Section 4. A theoretical discussion of rogue bypass is presented in the Section 3.3.

1.4 THEORETICAL MODEL OF ROGUE BYPASS

The amount of crossover flow contributing to rogue bypass can be theoretically quantified through a mass and energy balance. The following methodology can be applied to any DHW system with a recirculation pump.

Consider the point on Figure 3 at which the rogue bypass flow enters the system (e.g. a mis-plumbed faucet). At that point we apply the concepts of conservation of mass and energy. These simply state that mass or energy cannot be created nor destroyed. Flow rates are simply a measure of mass delivered over time, so at this point:

\[
(1)
\]

Where \( F_{RCR} \) is the recirculation flow downstream of this point, \( F_{RCR}' \) is the recirculation flow upstream of this point and \( F_{RB} \) is the additional flow added to the recirculation loop at this point. The flows into and out of the point must be equal for conservation of mass.

\textsuperscript{14} Percent degradation of SDHW system performance is defined as the percent difference between modeled and measured energy production. Hourly energy simulations were completed using TRNSYS software. Measured energy production is taken from the Heliodyne Delta T Pro controller output.
By a similar argument, conservation of energy requires that the energy flow into and out of this point must be equal. The amount of energy contained in water is determined by its specific heat capacity \((mc\Delta T)\) and is proportional to flow multiplied by temperature. Thus:

\[
(2)
\]

Where \(T_{RCR}'\) is the temperature of the recirculation flow upstream of rogue bypass, \(T_{RCR}\) is the measured temperature of the return line, and \(T_{CW}\) is the measured temperature of the cold water mains.

By rearranging equation (1) to isolate \(F_{RCR}'\) we get:

\[
(3)
\]

which we can substitute into equation (2) and rearrange for \(F_{RB}\):

\[
(4)
\]

\(F_{RCR}, T_{RCR}\) and \(T_{CW}\) are measured values while \(\cdots\) can be determined by considering what the return line temperature would be in the absence of rogue bypass. Radiative losses in the recirculation loop mean that even with no cold water added to the loop the returning water arrives cooler than is was sent to the building. During periods of no draw, no cold water is added to the loop and so the radiative losses can be estimated by:

\[
(5)
\]

This can then be used to estimate the upstream recirculation temperature in equation (4):

\[
(6)
\]

With our measurements of \(F_{RCR}, T_{RCR}\) and \(T_{CW}\) we can now calculate the predicted rogue bypass flow \((F_{RB})\). See Section 4.6.

1.5 PRESSURE DIFFERENCES CAUSED BY THE RECIRCULATION PUMP

Part 2 of the initial hypothesis was that over-pressurization of the recirculation loop prevents the draw of water from the solar preheat tanks. After further investigation, this theory was revised to address the relationship between the recirculation pump and rogue bypass. The solar pre-heat tanks are located on the 9th floor roof and are at mains
pressure reduced by the head loss at this height. The possible sources of rogue bypass are all on lower floors with less head loss and consequently higher pressure. Any sources of water entering the loop at point of higher pressure will contribute more volume as a fraction compared to lower pressure sources. This means that water will preferentially enter the recirculation loop via sources of rogue bypass located below the roof, impeding the draw from the tanks. We theorize that higher pressure in the recirculation loop exacerbates this effect.

Prior research suggests that crossover flow is enhanced through interactions between the recirculation pump and low-flow fixtures; pressure imbalances at the fixture can facilitate the transfer of water from the hot to the cold side, or vice versa. For example, when a 1.5 GPM single-spout water fixture with two knobs (one hot, one cold) is opened it effectively connects the hot and cold lines, which are flowing at 3-5 GPM\textsuperscript{15}. A Heschong-Mahone Group Study indicates that crossover flow is conservatively 2 GPM per fixture\textsuperscript{16}. Any pressure imbalance in the system, caused, for example, by an improperly installed tempering baffle, creates the opportunity for water to transfer from the cold to the hot or vice versa. The problem would be exaggerated by large pressure imbalances created by an oversized recirculation pump; according to the Energy Design Resources’ design brief on central DHW systems in multifamily buildings, an overpowered recirculation pump will increase the rate of crossover flow\textsuperscript{17}. This theory is investigated in Section 4.7.

EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

As stated previously, it was observed that even though heat from the solar system is collecting in the solar preheat tanks, the water is not drawn out of the tanks at the intended rate and is therefore not being effectively distributed to the building hot water supply. It was theorized that this was due to cold water bypassing the solar thermal system. This theory was investigated through the following experiments.

1.6 EXPERIMENT 0: Simulated Performance Degradation

A model of the system was created using the Transient System Simulation Tool (TRNSYS) and a series of simulations were carried out in an effort to corroborate the theory that not all of the cold water entering the DHW system is drawn through the solar preheat system as designed, and that there is an appreciably adverse effect on the system’s solar fraction as a result.

The first exercise is a simple analysis of the solar system operating with progressively less flow through the solar preheat tanks. The reduced flows correspond to progressively increased percentages of net cold water bypass (specifically 0, 80, 90, 95, 96, 97, 98, and 99%). Net cold water bypass is assumed to be the combined sum of mixing valve bypass and rogue bypass, the assumption being that the impact of bypass is the same irrespective of the means of circumvention. The model was used to simulate the amount of thermal energy produced in each case. Results are presented below.

![Figure 5: Simulated degradation of thermal energy production due to cold water bypass](image-url)
This experiment shows that increasing cold water bypass is a driving force behind system performance degradation, regardless of whether it manifests as rogue bypass or mixing valve bypass. As described in the hypothesis, the theoretical reason behind this system performance degradation is that increasing cold water bypass results in decreasing flow through the system. A solar preheat tank with less flow through it remains warmer and therefore has less efficient heat transfer with the solar thermal system. Figure 6 displays that as cold water bypass increases, the morning average solar preheat temperature remains much warmer. Seven AM is chosen as a time to represent tank temperature because it is before the solar thermal system activates, but after much of the evening and morning draw for the building has occurred.

![Figure 6: Simulated average solar preheat tank temperatures for increasing percentages of net cold water bypass](image)

It is important to consider that the solar thermal system has a high limit preheat tank temperature of 180°F. In the theoretical 99% bypass case, the preheat tank temperature hovers between 155°F and 180°F at 7:00 AM. Not only does the solar preheat tank have a lower thermal heat transfer efficiency, but the capacity of the tank to accept more energy is a limiting factor.
1.7 EXPERIMENT 1: Simulated Evidence of Rogue Bypass Flow

A second simulation exercise was performed dealing primarily with the calibration of the model vis-à-vis simulated versus measured data for a 15-day period in late April and early May 2011\textsuperscript{18}. The ball valve $BV_{\text{CMX}}$ was assumed to be closed during all of the simulations discussed in this section.

Analysis of the simulated recirculation loop provided evidence in support of the existence of rogue bypass flow. The length of the recirculation loop was estimated to be 900 ft (450 ft supply, 450 ft return). It was noted that with the assumed 27 GPM flow rate, a 900 ft loop, 1.5” pipe, ¾” insulation, and a 68°F ambient temperature, the modeled return temperatures were only a fraction of a degree below the supply temperatures, while the measured data had shown return temperatures below the supply temperature ranging from 2.25°F to as much as 27.97°F.

As the system is designed, the mixing valve has no way of mixing water stored in the DHW storage tank at 140°F down to the DHW supply temperature of 120°F unless the return temperature is considerably below the supply temperature because there is no way for cold water to enter the mixing valve from anywhere other than the recirculation-return line when $BV_{\text{CMX}}$ is closed.

The fact that the measured supply temperature stayed near 120°F and the system did not overheat is an indication of one of two things;

1) Cold water is entering the recirculation loop and is contributing to the drop in the return temperature, or
2) The recirculation loop thermal losses are higher than estimated.

To test the second theory, both the insulation thickness and the length of the recirculation loop were modified to increase the temperature drop across the building and artificially create a return temperature low enough for the mixing valve to maintain 120°F.

It was found that if the insulation thickness is decreased to zero, a 2000 ft loop would be needed to keep the system from overheating. Keeping the insulation thickness at ¾” would require a 5000 ft loop. The fact that the recirculation loop would have to be so much longer and/or the insulation level would have to be nonexistent in order to achieve the thermal losses needed to recreate the measured return temperatures is strong evidence that cold water is in fact entering the system.

\textsuperscript{18} The results of this exercise are discussed in greater detail in the appendix of this report. An additional exercise in which a whole-system simulation of the system was attempted; this exercise is discussed in Section 4.9.
1.8 EXPERIMENT 2: Mixing Valve Bypass

Our initial theory hypothesized that cold water from the mains plumbing line was bypassing the solar thermal system solely via mixing valve bypass: water enters the DHW system at the cold side of the mixing valve rather than through the SDHW tanks. As can be seen in Figure 3, when the ball valve $B_{VCWMX}$ is open, the cold side of the mixing valve is supplied by either the cold water mains, or the recirculation loop. When cold mains water enters the cold side of the mixing valve, it tempers the water from the DHW storage tank before being sent to the building and then returns to the boiler room via the recirculation loop. It then travels into the building DHW storage tank to be reheated by the boiler or is sent back to the cold side of the mixing valve, skipping the solar hot water system entirely.

To investigate this theory, data were collected when the ball valve $B_{VCWMX}$ was closed and compared to data collected with the valve open. The following figure is a plot of the difference in temperature at the top and bottom of the solar preheat tanks during the course of two weeks. From June 30th to July 6th, the ball valve is closed. On July 7th at 11:30 am, the ball valve was opened and remained open through July 13th.

![Temperature comparison graph](image)

**Figure 7: Temperatures at top and bottom of solar preheat tank with ball valve open (6/30 to 7/6) and closed (7/7 to 7/13)**

As stated previously, if the system were performing optimally, the hot water collected in the solar preheat tanks would be drawn out throughout the day, and at night when the system is no longer collecting thermal energy, the last
of the hot water would be drawn out and replaced by water from cold mains, leaving the tanks cold and ready to store new energy the following day. Because the solar preheat tanks are stratified in temperature, it follows that a greater temperature difference between night and day means that more of the water in the tanks is emptying out and the thermal energy is more efficiently used.

Note that before July 7th, the temperature at the bottom of the tank ranges from about 95°F to 140°F, while after July 7th both top and bottom temperatures rise about 20 degrees, with the bottom tank temperature typically being between 140°F and 160°F. On average, the delta between the top and bottom of the tank when the valve was closed was 30°F overnight and 16°F during the day. After the valve was opened, the overnight delta was 13°F and the daytime delta was 9°F. This shows that the water in the tanks is turning over less frequently, and indicates that less cold water is being drawn through the solar preheat tanks than when the ball valve is open and less of the solar thermal energy stored in the tanks is being distributed to the building. This confirms the theory that when the ball valve is open, more cold water is bypassing the solar preheat tanks via mixing valve bypass than intended by design, resulting in decreased performance of the SDHW system.

$BV_{CWMX}$ was therefore valved off, forcing all cold water drawn from cold mains across $FCW$ to pass through the solar preheat tanks before entering the DHW system, and the system was left to run for the winter season. Still, it was found that the flow patterns through the solar preheat tanks were not sufficiently altered to improve the system performance to its design efficiency. This result suggested that mixing valve bypass is not the sole source of the problem, and that cold water is entering the DHW system elsewhere in the building and bypassing the solar preheat tanks via the recirculation-return line. The initial theory was revised to include this additional rogue bypass and investigated through further experimentation.

1.9 EXPERIMENT 3: Water Usage Comparison and Draw Profile

New York City’s Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) tracks daily water consumption data for individual water meters using an automated meter reading system. Daily consumption data for the month of April from the DEP database were used to calculate the estimated monthly hot water use for the building assuming that 28% of a building’s water use is for hot water (70 gal/person/day total usage, 20 gal/person/day DHW usage)\. When compared to data from the flow sensor measuring cold water entering the boiler room from cold mains ($FCW$), it was found that the estimate of hot water based on the DEP data is dramatically higher, indicating that a significant amount of water used for DHW is entering the system through an alternate route: rogue bypass. By these calculations, in the month of April, rogue bypass accounted for an average of 72% of cold water used for DHW heating. The estimated and actual water consumptions are presented in Table 4.

19 Residential water use as reported by NYC.gov is 60-70 gal/person.day. ASHRAE estimates for DHW use per person per day are 14 gal(low), 30 gal(med), 54 gal(high). We chose 20 gal/person/day DHW usage because this is a recent construction building so it has low flow fixtures, but not the lowest possible.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>Total Usage from DEP (gal)</th>
<th>Estimated gal DHW from DEP (25%)</th>
<th>Measured $F_{CW}$ (gal)</th>
<th>% Difference gallons of DHW from DEP vs Measured $F_{CW}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04/01/2011</td>
<td>7,921</td>
<td>2,376</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/02/2011</td>
<td>15,140</td>
<td>4,542</td>
<td>1,546</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/03/2011</td>
<td>15,102</td>
<td>4,531</td>
<td>1,455</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/04/2011</td>
<td>13,210</td>
<td>3,963</td>
<td>1,168</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/05/2011</td>
<td>13,464</td>
<td>4,039</td>
<td>1,121</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/06/2011</td>
<td>13,756</td>
<td>4,127</td>
<td>1,308</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/07/2011</td>
<td>12,858</td>
<td>3,857</td>
<td>1,064</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/08/2011</td>
<td>14,212</td>
<td>4,264</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/09/2011</td>
<td>14,736</td>
<td>4,421</td>
<td>1,452</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/10/2011</td>
<td>15,327</td>
<td>4,598</td>
<td>1,687</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/11/2011</td>
<td>12,581</td>
<td>3,774</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/12/2011</td>
<td>14,362</td>
<td>4,308</td>
<td>1,179</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/13/2011</td>
<td>13,419</td>
<td>4,026</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/14/2011</td>
<td>13,584</td>
<td>4,075</td>
<td>1,087</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/15/2011</td>
<td>13,501</td>
<td>4,050</td>
<td>1,034</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/16/2011</td>
<td>14,511</td>
<td>4,353</td>
<td>1,184</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/17/2011</td>
<td>15,461</td>
<td>4,638</td>
<td>1,360</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/18/2011</td>
<td>13,546</td>
<td>4,064</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/19/2011</td>
<td>13,524</td>
<td>4,057</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/20/2011</td>
<td>9,193</td>
<td>2,758</td>
<td>1,275</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/21/2011</td>
<td>13,232</td>
<td>3,970</td>
<td>1,010</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/22/2011</td>
<td>12,918</td>
<td>3,875</td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/23/2011</td>
<td>13,464</td>
<td>4,039</td>
<td>1,143</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/24/2011</td>
<td>13,606</td>
<td>4,082</td>
<td>1,029</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/25/2011</td>
<td>12,402</td>
<td>3,721</td>
<td>1,206</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/26/2011</td>
<td>13,808</td>
<td>4,142</td>
<td>1,124</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/27/2011</td>
<td>10,322</td>
<td>3,097</td>
<td>1,003</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/28/2011</td>
<td>16,276</td>
<td>4,883</td>
<td>1,086</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/29/2011</td>
<td>13,255</td>
<td>3,976</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/30/2011</td>
<td>17,541</td>
<td>5,262</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Comparison of total building DHW consumption to water entering the boiler room through the intended route

According to the building management, there are approximately 315 residents in the building. If all of the flow were through $F_{CW}$ (and there were no rogue bypass flow), that would indicate only about 3.5 gallons of DHW per person per day, which is far below the typical 14 to 30 gallons per person\textsuperscript{20}. Put simply there is not enough cold water entering the boiler room to account for all of the domestic hot water 315 people would use, or that the city claims it is delivering. This make-up water is entering the system somewhere else, which we hypothesize is rogue bypass flow entering the recirculation loop.

EXPERIMENT 4: Establishing Existence Rogue Bypass Flow ($F_{BA}$)

The two pairs of ultra-sonic flow transducers were installed on the $F_{CW}$ pipe in the boiler room. With BV$_{CWMX}$ closed this is the point at which all cold water is expected to enter the DHW system (assuming the absence of rogue bypass). Hence, flow rates measured on this pipe should correlate with the DHW draw for the building.

The flow rates measured by the flow meter at this point were compared to a quantified draw induced by progressively opening hot water taps of measured flow-rate elsewhere in the building. The results are shown in Figure 8. The induced flow rates were found to be 2-to-4 GPM higher than the flow rates measured through $F_{CW}$ in the boiler room, with the discrepancy widening as the induced flow increased. It is therefore theorized that during this test, 2-to-4 GPM of the demand was satisfied by water contributed by crossover before a draw on $F_{CW}$ was activated. This contribution from crossover is the quantity of water bypassing the solar preheat tanks via rogue bypass.

![Figure 8: Comparison of measured vs. induced flow rates in the absence of mixing valve bypass](image)

We see in this figure that measured induced flow in the building is significantly greater than measured flow entering the domestic hot water system via the cold mains inlet to the system through $F_{CW}$. This would be impossible to explain without the existence of another source of cold water to the domestic hot water system.
1.11 EXPERIMENT 5: ENERGY BALANCE USING INSTRUMENTED DATA

The percent rogue bypass was calculated on a weekly basis by performing a mass and energy balance, as described previously in Section 3.3, using instrumented data collected during the monitoring period. It was found that on average, rogue bypass is contributing 82% of the cold water entering the DHW system. A thermodynamic analysis of the DHW system using measured data to calculate the percent DHW supplied by the SDHW system during the same period confirmed that the SDHW system was underperforming significantly (see figure below)\(^{21}\), with the underperformance greatest when rogue bypass is highest.

![Figure 9: Correlation between weekly averages of % rogue bypass % DHW supplied by the SDHW system (by volume)](image)

While rogue bypass flow is fairly consistent day to day, the minute by minute fluctuations are more striking, as can be seen in the daily data plotted below.

The negative impact of rogue bypass on solar thermal system performance is clear, but the reason behind it is not immediately apparent until more granular data is viewed. The figure below presents the usage profile for a typical day, together with the rogue bypass and cold water mains flow rate. Again, these flow rates were calculated using

---

\(^{21}\) The ball valve \(BY_{CMAV}\) was closed during the time that these data were collected, meaning that all cold water bypass is occurring through rogue bypass.
the relationships described in Section 3.3, where $F_{CW}$ is measure, $F_{RB}$ is calculated, and the Draw is the summation of the two.

![Graph](image-url)

**Figure 10: Typical daily profile of DHW usage, rogue bypass flow ($F_{RB}$), and solar preheat tank flow ($F_{CW}$)**

It is clear that rogue bypass ($F_{RB}$) satisfies all of the load below a certain threshold. $F_{CW}$ only supplies any significant portion of the load when the overall demand for hot water increases beyond a certain level. This leaves large periods of the day where no water flows through $F_{CW}$ at all. This helps to explain why our $T_{CW}$ temperature sensor often read temperatures well above what would be reasonable if fresh cold water were flowing through those pipes. To understand the exact point of threshold below which rogue bypass supplies all usage water, it is useful to sort the minute resolution data from lowest usage to highest usage as presented in the figure below.
Figure 11: One minute measurements of DHW usage, rogue bypass flow (F_RB), and solar preheat tank flow (F_CW), sorted from lowest usage to highest usage and presented to display contributions at given times\textsuperscript{22}

The figure above displays the trend of the contributions to DHW consumption from rogue bypass and water flowing through the solar preheat tanks. The total usage at any given time is shown by the top of the area (black), with the amount of rogue bypass flow (green), and SDHW flow (blue). It is apparent from the figure above that rogue bypass flow accounts for the first 6 – 7 GPM of flow into the DHW system. Beyond that threshold, an increasing percentage of the domestic hot water is supplied by flow through the solar preheat tanks (F_CW), but rogue bypass continues to increase linearly. Also, rogue bypass is greater than solar preheat tank flow in almost all instances; only under rare circumstances does F_CW exceed F_RB. This helps to explain why rogue bypass is meeting 82\% of the demand: because it meets a certain base threshold and the building demand is below that threshold most of the time.

1.12 EXPERIMENT 6: Over-Pressurization in Recirculation Loop

To corroborate the correlation between recirculation pumping rate and crossover flow discussed in Section 3.4, data were collected from the building during periods when two different sized recirculation pumps were in operation. Both pumps operated constantly during their respective time periods.

Prior to February 28\textsuperscript{th}, 2011, the DHW system at the host site was installed with a 3/4 HP recirculation pump, which was larger than necessary for the building’s DHW demand. On February 28\textsuperscript{th}, the pump was replaced with a 1/3 HP pump.

\textsuperscript{22} A 30 data point moving average was also applied to smooth the data. The unaltered graph is presented in the appendix.
pump. This change reduced the average flow through the DHW system ( ) from about 39 GPM to about 27 GPM.

The mass and energy balance described previously was used to analyze the flow characteristics before and after this change\textsuperscript{23}. The results were used to quantify the change in rogue bypass flow and verify that the recirculation pumping rate impacts the amount of cold water entering the DHW system via crossover flow. The following table presenting weekly averages before and after the pump change shows that the average percentage of rogue bypass drops from 93\% to 82\%\textsuperscript{24}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>% RB</th>
<th>% SDHW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 17-23</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 24-27</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1-5</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 6-12</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 13-19</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 20-26</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 27-April 2</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 3-9</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 10-16</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 17-23</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 24-30</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1-7</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 8-14</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 15-21</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 22-28</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Weekly averages of rogue bypass flow and DHW supplied by SDHW system before and after downsizing of recirculation pump

\textbf{1.13 EXPERIMENT 7: Temperature Survey – Location of Crossover Flow Causing Rogue Bypass}

The Heschong Mahone Group has found that crossover flow can occur through a missing or defective check valve on the cold water supply, through single-spout faucets or showers in apartments, or through portable dishwashers and clothes washers or other tenant modifications unrecognized by building management.

In an effort to identify the source of the crossover flow causing rogue bypass at the host site, a survey of hot water temperatures was conducted throughout the building with the hope of isolating the potential source to a specific riser or risers, anticipating that the temperature would be lower along a riser in which cold water is crossing over into the DHW line.

\textsuperscript{23} Note that ball valve $BV_{CWMX}$ was closed for the duration of this experiment.

\textsuperscript{24} Unfortunately, data from before February 17\textsuperscript{th} is not available because the monitoring system was not yet in place and operational.
The following schematic illustrates the typical plumbing configuration in a multi-family building with a recirculation loop. Hot water from the DHW tank is distributed to apartment fixtures through a series of risers, all of which connect to the recirculation loop that cycles the water back up to the boiler room. Plumbing plans indicate that this building is plumbed with 20 risers.

Data collected during times of low draw, when rogue bypass is at minimum, indicate that the typical heat loss across the building is only 1-3°F. If crossover flow occurs at any of the fixtures on a given riser, it follows that the temperature of the hot water at that fixture and at fixtures further down the riser will measure appreciably lower than the temperature of the water leaving the DHW tank. Potential sources of crossover flow can therefore be located by comparing the temperatures measured at the fixtures to the temperature leaving the boiler room ($T_{DHW}$) at the time of measurement.

The survey was conducted in three stages:

Stage 1: In the first stage, 25 fixtures were surveyed and the following observations were made:
The temperatures at all but one faucet ranged between 117.5°F and 123°F, which is consistent with what was expected based on the temperature of the DHW leaving the boiler room.

The temperature at the bathtub faucet in one apartment was measured at 112°F at 2:34 PM on May 6, 2011, at which time the temperature of the water leaving the boiler room was 119°F.

The kitchen faucet in one apartment was found to be defective: when the hot water was turned on partway, water was delivered at about 120°F, but when the faucet was turned on all the way the water stopped completely and the faucet behaved as if it were off.

The two anomalous faucets were investigated as possible sources of crossover flow and replaced with new fixtures that corrected the problem. Nevertheless, a subsequent mass and energy balance showed no demonstrable impact to the percent of rogue bypass flow calculated to be entering the DHW system, indicating the presence of additional sources of incursion.

Stage 2: In the second stage of the survey, a temperature probe was installed at the inlet to the building’s laundry facility, and set to log temperatures at an interval of one minute for the period of a week. At the end of the week the data were analyzed for anomalous deviations in temperature, but the data reflected a draw profile consistent with normal operation. This indicates that the source of rogue bypass flow is not associated with the laundry facility.

Stage 3: The third stage of the investigation involved a more comprehensive survey of the building in which the temperatures at 75% of the building fixtures were recorded along with the date and time of observation. Architectural and plumbing plans were used to associate each fixture in the building with its plumbing riser, and the data were analyzed in an attempt to locate potential locations for crossover as sources of rogue bypass flow.

Fixtures with temperatures greater than 115°F were considered normal, while fixtures with temperatures below 110°F were considered likely sources of rogue bypass and flagged for further inspection. Fixtures with temperatures between 110°F and 115°F were considered irregular and were also recommended for follow-up investigation.

Of the twenty plumbing risers identified, three were found to service a majority of the fixtures with lower than expected temperatures; P3, P11, and P11A. A schematic showing the results of the temperature survey and the path of these three risers is provided below. This diagram labels floor numbers on the left vertical axis and apartment labels (A, B, C, etc.) within each box.
Several of the other lines with fewer irregular features may have shown more definitive evidence of crossover, but a pattern could not be established since a number of the fixtures along these lines could not be measured due to difficulties in accessing the apartment. For example, line P15 (not shown in Figure 13) does not have any irregular fixtures, but eight of the 17 fixtures along that line were not observed. Likewise, line P8 has only one irregular fixture, but 10 of its 24 fixtures were not measured. Furthermore, some of the lines may have opportunities for...
crossover that were not immediately apparent based on the plumbing plans available. For example, P13 and P13A share a sanitary riser and several other utility lines, and between the two of them there are three irregular fixtures and four fixtures that were not measured.

The results of this investigation were shared with the building management with the recommendation to inspect all fixtures and accessible pipe fittings along these lines for proper installation and operation with particular emphasis given to lines P3, P11, and P11A. Follow-up research will include an analysis of any notable information reported from these inspections.

1.14EXPERIMENT 8: Whole-System Simulation

A model of the entire DHW system (solar preheat, DHW boiler heat, and recirculation loop) was created in an attempt to more accurately assess the impact of cold water bypass on the SDHW system’s performance, as quantified by the annual solar fraction. The goal of this exercise was to estimate the benefit of diagnosing the presence of rogue bypass and implementing design solution to mitigate the effects of cold water bypass in general.

Significant problems were encountered in implementing the simulation. Repeated attempts to calculate the solar fraction for a range of combinations of % rogue bypass and % mixing valve bypass consistently produced unrealistic results. After repeated analysis, we determined that part of the problem lies in the modeling of the mixing valve, which can constantly adjust to incoming temperatures and the fact that TRNSYS cannot take pressure into account in its simulations; the complicated dynamics at this point involve too many unknown variables to formulate a unique solution without an additional physical relationship. Furthermore, based on the model’s behavior, we were unable to establish a methodology for calculating the solar fraction; the simulations produced output temperatures of $T_{DHW}$ that fluctuated and dropped below the 120°F setpoint. While this is consistent with our instrumented data, it made it impossible to calculate the solar fraction in the standard way, which is predicated on a constant output temperature. Eventually it was determined that the dynamics of the system are far more complex than could possibly be modeled with TRNSYS, given time and budget constraints associated with this project.
CONCLUSIONS

1.15 SYSTEM UNDERPERFORMANCE

The following conclusions on SDHW system’s reduced performance can be drawn from the investigation presented in this report:

- Cold water bypass demonstrably impairs the performance of the solar domestic hot water system by diverting flow around the solar preheat tanks
- There are at least two pathways of cold water bypass: mixing valve bypass, and rogue bypass
- Rogue bypass is the dominant bypass effect, whereby 82% of the cold water entering the DHW system does not go through the preheat tanks. This reduction of flow was found to result in a 45% average reduction in savings, both in energy and dollar terms. This means it would take nearly twice the time for the system owner to recoup the initial investment
- In the presence of rogue bypass, the system performance is further degraded by mixing valve bypass, whereby water bypassing the solar pre-heat tanks in the boiler room via the cold side of mixing valve reduces the flow of water through solar preheat tanks
- Oversized recirculation pumps and associated higher flow rates in the recirculation loop exacerbate cold water bypass
- Further research would be needed to carry through the TRNSYS model through the full system simulation. Because we were not able to create a calibrated energy model capable of simulating the full system, we cannot account for all the factors responsible for decreased system efficiency. The other experiments performed demonstrate that performance is affected by cold water bypass significantly, and by over-pressurization in the recirculation loop to a lesser extent.

1.16 RECOMMENDATIONS AND FURTHER RESEARCH

There are significant benefits to formalizing a methodology for diagnosing the presence of crossover flow and developing techniques to mitigate its impact, particularly when crossover results in rogue bypass, as this is one of its most serious effects.

Formalize a Diagnostic Methodology

The following is a preliminary list of potential strategies to identify crossover and establish its impact to building systems and the potential for rogue bypass. These are listed from easiest to most difficult:

- Monitor and compare the temperatures of the DHW sent to the building and the temperatures of the water coming back in the recirculation-return line. In a properly functioning system the heat load of the building will remain relatively constant and the temperature drop between these two points will fluctuate minimally.
If rogue bypass is present, however, the intermittent influx of cold water will make the delta between these two temperatures much more erratic

- Install flow sensors on the DHW and RCR lines and monitor them during periods on zero draw. If the flow in the recirculation return line remains close to the flow in the DHW line during periods of high draw, this is evidence of crossover flow
- Solicit tenant feedback and note instances of significant anomalies; significant variations in DHW temperature, hot water in the toilets, having to wait excessively long for water to get hot
- Turn off the hot water supply valve to a selection of fixtures in the building one at a time focusing on those identified by tenants as problems fixtures. While it is off, turn on the hot water faucet at that fixture and wait several minutes. If any cold water comes out, this could be a source of crossover flow and rogue bypass. Repeat with cold water supply valve

Test and Disseminate Proposed Solutions

Crossover prevention

- Avoid installing single-spout faucets and shower mixing valves
- Require backflow prevention valves on hot and cold water lines between problem fixtures and the preceding tee
- Install properly sized recirculation pumps and consider installing demand or temperature modulation controls on the recirculation system to minimize pump operation. Energy Design Resources’ design brief of central DHW systems in multifamily buildings recommends flow rates between 1.5 and 3.5 feet per second. These flow rates are low enough to minimize pressure imbalances and high enough to prevent debris settlement in the pipes
- Conduct an extensive survey of all fixtures in the building, measuring the temperature at each tap and closing off the hot and cold valves as described in the fourth bullet point in the diagnostic methodology described above. Fix any defective fixtures and re-perform the diagnostic tests to determine if the problem has been corrected.

Cold water bypass mitigation

Two potential design solutions have been identified to mitigate the effects of cold water bypass:

- For solar thermal systems, install a temperature controlled 3-way diverting valve to divert the recirculation water to the solar preheat tank or to the DHW tank depending on the solar preheat tank temperature. This

26 IBID.
will force the recirculation return water through the preheat tank when the temperature of the tank is hotter than the recirculation return water\textsuperscript{27}. A similar approach can be used for a cogeneration system.

- To mitigate mixing valve bypass, install a temperature controlled 3-way diverting valve to divert either solar preheated water (when it is cool enough) or cold mains water (when solar preheat is too hot) to the cold side of the mixing valve for tempering.

The figure below shows a schematic of the SDHW system with the proposed locations of the diverting valves (DV1 and DV2).

---

Further Research

Future research would aim to establish the frequency of the problem and quantify its impacts in buildings (1) without preheat processes associated with the DHW system and (2) with solar thermal or cogeneration systems vulnerable to rogue bypass. A preliminary investigation strategy would include:

- Instrumenting 20 or more buildings with a pump-status sensor on the recirculation pump and temperature probes on the DHW and recirculation-return lines to diagnose the existence of crossover flow. In a properly functioning system the temperature difference between the DHW sent to the building and the water in the recirculation-return line should vary smoothly according to pump operation and outdoor temperature, whereas if crossover flow is present it will also vary based on usage.
- Instrumenting 10 or more buildings with suspected crossover flow with the 10-point temperature and 2-point flow sensor setup used in our initial study to collect detailed data on the internal dynamics of the system.
- Collecting data under multiple design conditions and pump operation schedules to investigate the correlation between recirculation pumping rates and magnitude of crossover flow.
- Analyzing data to quantify the crossover flow and its impact on water, energy, and operation costs.
- In buildings with solar thermal or cogeneration systems, verifying the existence of rogue bypass or mixing valve bypass and quantifying its impact on system performance.
- Presenting methodology for identifying and verifying the existence of crossover flow.
- Developing and testing the means to prevent crossover flow and rogue bypass and to mitigate their impacts to water and energy efficiency.
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1.17 IMPLICATIONS OF CROSSOVER FLOW

Our findings lead us to believe that the effect of rogue bypass on the dynamics of the building’s plumbing system could potentially have much broader implications. In this building the preheat tanks were supplied by a solar thermal system, but the effects studied in this project could equally well affect cogeneration systems or other heat recovery processes used in domestic hot water heating.

Rogue bypass is one of many effects of the larger under-investigated problem of crossover flow – the unintentional flow of water between the hot and cold water lines in a building. The implications of crossover flow include the following:

**Rogue Bypass and Underperformance of Solar Domestic Hot Water and Cogeneration Systems:**

- The impact to solar thermal and cogeneration systems are examples of crossover flow causing rogue bypass, whereby cold water bypasses a preheat circuit that is critical to the operation of the system
- This results in increased demand on conventional DHW systems to compensate for SDHW or cogeneration underperformance
- This presents a significant risk to system owners as well as utility and government incentive programs that depend on the energy savings of these systems
- Studying the impact of rogue bypass and developing mitigation strategies is becoming more and more crucial as the number of solar thermal and cogeneration systems continues to grow. There are currently 136 cogeneration systems installed in New York State, 51 of which are in New York City28. The number of solar thermal installations in New York is difficult to quantify from the resources available, but initial estimates using data from the Energy Information Administration indicate that it is comparable to the number of cogeneration systems29,30.

**Overuse of recirculation pump:**

- Colder water in the recirculation-return line causes the recirculation pump to run more frequently, increasing the energy needed to run the pump

---

• This results in unnecessary wear and tear to pumping equipment and wasted electricity
• The need for higher pumping rates can lead to the installation of over-sized recirculation pumps, which results in more wasted electricity.

Inconsistent DHW temperatures:

• DHW temperatures can vary by as much as 40°F within a single multifamily apartment building when cold water crosses into the hot water line via crossover flow
• To satisfy the DHW needs of all tenants, building management often raises the set-points on the DHW boiler and recirculation pump to excessively high levels
• Overheated DHW represents a substantial safety hazard and wasted energy.

Reduced appliance efficiency and tenant satisfaction:

• Low flow fixtures do not demonstrate the water and energy savings expected because of the increased time required to run the water to reach an appropriate temperature
• Appliances such as dishwashers that raise water temperatures to suitable temperatures via electric resistance must expend extra energy to reheat water cooled by crossover flow.

1.18 DAILY AVERAGED FLOW PROFILES

The following figure presents the averaged results of the energy balance described in Section 4.6 performed over a twelve day period and compared to the building’s average DHW usage (draw) and the average flow through (the amount of cold water entering the DHW system through the boiler room). Again, these flow rates were calculated using the relationships described in Section 3.3, where \( F_{CW} \) is measured, \( F_{RB} \) is calculated, and the Draw is the summation of the two. These results indicate that the presence of rogue bypass is not associated with variations in daily consumption on different days of the week.

\[ \text{February 16-27} \]
Figure A-1: Averaged daily flow rates

1.19 THRESHOLD OF ROGUE BYPASS FLOW – UNSMOOTHED

Figure S-3: One minute measurements of DHW usage, rogue bypass flow (F_RB), and solar preheat tank flow (F_CW), sorted from lowest usage to highest usage – unsmoothed (reference Figure S-3)
1.20 FLOW METER CALIBRATION

Initial Site Conditions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>F_STO</th>
<th>F_CW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transducer:</td>
<td>Alpha407</td>
<td>Beta407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowmeter Channel:</td>
<td>Ch1</td>
<td>Ch2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analog Output:</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Objectives:
1. Calibrate flow meters
2. Establish flow meter accuracy
3. Establish consistency of recirc pump rate

General Notes:
1. The bypass from cold mains to the mixing valve (F_CW_MX) has been valved off since the last site visit and remained valved off throughout the following tests
2. The cooling tower inlet remains valved off (BV_CT)
3. The hose used in the following tests was fitted with a dual-valved nozzle
4. The flow rates for tests 1 and 2 were measured using a 40 gallon graduated bucket

Test 1: Alpha407 vs Beta407 #1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducers:</th>
<th>Alpha407</th>
<th>Beta407</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>F_CW</td>
<td>F_CW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowmeter Channel:</td>
<td>Ch1</td>
<td>Ch2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analog Output:</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Purpose: Verify consistency between Alpha407 and Beta407 by installing them on the same pipe (F_CW)

Data set: Feb16_Test1_markup

- Moved Alpha407 from position on F_STO to upstream of Beta407 on F_CW
- Ran diagnostics and adjusted installation until results are satisfactory
- Flow was induced by draining water from the middle solar preheat tank

10:59 – Launched logger
11:01 – Opened Valve 1 on hose 50%
   CH1 volume ~ 2.8 GPM
   CH2 volume ~ 3.4 GPM
   CH1 delta ~ 3.5
   CH2 delta ~ 4.5
11:04:35 – Water level reached 10 gallon mark, opened Valve 1 100%
   CH1 volume ~ 7.3 GPM
   CH2 volume ~ 8.0 GPM
   CH1 delta ~ 9.5
   CH2 delta ~ 10.0
11:07 – Water level reached 30 gallon mark, turned off hose
11:08 – Stopped logger
- Flow rates in data set show close correlation, with Beta407 reading consistently slightly higher
- Readjusted spacing on Alpha407 and reran diagnostics with same results
- Data presented in Figure 1

![Figure 1: February 16th Test 1](image_url)

**Test 2: Alpha407 vs Beta407 #2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducers:</th>
<th>Alpha407</th>
<th>Beta407</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>F_CW</td>
<td>F_CW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowmeter Channel:</td>
<td>Ch1</td>
<td>Ch2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analog Output:</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Purpose: Adjust spacing on Alpha407 and retest for accuracy
Data set: Feb16_Test2_markup

Adjusted spacing on Alpha407 and reran diagnostics. Same satisfactory results. Flow was induced by draining water from the middle solar preheat tank

11:46 – Launched logger
11:48 – Opened Valve 1 50%  
  - CH1 volume ~ 2.7 GPM  
  - CH2 volume ~ 2.8 GPM
11:52 – Water level at 10 gallon mark, opened Valve 1 100%  
  - CH1 volume ~ 8.1 GPM
11:54 – Water level at 20 gallon mark, turned valve off
11:55 – Stopped logger

- Flow rates in data set show close correlation, with Beta407 reading consistently slightly higher
- Data presented in figure 2. Note that the drop in flow around 11:49 is due to the valve momentarily being closed to redirect flow into the bucket

![Figure 2: February 16th Test 2](image)

1.21TRNSYS ENERGY MODEL REPORT

The following report, prepared by David Bradley at Thermal Energy System Specialists, LLC, describes and discusses the results of a series of simulations that were carried out in an effort to corroborate the theory that not all of the cold water is entering the DHW system through the solar preheat system and that there is an appreciable adverse effect upon the system’s solar fraction as a result. Three simulation exercises were carried out: (1) an examination of the solar preheat tanks, (2) an examination of the mixing and tempering valves, and (3) simulations of the SDHW system with increasing amounts of cold water bypass. The results of exercise-3 were presented and discussed in Section 4.1.

Simulations for exercises 1-and-2 were carried out using measured input data for a 15-day period in late April and early May 2011. The results are presented and discussed below.
1. Tank Calibration

The goal of the first energy modeling task was to drive a simulation of the solar preheat tank with measured data and minimize the difference between measured and predicted outlet conditions by adjusting the tank model’s parameters. During a 15 day period in late April/early May, ten-minute averaged data was available for tank near-top and bottom temperature ($T_3$ and $T_2$, respectively) and one-minute averaged data was available for inlet flow rate ($F_{CW}$), cold water inlet temperature ($T_{CW}$), tank outlet temperature ($T_{STO}$), heat exchanger outlet/tank inlet temperature ($T_{HXO}$), boiler room temperature ($T_{BR}$), and solar hot water loop pump status ($PS_{SHW}$). Since temperatures were only measured in one of the two preheat tanks and since the mixing and diverting valves that send water to the two tanks (both on the cold and hot sides) are passive, it was assumed that half of the heat exchanger water and half of the cold water goes to each of the two tanks. The tanks were modeled as having 10 isothermal nodes to capture stratification effects.

The measured data shows a very high degree of stratification in the tank. On a typical day, solar heats the tank significantly. In the evening, there is typically a period of high water use in the building, at which point the tank near-bottom temperature ($T_2$) drops dramatically, but the tank near-top temperature ($T_3$) does not. $T_3$ and $T_2$ are measured quite close to the heat exchanger inlet and outlet port locations at approximately the 1/3 and 2/3 tank height points. Figure 15 shows the tank top (in black) and bottom (in light grey) temperature as well as the mass flow rate (in dark grey) through the tank on a typical day.

![Figure 15: Typical Daily Solar Preheat Tank Temperature Profile (Measured Data)](image-url)
The simulated tank did not show the same behavior; in the simulation, the tank top temperature was affected by the cold water draw through the tank. Figure 16 shows Figure 15 now overlaid with the simulated tank near-top (dashed black) and near-bottom (dashed light grey) temperatures.

![Figure 16: Typical Daily Solar Preheat Tank Temperature Profile (Measured and Simulated)](image)

It is worth noting that the measured data and simulation match quite well during periods when the solar hot water loop is operating. Seemingly no amount of adjusting the tank losses, internodal mixing rates, or effective location of entry and exit ports caused the simulated tank top and bottom temperatures to match measured results. It was, however, possible to make one or the other of them match. The idea of changing the effective height of the inlet and outlet ports is two-fold. First, it is sometimes the case (particularly with outlet ports) that the port is connected to a dip-tube that extends some ways into the tank. In this case, the water drawn is not at the tank temperature closest to the port’s apparent location, but is drawn from farther down. In a stratified tank, this translates to a cooler temperature and leaves a “bubble” of hot water near the top of the tank. Second, changing the effective port locations is a way of handling mixing. Cold water, for example, enters this tank at the 1/3 height point according to the tank drawings. Cold water, however, is denser and so has a tendency to sink to the bottom of the tank. The tank model does not account for buoyancy effects, however, and we found that bringing the water into the bottom of the tank (in the simulation) resulted in a temperature profile much closer to the one actually measured.

Since we were looking for evidence that not all of the building’s cold water enters through the solar preheat system the next thing that we tried was to artificially reduce \( F_{CW} \), the flow rate of water measured at the building inlet. In
reducing $F_{CW}$ by half, we began to see good temperature correlation in both the bottom and top of the tank, as shown in Figure 17.

![Figure 17: “Calibrated” Daily Solar Preheat Tank Temperature Profile (Measured and Simulated)](image)

The layout of the boiler room is such that as long as the cooling tower ball valve ($BV_{CT}$) and the cold water mixing ball valve ($BV_{CWMX}$) are shut off (which they were during this test period), then there is really nowhere for the cold water flow rate measured at $F_{CW}$ to go besides through the preheat tanks. It is possible that we are incorrect in our initial assumption that half of $FCW$ goes to each of the two preheat tanks. If ¾ of it were going to the tank for which we do not have measured data and only ¼ of it were going through the measured tank, then we would have an explanation for the results.

Another explanation for the incredibly high degree of temperature stratification seen in the tank is that the preheat tanks contain internal baffles that force incoming cold water to mix with tank water very near their entry port instead of entering with inertia that would destratify the tank. While the tank model employed does not contain such a feature, we feel that this is not likely the cause of the difference because the data clearly shows only the bottom of the tank being affected by the flow of cold water through the tank. Unless there is an appreciably long dip tube on the outlet at the tank top (which the manufacturer does not show in any of its drawings) then the top of the tank should show some drop in temperature during periods of high replacement flow at night when solar cannot add more energy into the system.

Two other features in the data appeared as well. First, there are periods of time when $T_{STO}$ (the temperature measured on the preheat tank outlet pipe after the water from the two preheat tanks has been remixed) is hotter than
The only plausible explanation here is that one of the two preheat tanks is getting hotter than the other. This could not be verified as temperature measurements were only available in one of the two tanks. Second, there are significant periods of time when the measured cold water inlet temperature ($T_{CW}$ – shown in dark blue) exceeds the boiler room temperature ($T_{BR}$ – shown in green). These periods typically occur during low water use times in the middle of a day. They could be an indication of back flow from a preheat tank into the inlet water line. They often coincide with periods when the solar hot water loop is adding energy to the tank.

![Figure 18: Boiler Room and Cold Water Inlet Temperatures](image)

**Tank Calibration Conclusion**

It is frustratingly difficult to draw any strong conclusions from the tank calibration exercise; the temperature at the top of the tank stays tantalizingly hotter than any of our simulations predict it would unless the measurement of flow through the tank is much higher than the actual flow. We were able to get an estimate of tank insulation level from periods of time when there is very little flow through the tanks on either the cold or hot sides. This calibration indicates that the tank is insulated to near R20(IP) (R3.6(SI))

### 2. Mixing and Tempering Valve Calibrations

The second energy modeling task involved looking at energy balances across two mixing valves in order to verify that the temperatures and flows measured in the system are indeed representative of the actual installation.
The first valve examined was the one in which solar preheated water is mixed with recirc loop return water. The mixed water goes to the boiler loop where it is heated to 140F (60C) for storage. The following assumptions were made:

1. The (mixed) flow out of the preheat tanks is $F_{CW}$ (although it was measured at the boiler room inlet)
2. The (mixed) temperature out of the preheat tanks is $T_{STO}$.
3. The temperature of the recirc loop return water is $T_{RCR}$.
4. The mixed temperature at the outlet of the valve is $T_{HTI}$.
5. The flow rate $F_{RCB}$ was defined as the recirculation loop flow that is not required by the tempering valve and thus returns to the boiler.

Based on the above assumptions, an energy balance can be written on the mixing valve in order to calculate $F_{RCB}$ (which was not measured). If energy balances across the valve and if $F_{RCB}$ is always lower than the total recirculation loop flow rate ($F_{CWXM}$) which was measured, then we have a good indication of data integrity. In examining the data, however, it was noted that there are a number of periods (even at high $F_{CW}$ flow rates) when $T_{HTI}$ (the mixed water temperature) is either above or below both $T_{STO}$ and $T_{RCR}$ (the temperature of the two water streams that mix). This is not physically possible. Periods of low flow are of little concern since back-flow influences from the boiler can be seen at these times. Typically, the longest stretches of time when energy can balance across the mixing valve occur when the preheat tanks are hotter than the recirc loop return water. At these times a reasonable fraction of the total recirc loop flow rate ($F_{CWXM}$) can be mixed with $F_{CW}$ at $T_{STO}$ to obtain $T_{HTI}$.

The second valve examined was the tempering valve. In this valve the flow calculated during the mixing valve analysis ($F_{CW} + F_{RCB}$) has been heated by the boiler and is at the measured temperature $T_{HTO}$. This water (which is at $T_{RCR}$) mixes with the recirc loop water that did not go back to the boiler and should come out at approximately 120F (measured temperature $T_{DHW}$). In short, it does not. There are almost no times during the 15-days when the simulated delivery temperature ($T_{DHW_{SIM}}$) matches the measured delivery temperature ($T_{DHW}$). Typically the simulated delivery temperature shows a much greater variability and a lower average temperature than does the data.

There are a few flaws in the analysis that should be corrected. Prime among these is that this part of the loop needs to be simulated with actual pipe lengths and pipe losses. Both energy balances were carried out assuming that flow rates were high enough to negate the effects of the thermal capacitance of the water in the valves. While this may be true, we did not account for the time constant of the measuring devices or for the uncertainty in their reading. This, combined with a comparatively rapid sampling period (the data were all 1-minute average) meant that there were simply too many assumptions in the model to get good correspondence to the data. If there is an opportunity to reexamine the mixing valves, it is recommended that the 1-minute data be reprocessed into 10-minute average data, that measurements be made of pipe lengths between sensors and valves, and that these measurements be reflected in the simulation by the addition of pipe flow models.
NYSERDA, a public benefit corporation, offers objective information and analysis, innovative programs, technical expertise and funding to help New Yorkers increase energy efficiency, save money, use renewable energy, and reduce their reliance on fossil fuels. NYSERDA professionals work to protect our environment and create clean-energy jobs. NYSERDA has been developing partnerships to advance innovative energy solutions in New York since 1975.

To learn more about NYSERDA programs and funding opportunities visit www.nyserda.ny.gov
The Negative Impact of Cold Water Bypass on Solar Domestic Hot Water Systems

Final Report No. 11-26
August 2011